

POLLUTION CONTROL FINANCING AUTHORITY
OF WARREN COUNTY

MINUTES OF REGULAR MONTHLY MEETING

September 30, 2025

Chairman Walter Orcutt called the regular monthly meeting of the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County to order at approximately 9:33 am.

Authority Members present: Angelo Accetturo, Eric Lohman, Dan Perez, and Walter Orcutt.

Chairman Orcutt asked for roll call.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Accetturo	- Present
	Mr. Larsen	- Absent
	Mr. Perez	- Present
	Mr. Lohman	- Present
	Chairman Orcutt	- Present

Also present: Brian Tipton, General Counsel; Jonathan Knittel, Director of Operations; Jamie Banghart, Assistant Director and Mariann Cliff, Recording Secretary.

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Chairman Orcutt.

Chairman Orcutt read the following statement: "Adequate notice of this meeting of September 30, 2025 was given in accordance with the Open Public Meetings Act by forwarding a schedule of regular meetings of the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County (PCFAWC) to the Warren County Clerk, the Warren County Board of County Commissioners, The Express-Times, and by posting a copy thereof on the bulletin board in the office of the PCFAWC. Formal action may be taken by the PCFAWC at this meeting. Public participation is encouraged."

MINUTES

Chairman Orcutt asked if there was a motion for M-1 the Regular monthly meeting minutes from August 18, 2025.

Mr. Mr. Perez stated that he would make the motion to approve M-1.

Mr. Lohman stated that he would second the motion.

Chairman Orcutt stated, all in favor, say aye.

Chairman Orcutt asked if any opposed, then stated, motion granted unanimously.

CORRESPONDENCE

Chairman Orcutt asked if there was any correspondence. Mr. Knittel replied no.

FINANCE

Chairman Orcutt stated that next was the finance report.

Mr. Knittel spoke about the finances stating that Mrs. Banghart does a great job at the finance report each month and in approximately 20 pages you get a whole snapshot of the activities.

Mr. Knittel went through the Finance report and asked if there were any questions. He stated that the year would end up profitable even though we were behind last year's revenue.

There was a discussion on why the revenue was down. It was said the main reason was that we lost our three main haulers due to a better cost elsewhere.

Mr. Knittel stated that he was looking for another hauler for the next year.

The cap project was discussed and the money that it would bring in via leachate reduction and closure fund reimbursement.

Hauler arrears were discussed.

Mr. Knittel stated that the PCFA has finally been allowed by the DEP to bring in wood chips, and it already has started to improve the landfill and the roadways.

*****At approximately 9:48 AM Arie Kremen, from Tetra-Tech came into the Board room.***

He approached the Board with three samples of leachate. The first sample was raw, unprocessed leachate from our landfill (the color was dark and nearly opaque), the second sample was the discharge or reject liquid, after the leachate went through the treatment plant (the color was dark and impenetrable) and the third sample was the treated water from one pass-through. (the color was clear, no color).

Mr. Kremen then discussed the preliminary results with the Board.

*****At approximately 9:58 AM Arie Kremen, from Tetra-Tech left the Board room.***

The Board then discussed with Mr. Knittel the results that were brought in. It was said that the leachate would go through the treatment plant for a second pass before the final product was complete.

Mr. Knittel also mentioned that the PVSC treats raw leachate and RO reject brine as similar liquids (i.e. same disposal price). Mr. Knittel will further investigate brine disposal requirements and/or testing with PVSC for overall RO cost analysis and Return On Investment (ROI) calculations.

Mr. Knittel stated that they were going to look at the solar contract to see how much power we get at certain price structures and at what point it jumps to another rate as electricity consumption of an RO unit will be of the economics.

PFAS and the PFAS generators were discussed in detail regarding the possible legislation that the State may enforce at any time, and how to prepare for it within this RO feasibility study.

Chairman Orcutt stated that if there were no more questions on the bill list, he would need a motion on the Resolution R-09-01-25 to pay bills.

Mr. Perez stated that he would make a motion on Resolution R-09-01-25 to pay bills.

Mr. Accetturo stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes
	Mr. Larsen	- Absent
	Mr. Perez	- Yes
	Mr. Lohman	- Yes
	Chairman Orcutt	- Yes

On a motion by **Mr. Perez**, seconded by **Mr. Accetturo**, the following resolution was adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County at a meeting held on *September 30th, 2025*.

