

POLLUTION CONTROL FINANCING AUTHORITY  
OF WARREN COUNTY

MINUTES OF REGULAR MONTHLY MEETING

October 23, 2023

Chairman Angelo Accetturo called the regular monthly meeting of the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County to order at approximately 9:31 AM.

Authority Members present: Angelo Accetturo, Rob Larsen, Alex Lazorisak, Walter Orcutt, and Dan Perez.

Mr. Accetturo asked to call the Monday October 23, 2023, PCFA meeting to order, please call the roll.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	- Present
	Mr. Lazorisak	- Present
	Mr. Orcutt	- Present
	Mr. Perez	- Present
	Mr. Accetturo	- Present

Also present: Brian Henning and Pat Radomski, Mott MacDonald; Mike Thomas, WSP; James Beldan, Covanta; Brian Tipton, General Counsel; Jonathan Knittel, Director; Jamie Banghart, Assistant Director, and Mariann Cliff Administrative Assistant.

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Chairman Accetturo.

Mr. Accetturo read the following statement: “Adequate notice of this meeting of October 23<sup>th</sup> 2023 was given in accordance with the Open Public Meetings Act by forwarding a schedule of regular meetings of the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County (PCFAWC) to the Warren County Clerk, the Warren County Board of County Commissioners, The Express-Times, and by posting a copy thereof on the bulletin board in the office of the PCFAWC. Formal action may be taken by the PCFAWC at this meeting. Public participation is encouraged.”

MINUTES

Mr. Accetturo asked if there was a motion for M-1 the regular monthly meeting minutes from September 25, 2023.

Mr. Lazorisak stated that he would make a motion to approve the Regular Monthly Meeting Minutes from September 25, 2023.

Mr. Orcutt stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	- Yes
	Mr. Lazorisak	- Yes
	Mr. Orcutt	- Yes
	Mr. Perez	- Yes
	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes

An Executive session was not needed on September 25, 2023.

### CORRESPONDENCE

Mr. Accetturo stated that they had two items under correspondence and asked Mr. Knittel to go over them.

Mr. Knittel stated that C-1 was a letter asking for some updates on the Closure and Post-Closure Care Plan that was under review. It has been submitted since last summer, and the State got around to look at it in the last few weeks and had a few comments to the engineer Mark Swyka. He has addressed those comments, they were basic follow-up, there was nothing wrong with the plan. This was just informational.

Mr. Knittel stated that C-2 was a follow-up on a full inspection by the DEP as they do each year, this summer they came out and they reviewed our permit which has some non-typical permit requirements. Such as, zero downtime was allowed for the flare.

They were the only landfill in the Country that has that has that requirement. This was a typo or a punitive type language to keep that flare running 100% of the time, which, was un-realistic. Flares do need maintenance and safety inspections. They do have to hook up new wells to the gas field and to do that with live vacuum would be dangerous without locking out and tag-out. The PCFA has asked the DEP to change that permit language. SCS gas service was modifying the Title V permit.

Needless to say, the DEP gave them a notice of violation for being down for a maintenance.

There was a handout which was our response to C-2, this was a formality, they had to respond in 30 days.

The handout states that while they would strive to meet the requirements of both items A and B noted in the N.O.V., they have SCS gas services actively working to modify these unreasonable permit constraints. That response letter went back to the DEP and that was C-2.

They expect that Title V permit update to take six to eight months, and they have budget built into 2024 for SCS to perform that service for us. That would clean-up the unreasonable permit language.

The DEP was also concerned that they currently have 2 flares, a utility flare, and an enclosed flare. Our permit allows us to use either. The State has now strived to eliminate the use of utility flares because they were hard to stack-test. Testing on an enclosed flare was easier so therefore they would like to eliminate utility flares in the future. Our utility flare was our primary flare because of gas flow and they may have to address flare issues in the future.

PUBLIC COMMENTS (AGENDA ITEMS ONLY)

Mr. Accetturo asked if there were any public comments for agenda items only.

FINANCE

Mr. Accetturo stated that they would now go to finance.

Mrs. Banghart stated that the finances were good, they have no outstanding issues at this time. They have moved a good part of the money into Valley Bank at a higher interest rate of 4.75%. They also closed out all the haulers escrow accounts, a lot of people replied back to the letters that they sent out and they have mailed out all of the money.

Mr. Accetturo replied great.

Mr. Accetturo asked for a motion on R-10-01-23 to pay bills.

Mr. Lazorisak replied that he would make the motion.

