

POLLUTION CONTROL FINANCING AUTHORITY
OF WARREN COUNTY

MINUTES OF REGULAR MONTHLY MEETING

June 24, 2024

Chairman Angelo Accetturo called the regular monthly meeting of the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County to order at approximately 9:40 am.

Authority Members present: Angelo Accetturo, Rob Larsen, and Walter Orcutt.

Mr. Accetturo asked to call the roll.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	-	Yes
	Mr. Orcutt	-	Yes
	Mr. Perez	-	Absent
	Mr. Accetturo	-	Yes

Also present: Brian Tipton, General Counsel; Jonathan Knittel, Director of Operations; Jamie Banghart, Assistant Director and Mariann Cliff, Recording Secretary.

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Mr. Accetturo.

Mr. Accetturo read the following statement: "Adequate notice of this meeting of June 24, 2024 was given in accordance with the Open Public Meetings Act by forwarding a schedule of regular meetings of the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County (PCFAWC) to the Warren County Clerk, the Warren County Board of County Commissioners, The Express-Times, and by posting a copy thereof on the bulletin board in the office of the PCFAWC. Formal action may be taken by the PCFAWC at this meeting. Public participation is encouraged."

MINUTES

Mr. Accetturo asked if there was a motion for M-1 the Regular monthly meeting minutes from May 20, 2024.

Mr. Orcutt stated that he would make the motion to approve M-1.

Mr. Accetturo stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	-	Yes
------------	------------	---	-----

Mr. Orcutt - Yes
Mr. Perez - Absent
Mr. Accetturo - Yes

Mr. Accetturo asked if there was a motion for M-2 the Executive meeting minutes from May 20, 2024.

Mr. Orcutt stated that he would make a motion to approve M-2 the Executive session meeting minutes from May 20, 2024.

Mr. Larsen stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Yes
Mr. Orcutt - Yes
Mr. Perez - Absent
Mr. Accetturo - Yes

CORRESPONDENCE

Mr. Accetturo asked if there was any correspondence.

Mr. Knittel replied that there was none for public session.

PUBLIC COMMENTS (AGENDA ITEMS ONLY)

Mr. Accetturo asked if there were any public comments for agenda items only.

FINANCE

Mr. Accetturo stated that next was finance with Mr. Knittel and Mrs. Banghart.

Mrs. Banghart stated that finances were doing well, they received \$26,000.00 in interest this month. There were no issues.

Mr. Accetturo replied excellent.

Mr. Accetturo stated that they need a resolution to pay bills.

Mr. Orcutt stated that he would make a motion to approve R-06-01-24 Resolution to pay bills.

Mr. Accetturo stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Yes
Mr. Orcutt - Yes
Mr. Perez - Absent
Mr. Accetturo - Yes

On a motion by *Mr. Orcutt*, seconded by *Mr. Accetturo*, the following resolution was adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County at a meeting held on *June 24, 2024*.

RESOLUTION
R-06-01-24
To Pay Bills – June 24, 2024

WHEREAS, the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County has been presented with invoices for services, supplies and other materials rendered to it or on its behalf;

NOW, THEREFORE, be it resolved by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County that the following bills be paid:

See Attached

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	-	Yes
	Mr. Orcutt	-	Yes
	Mr. Perez	-	Absent
	Mr. Accetturo	-	Yes

We hereby certify Resolution to Pay Bills in the amount of **\$1,199,202.68** to be a true copy of a resolution adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County on the *24nd day of June 2024*.

Mariann Cliff
Recording Secretary

Jonathan Knittel
Director of Operations

Approved: 06/24/24

Mr. Accetturo stated that next was R-06-02-24 the Resolution Approving the Recycling enhancement Act Tax Fund Entitlement Spending Plan 2023.

Mrs. Banghart stated that this was from Mr. Dech, they do this yearly. This is what gives the PCFA their money back from the Recycling programs, tires, single stream recycling, HHW. Anything that costs us money from those recycling programs.

There was a discussion that followed that went into detail about how much our recycling programs cost, what the Grant actually covers and what else the grant is used for. It was brought up that besides the PCFA recycling programs, the grant was used for Education, and other school-type programs

The other subject that was discussed at length, was what happens with tires that are found in garbage trucks dumping in the landfill and what the companies are charged with and how the PCFA enforces it on a daily basis.

Mr. Accetturo stated that they still need a motion on R-06-02-24 Resolution Approving the Recycling enhancement Act Tax Fund Entitlement Spending Plan 2023.