R E S O L U T I O N
R-09-01-25
To Pay Bills – September 30, 2025

WHEREAS, the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County has been presented with invoices for services, supplies and other materials rendered to it or on its behalf;

NOW, THEREFORE, be it resolved by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County that the following bills be paid:

See Attached

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes
	Mr. Larsen	- Absent
	Mr. Perez	- Yes
	Mr. Lohman	- Yes
	Chairman Orcutt	- Yes

We hereby certify Resolution to Pay Bills in the amount of **\$1,249,198.80** to be a true copy of a resolution adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County on the *30th day of September, 2025*.

Mariann Cliff
Recording Secretary

Jonathan Knittel
Director of Operations

Approved: 9/30/25

Chairman Orcutt stated that the Draft budget was next.

Mr. Knittel stated that the draft budget was complete and he really needed the Board to study this over the next month. There were a couple of big changes that he would like go over. The first one was medical insurance. We have received letters here and it has been in the news, that our State health care is gradually failing. It was a 38% increase this year and they expect the same next year.

We pay health insurance in two places; one up top for admin they were at \$124,000.00 and they were projected to go to \$172,000.00 which is approximately \$48,000.00 increase for four people. Down below on the page, under operations they were at \$264,000.00 then with a 38% increase it brings it to \$390,000.00 a \$130,000.00 increase for ten people.

That brings the total increase for medical to \$178,000.00 for 14 people.

Mr. Knittel stated that he and Mrs. Banghart had reached out to our insurance broker Peter Snedeker out of Washington who thinks he can match our current insurance coverage and keep it at this current year's expense (without the 38% increase)

This was discussed in detail, and the Board asked for more information. The Board also asked Mr. Knittel to ask for more than a year's time in the insurance contract. They also asked for a spreadsheet showing the cost for the employee, to be shown along with the cost of the employer since this was based on a tiered percentage.

Mr. Knittel stated that we all know that the retail electric has gone up a lot recently, but for us because we have that solar field and contract, we are not affected as much. Mr. Knittel needs to recheck the solar contract to check on future costs especially how much we can use at the below market rate that is steadily increasing in New Jersey.

The insurance package, (liability, general, boiler/machinery, auto, etc.) was generally paid all at once in January, this and all other options were discussed in detail.

Mr. Knittel stated that they just received the HHW bid and it was going up to \$41,890.00 a small increase. They did increase maintenance and repairs from \$400,000.00 to \$450,000.00.

Mr. Knittel stated that he has the landscaping broken down temporarily into A, B and C just to show where the landscape dollars go. Starting with C, he received a quote for 3 cuttings of the entire 30-acres of steep slopes. A current vendor cut 10-acres this past month for free to best quote what multiple cuttings of 30 Acres of steep slope would entail. Three cuttings are expected for 2026. Three cuttings of 30 Acres (90 mowed steep slope Acres) for 2026 are quoted at \$17,495.00.

There was a discussion that followed regarding the crew, that also included that this was the same crew that had been picking up litter in the last couple of years. The Board asked to see the formal quote at the next meeting. They also looked at the overhead PC monitor, a live view of the mowed capped landfill.

Mr. Knittel stated that part (A) of the landscaping included all of the mulch beds, mower maintenance, and repairs etc. all came up to \$9,000.00.

Part (B) included labor assistance and service including pressure washing of the heavy machines, litter patrol labor and weed whack labor for \$17,415.00 .

Mr. Knittel also mentioned as a side note that the new swales in the Cap that were just put in are lined with artificial turf. They should be much less maintenance and less weed whacking then compared to the old style of open rock lined swales that grow extensive vegetation.

Mr. Knittel stated that the one below landscaping was huge, it was \$800,000.00 it was for our compactor, we are not sure if it would make it thru the year and is our oldest machine.

All scenarios regarding the compactor were discussed, this compactor had 17,253 hours, and Cat has been advocating for a rebuild or replacement. The idea of a machine swap with another landfill was discussed. The swap with Sussex County was discussed and it was brought up that they went a different route, so that idea was off the table. They talked about what a rebuild would cost and how long it would take waiting for the rebuild if we use our machine "core".