Mr. Orcutt stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	- Yes
	Mr. Lazorisak	- Yes
	Mr. Orcutt	- Yes
	Mr. Perez	- Yes
	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes

On a motion by *Mr. Lazorisak*, seconded by *Mr. Orcutt*, the following resolution was adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County at a meeting held on *October 23, 2023*.

**RESOLUTION**  
**R-10-01-23**  
**To Pay Bills – October 23, 2023**

WHEREAS, the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County has been presented with invoices for services, supplies and other materials rendered to it or on its behalf;

NOW, THEREFORE, be it resolved by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County that the following bills be paid:

*See Attached*

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	- Yes
	Mr. Lazorisak	- Yes
	Mr. Orcutt	- Yes
	Mr. Perez	- Yes
	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes

We hereby certify Resolution to Pay Bills in the amount of \$ 1,720,877.83 to be a true copy of a resolution adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County on the 23<sup>rd</sup> *day* of October, 2023.

---

Mariann Cliff  
Recording Secretary

---

Jonathan Knittel  
Director of Operations

Approved: 10/23/23

#### PERSONNEL

Mr. Knittel stated that there was nothing on personnel.

#### PRESENTATIONS

Mr. Accetturo asked if there were any presentations. Mr. Knittel replied that there was not a presentation but he wanted to welcome James Belden from Covanta and Mike Thomas from WSP who is also the LSRP for Covanta.

Mr. Knittel spoke to Chairman Accetturo saying that they were probably going to be discussing some details about Covanta's closure, their demolition bid was out and they would be meeting with responsive bidders, to his knowledge Tuesday through Thursday this week.

The County did have some comments and questions, and the committee and he, has met with the engineer.

Mr. Knittel asked Mr. Lazorisak his thoughts on what the best time would be. Whether or not it would be considered contractual, and would need to be an executive session discussion, or not.

Mr. Lazorisak replied that at this point he thinks they need to have an open conversation about where they were, and listen to the proposal from Covanta.

There was some discussion regarding what they needed from Covanta, and when they should be heard. It was decided to continue with the rest of the meeting and then have the discussion with Covanta.

### FACILITIES/RECYCLING

Mr. Knittel stated that the number one concern at this time, was the odor, and they were making excellent headway on it. He went on to explain that they have more than doubled the gas collection, and they spent a lot of time putting down additional intermediate cover on various hot spots in cell 7. They have been checking periodically for odor along the fence-line and they were hopeful that the increase flow from the collection wells and the additional cover will be helpful.

Mr. Knittel stated that they were pushing ahead with the installation of horizontal gas collection on cell 7 this week. The pipe is on site, and the excavation will begin tomorrow, along with the welding of the pipe. By Friday they hope to have vacuum pulling from a new gas-well head on the new horizontal collector.

There was more discussion on all options and every angle to control the odor efficiently.

Mr. Knittel stated that the west slope cap project is functioning as intended, and that job has been closed out, it was an eight-acre slope, shedding water as intended, keeping gas under control on that west slope with some new horizontal collectors underneath that liner.

The permanent cap update, they have viewable drawings in-house. They were trying for November 20<sup>th</sup> as the date to go out to bid on that, and he has had discussions with the capital committee that they may put that on hold, pending closure fund reimbursement from the State of New Jersey. They have had reimbursement requests into the State for some time and it was a waiting game. Until they start seeing a flow of reimbursement come back to them, they may put that November 20<sup>th</sup> bid date on hold for a little while.

Mr. Knittel stated that the next bullet was the closure fund reimbursement, they were still waiting, they had two requests in and the third request was going in next week. They did have confirmation that two levels of the NJDEP have signed off on it, and it was up to their supervisors now. They should see closure funds rolling in for this calendar year.

Mr. Knittel stated that they have successfully completed a 12-day import of process dredge residential PDM from the Hudson River mixed with 8% Portland. They brought in 50,000 yards and it is in their stockpile. It was curing and waiting for placement as shaping, and cushion layer for permanent cap on 20-acres of their cells. This PDM was approximately 1 to 1 ½ million dollars in savings by using

material that they receive a tipping fee for, instead of importing soils from offsite, or using their own soil which they try to conserve.

Mr. Knittel stated that for cell 7 construction completion, Tomco finally did send the signed-and-sealed, as-built to the engineer of records and to the quality control team, so they now have the ability to wrap that up, and submit the last section of cell 7 for certification. Until that report was submitted in the next few weeks or months, they would hold off on that last bit of retainage. That was just in case the engineer found anything that was missing or incorrect.