Mr. Orcutt stated that he would make the motion.

Mr. Larsen stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	-	Yes
	Mr. Orcutt	-	Yes
	Mr. Perez	-	Absent
	Mr. Accetturo	-	Yes

On a motion by **Mr. Orcutt**, seconded by **Mr. Larsen**, the following resolution was adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County at a meeting held on *June 24, 2024*.

RESOLUTION

R-06-02-24

RESOLUTION APPROVING THE RECYCLING ENHANCEMENT ACT TAX FUND ENTITLEMENT SPENDING PLAN 2023

Enabling Resolution Authorizing the filing of a Spending Plan for 2023 Recycling Enhancement Act Tax Fund Entitlement pursuant to P.L. 2007 c.311 et seq. hereinafter, the Act.

WHEREAS, P.L. 2007 c.311 et seq. provides for the awarding of Recycling Enhancement Tax entitlements by the Department of Environmental Protection to designated solid waste management districts to assist them in the preparation, revision and implementation of comprehensive solid waste management and recycling plans; and

WHEREAS, the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County desires such financial assistance to fulfill its responsibilities under the Solid Waste Management Act and the Recycling Enhancement Act.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County:

1. That a Spending Plan be submitted to the Solid and Hazardous Waste Management Program for 2023 Recycling Enhancement Act Tax Entitlement in the amount of \$162,000.00.
2. That the Board Chairman of the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County is hereby authorized and directed to execute and file such spending plan with the Department of Environmental Protection, to provide additional information and furnish such documents as may be required; to execute such documents as are required; and to act as the authorized correspondent of the Warren County Solid Waste Management District.
3. That the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County designated by the Warren County Board of County Commissioners as the implementing agency to perform the Recycling Enhancement Tax Entitlement on July 1, 2023.
4. That the Warren County Solid Waste Management District does hereby hold the State of New Jersey, and its departments and agencies harmless from any damages, losses and claims which may arise directly or indirectly from the execution of the entitlement.
5. That the Warren County Solid Waste Management District hereby accepts the terms and conditions set forth in the Act and the guidelines promulgated under it.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Yes
 Mr. Orcutt - Yes
 Mr. Perez - Absent
 Mr. Accetturo - Yes

I hereby certify the above to be a true copy of a resolution adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County on the date above mentioned.

Mariann Cliff
Recording Secretary

Date: June 24, 2024

PERSONNEL

Mr. Accetturo asked Mr. Knittel if he had anything for personnel.
Mr. Knittel stated that they were getting into the busy time of the year and they did have prior permission to hire a part-time person that could potentially turn into a full-time employee.

They discussed this matter briefly since it was already approved at a prior meeting, and the Board instructed Mr. Knittel to inform them before anyone was hired permanently.

PRESENTATIONS

Mr. Accetturo stated that there were no presentations.

REPORTS

Mr. Accetturo stated there were no reports.

FACILITIES/RECYCLING

Mr. Accetturo asked Mr. Knittel to go on with facilities and recycling.

Mr. Knittel stated that one of the local haulers, LMR sold their company to Casella Waste, they were notified by LMR that they sold as is, with trucks, contracts, everything.

The previous LMR owner was going to stay on as an employee, as a consultant to them. They are asking to continue or transfer the contract with no change in tonnage.

Therefore, they started doing the background checks and everything else they would do on a new customer on Casella Waste, and they had good references from a Board member who was not present. Mr. Larsen stated that Casella Waste was a huge entity. Mr. Knittel replied that that was what Mr. Perez had said also.

Mr. Accetturo asked where they were based out of?

Mr. Larsen replied that he was not sure.

There was a quick discussion on Casella Waste then Mr. Orcutt stated that they needed a motion to approve them.

Mr. Larsen stated that he would make a motion to transfer the existing LMR contract to Casella Waste for the balance of the year assuming all responsibilities and legalities of that contract.

Mr. Orcutt stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	- Yes
	Mr. Orcutt	- Yes
	Mr. Perez	- Absent
	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes

Mr. Knittel stated that next was A-2 which was an email with 2 quotes from two specialty companies that do Stack testing. Stack testing is defined as a regulatory event that happens periodically, which was about every five years that they must stack test the flare.

Mr. Knittel stated that to do the stack test, you get the flare operating on a specific day, a company comes in and they put sensors and air collection devices into the flare. It was done to show the DEP that the flare was operating efficiently and not emitting smoke or particulates into the air.