The Board requested more information and a clear plan of action for the next meeting on the agenda.

Mr. Knittel brought up the option of a Tana compactor vs a Caterpillar and the price difference of those two machines was requested.

Mr. Knittel stated that leachate hauling was updated on line #5531 and #5532 with the current bid that was received. Mr. Knittel stated that this will come later in the meeting, he was only pointing it out because leachate hauling dollars were coming down steadily over the past several years with a substantial decrease this year.

The treatment plant and the time it could be ready, was discussed in detail. Along with that, the leachate contract was also talked about in regards to when they would get the plant running and how that would work.

The Board and Mr. Knittel discussed bringing in finding tonnage to get back up to the targeted amount and who could provide that tonnage.

Mr. Knittel stated that normally, the landfill spends around \$30,000.00 per year on solid waste engineering line item (not related to Closure or Capping). This amount is going to go up due to Cell 6A design and a new access haul road into Cell-7 from the high side of the site.

Mr. Knittel stated that credit card processing went way up, over the last 3 years from \$13,000.00 to \$26,000.00 and now a 2026 estimate of \$36,000 and that is after shopping around for the best deal. This is mostly because we've doubled the amount of cars coming into the landfill since we have been taking Hunterdon County residents due to the Hunterdon fire. This increase has only raised revenue a few percent while doubling our small vehicle (residential) traffic. One of the biggest drawbacks of doubling your cash customers, is not only the increased lines, but credit card fees.

There was a suggestion that the local politician's or legal team should be asking Hunterdon County as the host County, what they were going to do about the situation regarding the statute for each County to have a landfill or transfer station. This was discussed in detail, including how much more traffic we ended up with, if it was profitable or not, and how it may be hurting us. Mr. Knittel stated that they have gone from averaging \$60,000 to over \$100,000 retail per month. This led to the increasing concern over long lines on Saturday mornings and other peak times.

A discussion on how to get Hunterdon County to do something followed.

Mrs. Banghart pointed out that on page 4 you can see the breakdown of our credit card sales by month. It went from \$60,000.00 to \$120,000 retail, and the credit card went from \$29,000.00 to \$80,000.00. The percentage went from 50.3% to 66.3%. Our tonnage went from 450 to about 920 tons per month just for the convenience center.

Mr. Knittel continued with the budget, saying now go down to the bottom of the page, at the non-operating appropriations. They have 1.1 million dollars budgeted to go directly back to the local community. This includes White township host community fee for both cover and solid waste tonnage, a Warren County contribution, and Oxford impact fee. This total of 1.1 million is about 11% of our budget going back into the local towns and County.

Mr. Orcutt asked what role DCA had to do with the PCFA budget.

Mr. Knittel replied that the DCA approved their budget each fall for the following year, no budget goes to completion without DCA approval. DCA also imposes Capital restrictions if the current Cash balance is not enough to pay for the 30 year closure period if we were to have an abrupt landfill closure today.

Mr. Knittel stated that there was a question mark on 5527 White townships cover fee, it has been at \$50,000 per year, at \$1.00 per ton based on a 2005 letter stating that PCFA chooses to pay a voluntary \$1.00 per ton contribution. That letter was under review/recommendation by special counsel as limited funds are available for the 2026 budget.

Mr. Knittel stated that the next page was revenue, under the breakdown of all solid waste sources and likely tonnage. Three past out of county haulers (NTI, Gaeta, and Buldo) were projected to be zero tonnage in 2026 as cheaper outlets are available to them.

We have a chance to resign Lemcor and Covanta as two of our three needed out of County haulers to supplement limited in county tonnage. This leaves the need for one more hauler for about 20,000 to 30,000 tons to hit our tonnage target and to have a balanced budget. The need to pick up 20,000 tons is paramount to a balanced budget due to economy of scale of the working face, daily cover operations, weighmaster staff, and administration that needs the same staff and machinery whether we handle 100,000 tons or 140,000 tons of solid waste per year.

A solid waste broker (MBL) has shown interest in working with PCFA. A discussion regarding solid waste customers versus a broker ensued.

Mr. Orcutt asked if 100% of Sanico came here.