Mr. Knittel stated that the FEMA, IDA, Drainage Repair Project, that has the October 31<sup>st</sup> deadline, was on track for A.C.O. items. There was one item within that Tomco contract, that was a single line-item, #41 that they were pulling out of the contract. It was some asphalt paving, and it was not part of the A.C.O. so after discussions with the capital committee, and knowing that the asphalt would be out-of-pocket expense, they decided that they would delay that until better weather in the next year.

Mr. Knittel stated that next was A-2, the change order CO-4 and CO-6 are change orders done during the A.C.O. project, however they were more related to the July 15<sup>th</sup> storm event.

Mr. Lazorisak asked what change order CO-5 was.

Mr. Knittel replied that it did not exist yet.

There was some discussion about what happened to change order CO-5 what it was, whether it was an increase or decrease, and where it should be. It was then decided that for accuracy, to change CO-6 to CO-5 and when CO-5 appeared again, they could just put it in order and call it CO-6.

Mr. Knittel stated that he would talk to the engineer about the change, and he would need a motion to approve change order CO-4 and CO-5.

Mr. Lazorisak stated that he would make a motion authorizing the change order number CO-4 and change-order number CO-6 which will be now CO-5.

Mr. Orcutt stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	- Yes
	Mr. Lazorisak	- Yes
	Mr. Orcutt	- Yes
	Mr. Perez	- Yes
	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes

Mr. Knittel stated that next, was the update on the leachate hauling automated kiosk, this was just a way for tanker trucks to weigh in and out after the scale was closed and still get a weight ticket. That had been delayed, but they hope to have it here by the end of the month. They were still waiting on one single part, when they get that, they will test and ship it to us.

Mr. Knittel stated that next, was A-3 the HHW event, that was October 8<sup>th</sup>, and they got rid of household hazardous waste as a free service to Warren County residents. They had approximately 293 cars and it took a full 18-wheeler full of hazardous waste out for disposal/recycling.

A-4, A-5, and A-6 were three bids. This was for Auditing services, Legal services, and Aerial Topo services. These are annual bids that need to go out each November for public bidding.

Mr. Lazorisak stated that he would make a motion authorizing the Director to put out for bid, the Auditing services.

Mr. Orcutt stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	- Yes
	Mr. Lazorisak	- Yes
	Mr. Orcutt	- Yes
	Mr. Perez	- Yes
	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes

Mr. Lazorisak stated that he would make a motion authorizing the Director to put out for bid, the proposal for Legal services.

Mr. Orcutt stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	- Yes
	Mr. Lazorisak	- Yes
	Mr. Orcutt	- Yes
	Mr. Perez	- Yes
	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes

Mr. Lazorisak stated that he would make a motion authorizing the Director to put out for bid, the Aerial Topo services.

Mr. Orcutt stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	- Yes
	Mr. Lazorisak	- Yes
	Mr. Orcutt	- Yes
	Mr. Perez	- Yes
	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes

Mr. Knittel stated that next was A-7 the waste disposal fee schedule, there were no changes made this month.

Mr. Knittel stated that A-8, draft 2024 waste disposal pricing schedule, he was meeting with the finance committee as early as possible after this meeting, to go over pricing schedule for next year's tonnage. He will be proposing a change to the rates, also to finalize the 2024 budget so they could submit it for acceptance by resolution by next Board meeting. Then adopt that budget at the December meeting and get it in this year before January 1<sup>st</sup>.

Mr. Knittel stated that it was going to be busy the next couple of months. The next two Board meetings were critical for the 2024 budget, so meeting with the finance committee was essential. Mr. Knittel stated that he and Mrs. Banghart would be sending out an email today for some availability dates and they would discuss the waste disposal fee schedule and the budget.

Mr. Knittel stated that he would also need to have a personnel committee meeting in the next month as well, to discuss the employee reviews that have been going on this fall. Also, any potential salary adjustments that might be needed at the end of the year for January.

Mr. Knittel stated that next was A-9, A-10, and A-11 they were their three recycling programs, electronics, tires, and single stream. Those have all been going well, they do not have any concerns currently. A-12 was the draft holiday schedule, they used the County's holiday schedule and there may or may not be some edits. There were some holidays on it that they were not used to seeing, and they may not want to close the landfill down on some of those days. They were trying to follow the example set by the County, if there were any comments on that they could adjust as needed.

Mr. Lazorisak stated that they do not have to follow the County's schedule. The PCFA was independent and should do whatever they decide was best for them.