Our flare burns mostly methane and some hydrogen and some traces of other gases. So, they would want to see how efficient the flare was burning.

Mr. Knittel stated that this testing is to be done by November 1st, so they asked SCS Gas services to get us a quote. There were only three companies in the United States, SCS sent three proposals out to these three companies and they received two responses of the three. One response was from Montrose and one was from Alliance.

The PCFA used Alliance five years ago, and SCS was recommending that they go with Alliance, being that they were about \$18,000.00 cheaper than Montrose.

Mr. Knittel stated that the Alliance part of the stack testing was the contractor, and then SCS gas service would do the submittal to the DEP this fall.

The PCFA already has them under contract as an engineering firm and Mr. Knittel stated that he asked SCS if this was something that would typically go out to a public bid. They said no, because it was specialty work. They did say that this was typically what they had done for a couple other landfills.

So that was the reason for the RFP with 2 results, so Mr. Knittel stated that he would need a motion to consider awarding the contract part of the stack test to Alliance Technical Group.

Mr. Orcutt asked Mr. Tipton if this sounded correct and Mr. Tipton replied that it was.

Mr. Orcutt stated that he would make the motion to award the Stack test contract to Alliance Technical Group.

Mr. Larsen stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	- Yes
	Mr. Orcutt	- Yes
	Mr. Perez	- Absent
	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes

There was a discussion regarding the stack test results and if they could be beneficial to the PCFA in any way. It was said that the resulting information of the stack test itself was mostly to gage the efficiency of the flare. The renewal of Title 5 air permit was also discussed, particularly because they have two flares. One was an enclosed flare that would be stack tested, and they have a utility flare that was like a Bunsen burner, the flame was above the tip of the utility flare. That cannot be stack tested because it was in open air, this was why the DEP wants to get away from that type of flare.

Mr. Knittel stated that he would like to go over the odor updates. They flew cell 7 for the first time with thermal imagery and he has some information. It would be a bit more efficient to do thermal imaging on a landfill when the weather was colder, but even though they flew it on a hot June day, they were still able to see some warmer spots in the cell.

Mr. Knittel stated that when you see a warm spot in cell 7 especially in the morning, that was the heat of the trash decomposing underneath and if the heat was showing through the cover, that would be an indicator of where the odor could be getting through. You then would need to add more cover materials to those areas. Thermal imaging was not expensive, it was flown with a drone and it may cost \$500.00 for the afternoon, so this was a very efficient way of getting an image of the entire landfill.

Mr. Orcutt asked if this was the first time that they had done this.

Mr. Knittel replied yes, and it was shocking how much that you could see. For example, there was a lot of heat coming through the stone at the edge of the cell.

Mr. Accetturo asked if they had any still shots that he could send the Board. Mr. Knittel replied yes, he did have some still shots and he would send them out to the Board.

Mr. Accetturo stated that the odor, or lack of, has been really good recently.

The Board then discussed the odor issues and/or lack of them, along with recent improvements amongst themselves for a few moments. The Director also went over the improvements planned for the rest of the calendar year, and how to stay ahead of any further odor problems.

Mr. Knittel stated that he would like to go over the on-site waste water treatment plant. Our treatment plant is under evaluation right now, they have filled the entire treatment plant with leachate and they were recirculating it in a closed system. They were testing the vessels for integrity, the pumps the blowers and different parts of the infrastructure. They were having it evaluated by the design engineer Mr. Cliff Wilkinson.

Mr. Wilkinson is a registered PE, he was also the designer for both our system and for PRMUA's system, where the PCFA would like their leachate to go in the future.

He was only doing an evaluation on what we have on-site, he was not designing a new facility or planning any improvements. Through that evaluation, he will make recommendations of what might need upgrades, or replacement. At that point they would most likely get a second opinion and start going into actual improvements in the beginning of 2025, preferably January which was only 6 months away. So, the idea was to figure out what was broke or old, and what needs to be updated.

Mr. Knittel stated that he would like to get a little out of sequence, and talk about the force main. If they do pre-treat it makes no sense to be sending truckers down the road so the next item with this evaluation would be the force main. He did meet with the County representative and discussed options for a force main to go through the former Covanta lot, they have a handout in front of them outlining the existing route and a couple of newer proposed routes.