Mr. Knittel replied no. Mr. Orcutt asked how we could get the rest of Sanico's garbage to come here.

Mr. Knittel replied that a portion of Sanico's garbage routes are in Pennsylvania and in other NJ counties and there were other landfills closer to those routes in PA or other counties. Casella is the same or more so as they are a multi-State company. We do have the vast majority of Warren County curbside garbage including the towns of Phillipsburg and Washington that had previous used other outlets.

This opened a discussion on how we could capture a little more tonnage.

Mr. Knittel stated he spoke with the Morris County MCMUA Director Larry Gindoff and he would like to develop a future business agreement between Morris and Warren County. The MCMUA Mount Olive facility is only a short distance from PCFA via Rt. 46.

Mr. Lohman and Mr. Accetturo asked why we could not take care of this ourselves. Mr. Knittel stated that Morris County had waste flow-control and wasn't sure who or how our landfill gets approved by Morris as a possible future outlet.

This started a discussion on flow control and why to try to take care of this on our own without legal or County.

Mr. Lohman stated that Mr. Knittel and himself, should get together and have a meeting with Larry Gindoff.

The Board discussed this for a while, and it was suggested to get the meeting done as quickly as possible. The operation in Mount Olive used to average about 650 tons a day, that would be a plenty to supplement our out of County solid waste tonnage. During this conversation Mr. Lohman stated that Mount Olive's hauler, Mascaro, bid the contract with tipper-trailers to go to Keystone. You can't dump tipper-trailers here unless you have a landfill tipper. Mr. Knittel replied correct, we don't have a tip platform here. Mr. Lohman asked are we opposed to setting up something with a tipper here, are we permitted to do that?

Mr. Knittel replied that since we were built on a side of a mountain, we don't have a lot of flat ground for a centralized tipper.

This started a discussion regarding tippers and how they are used. The cost of them versus walking-floors, and led into a conversation regarding the contracts for the transfer stations and how long they run for. The hauler has the option of what facility he wants to bring the refuse to. It was said back when Waste management had the contract, it was a combination of walking floors and tippers. But that was because MCMUA was sending stuff to Covanta so they had to have walking-floors.

Mr. Lohman stated that there were companies that would come in here if they had a tipper, and suggested that we lease a tipper so they would be able to attract more volume.

This scenario was discussed in detail.

Mr. Knittel continued with the budget, saying that it was very tight this year. They do need 20,000 tons to balance it; and we will find that tonnage. Also, up in revenue section, we have \$130,000.00 in expected FEMA reimbursements. This is included as revenue, which is fine as the funds are already obligated and set aside for work already did in category Z, which was managements costs for the two completed projects. So, the management costs were simply our consultant and our management time throughout the projects.

The other revenues were Interests, State grants, Recyclable's, Miscellaneous. They have another 2-years in the ash contract at \$955,000.00 per year and they have Stony Brook and Somerset Raritan Valley Sewage Authority which was incinerated sludge approved and used for daily cover for \$220,000.00 and then 8.8 million in solid waste. That was assuming 136,000 tons. To get to 136 – they will need to find the last 20,000 tons.

Mr. Orcutt stated that looking in that dark green what was the +\$3.00.

Mr. Knittel replied that it was the \$3.00 recycling tax for New Jersey, on the three local haulers. This budget was assuming no increase for our local haulers (Warren County) and \$1.25 increase in red ink (out-of-County haulers) from \$61.75 to \$63.00.

This increase was discussed among the Board.

Mr. Knittel stated that moving ahead there was nothing on the third page because that was capital. It was zeros all for 2026 and they were anticipating Cell-6A construction in 2027 for 8-million and there were a couple of ways to pay for that. They have cash-on-hand, and if it goes over 8-million they have the ability to borrow 3 ½-million from closure funds. Though keeping in mind, that if they use the cash it will restrict our capital for the next four years again. So, he was open to other avenues to pay for Cell-6A without depleting our cash again.

This led into a lively discussion on borrowing options to build Cell-6. Also discussed was the purchase of a new compactor, while saving for the future dozer machine purchases and repairs. They also discussed creating a spreadsheet of all large anticipated costs, dozer undercarriages,

major equipment, etc to get a big picture on actual financial health. An internal five-year capital plan regardless of capital restrictions imposed by DCA.