Mrs. Banghart stated that this holiday schedule was in here for the 2024 contracts, so once these were approved, they would be sent with each of the contracts. This way the trucking companies could plan their year accordingly.

Mr. Knittel stated that one last item under facilities was a handout done in pink and green, it was a photograph of the landfill. The pink was the area that had been capped, or had significantly reduced leachate to near zero by the use of permanent cap, intermediate exposed liner, or PDM.

Following that statement was a detailed discussion on leachate generation and future projections. What was capped, what needed to be capped, and what the benefits and savings were, short-term and long-haul. Also discussed was the time-table for the capping and or the placement of the PDM materials.

This discussion was followed up by tasking the engineer to update the map to an official 11x17 with dates; acreage and any other information that was needed for a base map as they continue with closures.

It was also discussed how the tree and brush removal was coming along, and it was said that all of the trees had been removed and there was only brush remaining.

## GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT

Mr. Accetturo asked for the General Counsel's report.

Mr. Tipton replied that he had only one item, and that was for executive session.

## OTHER

Mr. Accetturo asked if there was any other business.

## Closing Public Comments

Mr. Accetturo asked if there were any closing public comments.

## PRESS COMMENTS & QUESTIONS

Mr. Accetturo asked if there was anything else, any comments.

Mr. Knittel stated that they wanted to have some discussion with Covanta before going into executive session.

Mr. Knittel stated that he had been there about 2 years and everyone here was familiar with the Covanta facility. There was the Wood report that had been done on behalf of Covanta to help guide them with a price estimate. That report had some assumptions and rational based in it. The cost estimate in that report came up to three and a half million dollars. It made some assumptions on demolition including removal of infrastructure to a depth of eight feet. Recently Covanta put bid documents out to bid, and to our knowledge we received those bid documents after bidding was already open. However, they did review those and had some comments, and Mr. Henning was here from Mott MacDonald, if they should need him. He was one of the people that did a review of that Wood report. He has knowledge of Covanta because he had done some in-person visits and inspections of that facility.

Mr. Knittel believes that the County's expectation in the ground lease, was that the land be returned to near original condition to the time which Covanta had started. Mr. Knittel noted that he did not have the lease in front of him.

That condition, though some may disagree, was part of a land purchase from a quarry enterprise. To our knowledge that land was, at grade, the geology was natural, and there had never been any pits or mining activity on that piece of property. Therefore, the County had called that farm-land-like return. The bullet in the Wood report assuming infrastructure to eight feet, was something several of us were not familiar with until the bid documents came out and they saw three feet of removal in the bid documents. In discussion with the engineer, and with his past twenty years with geotechnical environmental work, three feet of removal on a site that has elevation pitch does not substantially remove concrete or obstructions or open pits below ground to a depth deep enough to readily allow future development. Any site that has 4 or 5 feet of elevation pitch would need to have a balanced cut-and-fill done on it, so that the site grade still pitches to a basin.

Mr. Knittel stated that they would keep the basins, therefore if they were doing small cuts-and-fills to build a flat warehouse or a structure, those materials left to a depth of three feet could be in the way of future redevelopment.

Mr. Accetturo stated that he had questions, that land was owned by the County and it had nothing to do with the PCFA. Why is it that Mr. Knittel was so involved? Was it part of the contract? We have no idea what may be going there next, correct?

Mr. Lazorisak replied that at the end of the day the County tax-payers own the land, but the responsibility of working with Covanta decommissioning the land and getting an N.F.A. was of this Board. Very early on, even before Mr. Knittel was there, the agreement was to bring the property back to its original state. There have been questions regarding whether it was to be brought back to farming state or not. Early negotiations with Covanta revealed that there were two things that the County wanted; 1- was an N.F.A. (No Further Action from the DEP), also the ability that the property would be set and ready to go for future development. That if the County wanted to sell the land, it could be developed. In early talks with Rick Sanders from Covanta the County implied that to help them out with some costs, there may be things that they could sit down and negotiate about, such as the road and basins, also certain concrete structures under the surface, but they would have to be approved by an engineer for later development.

Mr. Henning replied that was correct, there may be two tiers to this, one was what Mr. Lazorisak just stated and the other was any potential environmental concerns, impacts to the media, may it be ground water or sub-surface soils. Those were things that need to be assessed now, so that any risks or any potential contamination does not remain, possibly hindering future development. It would be the structural side and the physical side as well as the environmental side.