At that point the Director and Board members discussed each route in detail and the existing easement as well as any new easement that they would need if a new route was chosen. There was a route going down the public roadway to Jensen drive. They did know that Jensen used to be a county road and it now has changed ownership to Oxford and even though the force main was the property of the PCFA it did have easements found while doing a title search. The other route was going down the other side of the former Covanta site, that was on Mr. Popinko's property, which would require an easement also.

A lively discussion ensued which included the mention that the County may not want PCFA's force main to go through any portion of the former Covanta site.

Discussed was also the fact that the force main was PCFA's property and that no one could tap into it without their permission.

Mr. Knittel stated that they should continue the conversation in executive session.

Mr. Orcutt stated that he would make the motion to go into executive session

Mr. Accetturo stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	- Yes
	Mr. Orcutt	- Yes
	Mr. Perez	- Absent
	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes

*****Executive session was entered at approximately 10:08 am***

RESOLUTION

R-06-03-24

AUTHORIZING EXECUTIVE SESSION OF THE POLLUTION CONTROL FINANCING AUTHORITY OF WARREN COUNTY FOR A

**MEETING NOT OPEN TO THE PUBLIC IN ACCORDANCE WITH
THE PROVISIONS OF THE NEW JERSEY OPEN PUBLIC MEETINGS
ACT, N.J.S.A 10:4-12**

WHEREAS, the Open Public Meetings Act, N.J.S.A. 10:4-12, provides that an Executive Session, not open to the public, may be held for certain specified purposes when authorized by Resolution, and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that this Board hereby excludes the public in order to discuss such matters. The general nature of the subjects to be discussed are as follows:

- (1) *Matters Required by Law to be Confidential:* Any matter which, by express provision of Federal law or State statute or rule of court shall be rendered confidential or excluded from the provisions of the Open Public Meetings Act.
- (2) *Matters Where the Release of Information Would Impair the Right to Receive Funds:* Any matter in which the release of information would impair a right to receive funds from the Government of the United States.
- (3) *Matters Involving Individual Privacy:* Any material the disclosure of which constitutes an unwarranted invasion of individual privacy such as any records, data, reports, recommendations, or other personal material of any educational, training, social service, medical, health, custodial, child protection, rehabilitation, legal defense, welfare, housing, relocation, Insurance and similar program or Institution operated by a public body pertaining to any specific individual admitted to or served by such institution or program, including but not limited to information relative to the Individual's personal and family circumstances, and any material pertaining to admission, discharge, treatment, progress or condition of any Individual, unless the individual concerned (or, in the case of a minor or incompetent, his guardian) shall request in writing that the same be disclosed publicly.
- (4) *Matters Relating to Collective Bargaining Agreements:* Any collective bargaining agreement, or the terms and conditions which are proposed for inclusion in any collective bargaining agreement, including the negotiation of the terms and conditions thereof with employees or representatives of employees of the public body.
- (5) *Matters Relating to the Purchase, Lease or Acquisition of Real Property or the Investment of Public Funds:* Any matter involving the purchase, lease or acquisition of real property with public funds, the setting of banking rates or investment of public funds, where it could adversely affect the public interest if discussion of such matters were disclosed.

- (6) *Matters Relating to Public Safety and Property:* Any tactics and techniques utilized in protecting the safety and property of the public provided that their disclosure could impair such protection. Any investigations of possible violations of the law.
- (7) *Matters Relating to Litigation, Negotiations and the Attorney-Client Privilege:* Any pending or anticipated litigation or contract negotiation in which the public body is, or may become a party. Any matters falling within the attorney-client privilege, to the extent that confidentiality is required in order for the attorney to exercise his ethical duties as a lawyer.
- (8) *Matters Relating to the Employment Relationship:* Any matter involving the employment, appointment, termination of employment, terms and conditions of employment, evaluation of the performance or promotion or disciplining of any specific prospective public officer or employee or current public officer or employee employed or appointed by the public body, unless all the individual employees or appointees whose rights could be adversely affected request in writing that such matter or matters be discussed at a public meeting.
- (9) *Matters Relating to the Potential Imposition of a Penalty:* Any deliberations of a public body occurring after a public hearing that may result in the imposition of a specific civil penalty upon the responding party or the suspension or loss of a license or permit belonging to the responding party bearing responsibility.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board shall disclose to the public, as soon as practicable, the contents of the discussions after the final disposition of the matters discussed.

Moved By: Mr. Orcutt

Seconded By: Mr. Accetturo

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	-	Yes
	Mr. Orcutt	-	Yes
	Mr. Perez	-	Absent
	Mr. Accetturo	-	Yes

I hereby certify the above to be a true copy of a resolution adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County on the date above mentioned.