Mr. Henning stated that maybe there was a two-way from a regulatory compliance standpoint, was there any permits that may or may not be attached to that property, oftentimes they get left, sometimes the DEP does not even know that they were there. When the file search is done or the property transfer was done you might find that there was an old stormwater permit attached to the facility or something of that nature. So, it must be thorough, all the administrative and physical characteristics should be closed out.

Mr. Accetturo asked did you say that it has gone out to bid? If so, he was assuming that those bid documents were reviewed by the County, right?

Mr. Lazorisak replied that they just received the bid documents, that was when this came up.

A quick discussion followed this, and the conclusion was, that the bid documents were not reviewed by the County before Covanta put them out to bid.

Mr. Belden stated that those documents were developed in the last few months, and the bid went out a couple of weeks after. This was with the understanding, that the back-and-forth conversations that they previously had, meant that they knew the direction that they were going.

Mr. Belden stated that he does not think that there has to be contention. Mr. Belden stated that's why he came here in August and said let's discuss what you want for the final condition for the property, of course, they would like to do less remediation. They would be talking physical not environmental, like the parking lot or road, if it was suitable for future potential use that the County may want for it.

Mr. Belden stated that he understands the difficulty, there were three parties here, they were dealing directly with the PCFA, then the County, who was the one who must take the property over, may have other ideas, so this makes it a little more difficult.

Mr. Belden stated that he had seen some Mott MacDonald comments, back from 2021. Clearly, he would rely on Wood on these issues, since he only got involved about four months ago. Certainly, retiring the permits, right now they had the question on the well permit, which was up for renewal now so they would need to know their intentions on that. All of these items were being considered, it was all part of their scope, with Wood as well.

Mr. Belden stated that as far as the bid going out, that was one of the reasons that he wanted to make sure he was there today. Before they go into negotiations with potential bidders. A lot of that remediation work was still extra work on top of what their actual bids were. The bid was primarily being refined on demo. The Wood group still had a lot of work to do on figuring out how far the remediation needs to go on soils, stormwater and environmentally ensuring that everything was done satisfactory. There would be no loose ends here.

Mr. Lazorisak stated that he was under the impression that they were waiting on Covanta to get the demolition together and sit down with them, and that never happened. Now it was out to bid, and they missed that step.

Mr. Belden replied that he would have to apologize for that, he was sorry if they missed a step. There may have been some mis-communication when it was handed off to him, but that is why he was there now. They have not awarded the contract yet and the only issue or constraint was that, in order to get it done by November 2024, they really need to get rolling by January since it was a ten-month project.

Mr. Lazorisak asked if he would be willing at this point to sit down with them and look at everything to see if all of us can come together on a solution and keep this thing moving forward at the same time.

Mr. Belden replied yes, absolutely, that is why they were there today.

There was a detailed discussion between Covanta and the Board, going over again, the timeframe of the project, when they could sit down together and discuss the details and concerns of the bid. They also discussed what needs to be done, to satisfactory return the land to a state that they could all agree upon. It was also further discussed that the County would need to hire an LSRP to look out for their interests in this project.

Cards were exchanged and there was brief conversation about when they could meet.

## EXECUTIVE SESSION

Mr. Orcutt stated that he would make a motion to go into Executive session.

Mr. Accetturo stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	- Yes
	Mr. Lazorisak	- Yes
	Mr. Orcutt	- Yes
	Mr. Perez	- Yes

**R-10-02-23**

**AUTHORIZING EXECUTIVE SESSION OF THE POLLUTION CONTROL FINANCING AUTHORITY OF WARREN COUNTY FOR A MEETING NOT OPEN TO THE PUBLIC IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THE NEW JERSEY OPEN PUBLIC MEETINGS ACT, N.J.S.A 10:4-12**

WHEREAS, the Open Public Meetings Act, N.J.S.A. 10:4-12, provides that an Executive Session, not open to the public, may be held for certain specified purposes when authorized by Resolution, and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that this Board hereby excludes the public in order to discuss such matters. The general nature of the subjects to be discussed are as follows:

(1) *Matters Required by Law to be Confidential:* Any matter which, by express provision of Federal law or State statute or rule of court shall be rendered confidential or excluded from the provisions of the Open Public Meetings Act.

(2) *Matters Where the Release of Information Would Impair the Right to Receive Funds:* Any matter in which the release of information would impair a right to receive funds from the Government of the United States.

(3) *Matters Involving Individual Privacy:* Any material the disclosure of which constitutes an unwarranted invasion of individual privacy such as any records, data, reports, recommendations, or other personal material of any educational, training, social service, medical, health, custodial, child protection, rehabilitation, legal defense, welfare, housing, relocation, Insurance and similar program or Institution operated by a public body pertaining to any specific individual admitted to or served by such institution or program, including but not limited to information relative to the Individual's personal and family circumstances, and any material pertaining to admission, discharge, treatment, progress or condition of any Individual, unless the individual concerned (or, in the case of a minor or incompetent, his guardian) shall request in writing that the same be disclosed publicly.

(4) *Matters Relating to Collective Bargaining Agreements:* Any collective bargaining agreement, or the terms and conditions which are proposed for inclusion in any collective bargaining agreement, including the negotiation of the terms and conditions thereof with employees or representatives of employees of the public body.

(5) *Matters Relating to the Purchase, Lease or Acquisition of Real Property or the Investment of Public Funds:* Any matter involving the purchase, lease or acquisition of real property with public funds, the setting of banking rates or investment of public funds, where it could adversely affect the public interest if discussion of such matters were disclosed.

(6) *Matters Relating to Public Safety and Property:* Any tactics and techniques utilized in protecting the safety and property of the public provided that their disclosure could impair such protection. Any investigations of possible violations of the law.

(7) *Matters Relating to Litigation, Negotiations and the Attorney-Client Privilege:* Any pending or anticipated litigation or contract negotiation in which the public body is, or may become a party. Any matters falling within the attorney-client privilege, to the extent that confidentiality is required in order for the attorney to exercise his ethical duties as a lawyer.

(8) *Matters Relating to the Employment Relationship:* Any matter involving the employment, appointment, termination of employment, terms and conditions of employment, evaluation of the performance or promotion or disciplining of any specific prospective public officer or employee or current public officer or employee employed or appointed by the public body, unless all the individual employees or appointees whose rights could be adversely affected request in writing that such matter or matters be discussed at a public meeting.

(9) *Matters Relating to the Potential Imposition of a Penalty:* Any deliberations of a public body occurring after a public hearing that may result in the imposition of a specific civil penalty upon the responding party or the suspension or loss of a license or permit belonging to the responding party bearing responsibility.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board shall disclose to the public, as soon as practicable, the contents of the discussions after the final disposition of the matters discussed.

Moved By: Mr. Orcutt

Seconded By: Mr. Accetturo

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	-	Yes
	Mr. Lazorisak	-	Yes
	Mr. Orcutt	-	Yes
	Mr. Perez	-	Yes
	Mr. Accetturo	-	Yes

I hereby certify the above to be a true copy of a resolution adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County on the date above mentioned.

---

Recording Secretary  
Mariann Cliff

Dated:

**\*\*James Belden and Mike Thomas left the Boardroom at approximately 10:15.**

**\*\*Executive session began approximately 10:16am.**

Mr. Orcutt made a motion to come out of executive session.  
Mr. Accetturo replied that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	- Yes
	Mr. Lazorisak	- Yes
	Mr. Orcutt	- Yes
	Mr. Perez	- Yes
	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes

**\*\*Executive session ended at approximately 10:29am.**

**\*\*Public session began approximately 10:29am.**

Mr. Accetturo asked Mr. Lazorisak if he had a motion to make regarding Russell Reid.

Mr. Lazorisak stated that he would make a motion authorizing counsel to negotiate a settlement deal with Russell Reid.

Mr. Orcutt stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	- Yes
	Mr. Lazorisak	- Yes
	Mr. Orcutt	- Yes
	Mr. Perez	- Yes
	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes

Mr. Accetturo stated that when it comes to RFP's he does not need the whole bid packet, if he needs to read it, he will come in an obtain a copy.

There was some discussion with the Board members and Mrs. Banghart on not mailing out RFP's and in the future, not including them in the agenda packet.

Mr. Perez stated that he did have an announcement regarding his attendance. On December 12<sup>th</sup> he was going to have knee replacement so he would not be in attendance for the December meeting and if they wanted to get together regarding personnel, he would appreciate it if they could do it before December 12<sup>th</sup>.

A quick discussion ensued between Board members and Mr. Knittel regarding getting together for a committee meeting.

### ADJOURNMENT

Mr. Orcutt stated that he would make a motion to adjourn.  
Mr. Accetturo stated that he would second that motion.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	- Yes
	Mr. Lazorisak	- Yes
	Mr. Orcutt	- Yes
	Mr. Perez	- Yes
	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes

***\*\*Meeting was adjourned at approximately 10:34 AM***

---

Respectfully submitted by:

Mariann Cliff

Recording Secretary

Approved: 11/20/23