Recording Secretary

Dated: 06/24/24

*****Executive session ended approximately 10:47 am.***

Mr. Orcutt stated that he would make the motion to go back to public session
Mr. Accetturo stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Yes
 Mr. Orcutt - Yes
 Mr. Perez - Absent
 Mr. Accetturo - Yes

*****Public session began at approximately 10:47***

*No action was taken while in Executive Session.

Mr. Orcutt stated that he would make a motion to approve the confidential settlement agreement with Russell Reid.

Mr. Accetturo stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Yes
 Mr. Orcutt - Yes
 Mr. Perez - Absent
 Mr. Accetturo - Yes

Mr. Tipton stated that they also need a motion to approve the second additional one-year option to continue to use Russell Reid for leachate hauling, delivery, and disposal.

Mr. Orcutt stated that he would make the motion.

Mr. Accetturo stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Yes
 Mr. Orcutt - Yes
 Mr. Perez - Absent
 Mr. Accetturo - Yes

Mr. Knittel stated that they would go back to facility agenda items, they already spoke about the force main. They would start up with Barbella.

The 20-acre side slope cap project was under way, Barbella was progressing, they have already finished shaping and they were starting to place PDM cushion layer. They have submitted last

month's bill; it was mostly just pre-mobilization and general fees that were normal at the beginning of the job. This month will be more earth work, each month when we get the bill, we turn it around within a week and submit it to the State for re-imbusement from closure funds. Some months they may see a negative on our monthly finances because they cut a check for a half a million or a million dollars and then the following month they may get reimbursed with a check or two so you may see our finances swing up and down throughout this project but it should balance out. Hopefully when they button up for the winter and re-mobilize in the spring there would not be an outstanding invoice lingering without being reimbursed by the State before the year-end.

Mrs. Banghart replied that was what period 13 was used for, to close out the year end properly. Mr. Knittel replied yes that all depends on how quick the State is for reimbursements.

Mr. Orcutt asked how quick the State said they promised that they were going to be.

Mr. Knittel replied that they promised a 32-day turn-around time,

Mr. Banghart stated that she had sent out all of the forms to the engineer, to fill out the SFA-004 forms and they, then have to submit it to the State for the bills to be approved.

Mr. Knittel stated that currently their first bill was about \$250,000.00 and that would be their first requisition. Now they would see how quick they get that back, and next month could be about a million dollars.

Mr. Accetturo asked, and when they thought that Barbella would be finished?

Mr. Knittel replied they should get about 10-acres of the twenty done by November, and then they would come back the following March through July and do the other 10-acres. Mr. Knittel continued saying that they were going to try to get more than the 10-acres done by November but either way they would be slightly capped in with processed dredged materials being compacted. That would shed a lot of the winter storm water. However, the more liner the better, they would have to stop based on weather, when it starts to get too cold for welding and they do need to put a lot of dirt on top of that liner to keep it down. They have a lot of work to do and to pre-plan a month ahead of time when they stop for the winter.

Mr. Accetturo stated that they were here on the job, and they were working full force.

Mr. Knittel replied yes, they are working along and they have construction meeting every other Thursday and it was going smooth. They may increase to once a week if they get really busy.

Mr. Knittel stated that A-3 waste disposal fee schedule has no changes, so they will skip past that.

A-4, A-5 and A-6 were our recycling programs. Electronics, Tires, and Single Stream, recycling programs were running as expected not anything new there. The tire change was a huge improvement this past month, our bill went down, not having the large agricultural tires.

GENERAL COUNSEL

Mr. Tipton stated that he had nothing further.

OTHER BUSINESS

Mr. Accetturo asked if there were any other business.

CLOSING PUBLIC COMMENTS

Mr. Accetturo asked if there were any closing public comments.

Mr. Accetturo asked if there was anything else before he asks for adjournment.

Mr. Larsen asked Mr. Tipton if there was anything on the entity conversion.

Mr. Tipton replied that there was nothing that he would want to speak about in a public session.

Mr. Orcutt suggested that they go back into executive.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

Mr. Orcutt stated, that he would make a motion to go into executive session.

Mr. Accetturo stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	- Yes
	Mr. Orcutt	- Yes
	Mr. Perez	- Absent
	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes

**Executive session was entered at approximately 10:52 am.*

RESOLUTION

R-06-04-24

**AUTHORIZING EXECUTIVE SESSION OF THE POLLUTION
CONTROL FINANCING AUTHORITY OF WARREN COUNTY FOR A
MEETING NOT OPEN TO THE PUBLIC IN ACCORDANCE WITH
THE PROVISIONS OF THE NEW JERSEY OPEN PUBLIC MEETINGS
ACT, N.J.S.A 10:4-12**

WHEREAS, the Open Public Meetings Act, N.J.S.A. 10:4-12, provides that an Executive Session, not open to the public, may be held for certain specified purposes when authorized by Resolution, and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that this Board hereby excludes the public in order to discuss such matters. The general nature of the subjects to be discussed are as follows:

- (1) *Matters Required by Law to be Confidential:* Any matter which, by express provision of Federal law or State statute or rule of court shall be rendered confidential or excluded from the provisions of the Open Public Meetings Act.
- (2) *Matters Where the Release of Information Would Impair the Right to Receive Funds:* Any matter in which the release of information would impair a right to receive funds from the Government of the United States.
- (3) *Matters Involving Individual Privacy:* Any material the disclosure of which constitutes an unwarranted invasion of individual privacy such as any records, data, reports, recommendations, or other personal material of any educational, training, social service, medical, health, custodial, child protection, rehabilitation, legal defense, welfare, housing, relocation, Insurance and similar program or Institution operated by a public body pertaining to any specific individual admitted to or served by such institution or program, including but not limited to information relative to the Individual's personal and family circumstances, and any material pertaining to admission, discharge, treatment, progress or condition of any Individual, unless the individual concerned (or, in the case of a minor or incompetent, his guardian) shall request in writing that the same be disclosed publicly.
- (4) *Matters Relating to Collective Bargaining Agreements:* Any collective bargaining agreement, or the terms and conditions which are proposed for inclusion in any collective bargaining agreement, including the negotiation of the terms and conditions thereof with employees or representatives of employees of the public body.
- (5) *Matters Relating to the Purchase, Lease or Acquisition of Real Property or the Investment of Public Funds:* Any matter involving the purchase, lease or acquisition of real property with public funds, the setting of banking rates or investment of public funds, where it could adversely affect the public interest if discussion of such matters were disclosed.
- (6) *Matters Relating to Public Safety and Property:* Any tactics and techniques utilized in protecting the safety and property of the public provided that their disclosure could impair such protection. Any investigations of possible violations of the law.
- (7) *Matters Relating to Litigation, Negotiations and the Attorney-Client Privilege:* Any pending or anticipated litigation or contract negotiation in which the public body is, or may become a party. Any matters falling within the attorney-client privilege, to the extent that confidentiality is required in order for the attorney to exercise his ethical duties as a lawyer.
- (8) *Matters Relating to the Employment Relationship:* Any matter involving the employment, appointment, termination of employment, terms and conditions of employment, evaluation of the performance or promotion or disciplining of any specific prospective public officer or employee or current public officer or employee employed or appointed by the public body, unless all the individual employees or appointees whose

rights could be adversely affected request in writing that such matter or matters be discussed at a public meeting.

(9) *Matters Relating to the Potential Imposition of a Penalty*: Any deliberations of a public body occurring after a public hearing that may result in the imposition of a specific civil penalty upon the responding party or the suspension or loss of a license or permit belonging to the responding party bearing responsibility.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board shall disclose to the public, as soon as practicable, the contents of the discussions after the final disposition of the matters discussed.

Moved By: Mr. Orcutt

Seconded By: Mr. Accetturo

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	-	Yes
	Mr. Orcutt	-	Yes
	Mr. Perez	-	Absent
	Mr. Accetturo	-	Yes

I hereby certify the above to be a true copy of a resolution adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County on the date above mentioned.

Recording Secretary
Mariann Cliff

Dated:

****Executive session ended approximately 10:58 am.**

*No action was needed from Executive Session.

Mr. Accetturo made a motion to come out of Executive Session, seconded by Mr. Orcutt.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	-	Yes
	Mr. Orcutt	-	Yes

Mr. Perez - Absent
Mr. Accetturo - Yes

Regular session resumed at approximately 10:58 am.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. Accetturo stated that he would make a motion to adjourn.
Mr. Orcutt stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Yes
Mr. Orcutt - Yes
Mr. Perez - Absent
Mr. Accetturo - Yes

*****Meeting was adjourned at approximately 11:04 am***

Respectfully submitted by:

Mariann Cliff
Recording Secretary

Approved: 7/22/24