Mr. Knittel stated that was it for the budget and he needed a resolution for the draft budget to go down to the DCA.

Chairman Orcutt stated that they needed a resolution for the draft budget.

Mr. Lohman stated that he would make a motion on Resolution R-09-02-25 Draft Budget.

Mr. Perez stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes
	Mr. Larsen	- Absent
	Mr. Perez	- Yes
	Mr. Lohman	- Yes
	Chairman Orcutt	- Yes

PERSONNEL

Chairman Orcutt asked if there was anything for personnel. Mr. Knittel stated that he has two positions advertised publicly, and they were taking applications and doing interviews at this time. There were two positions open so there were two potential hires at PCFA to return our total to 8-full time field personnel. We were operating with 8 full time employees for a very long time and in the last year they went down to six.

Chairman Orcutt stated that they still have a part time employee, his suggestion was that they hire one and revisit this in a few months.

This led into a very detailed discussion on candidates, and the legal side of it.

Then the rate of hire was discussed and it was said that you can't bring on a new apprentice operator at a higher rate than someone who has already been here as an apprentice operator.

A discussion was held amongst the board regarding rates.

Mr. Knittel stated that salaries for both admin and operations has enough budgeted to cover wage increase. They would have to talk with personnel committee in the next month regarding pay increases.

Chairman Orcutt asked how they effectuate the hire before the next meeting.

Mr. Tipton stated that they could certainly vote to give the Director the authority to enter into an agreement up to a certain rate of pay.

Chairman Orcutt asked for a motion.

Mr. Accetturo stated that the motion would be to authorize Mr. Knittel to hire this particular employee for that position at a rate not to exceed \$20.70 per hour.

Mr. Lohman stated that he would make that motion.
Mr. Perez stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes
	Mr. Larsen	- Absent
	Mr. Perez	- Yes
	Mr. Lohman	- Yes
	Chairman Orcutt	- Yes

PRESENTATIONS

Chairman Orcutt asked if there were any presentations.
Mr. Knittel stated that there were none.

REPORTS

FACILITIES/RECYCLING

Mr. Knittel stated that next, was the Onsite Waste Water Treatment Plant Evaluation was underway it started yesterday and it will run for three days, a lot of lab testing at this time.

The 2-Acre Side Slope Cap Project has been completed. They have paid the bill on that and were waiting for the two reimbursements from last month from closure funds. They did save a lot of money by screening our own soil with in-house employee's and machinery.

Chairman Orcutt asked how much of that crushing and screening was done with overtime moneys.
Mr. Knittel replied that some of it was on overtime and it was money well-spent. We saved roughly 1 ½ million and we spent a total overtime for a year for operations was \$100,000.00 and they saved 1.5 million.

Mr. Perez asked if it was 100,00.00 just in overtime.

Mr. Knittel replied that did include the mandatory Saturday's and holiday's that we are open which was about \$50,000.00 so we spent around \$50,000.00 this past year on authorized overtime and yes, we made a million and a half dollars' worth of material with that. Mr. Perez replied that it seemed low.

Mr. Knittel stated that most of that overtime, most of the effort and most of the hard work was one person and that was his foreman. He would like to formally thank Mr. Brian Heater with a congratulations or formal recognition.

The Board discussed having something done for him.

Chairman Orcutt stated that he would like to make a resolution of appreciation for Brian Heater's hard work in saving us a million dollars.

Mr. Orcutt stated that he would make that motion.

Mr. Perez stated that he would second the motion.

Chairman Orcutt stated, all in favor, say aye.

Chairman Orcutt asked if any opposed, then stated, motion granted unanimously.

Mr. Knittel stated that A-3 was Change Order 9 for net amount of \$3,055.15 for Board Approval.

Mr. Lohman stated that he would make the motion.

Mr. Perez stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes
	Mr. Larsen	- Absent
	Mr. Perez	- Yes
	Mr. Lohman	- Yes
	Chairman Orcutt	- Yes

Mr. Knittel stated next was Gas Wells, Header Pipe and Odor Update. Integrity Environmental has installed 6 new gas wells, a new 16-inch and 12-inch gas header collector pipe and several new gas collection points attached to existing cleanout pipes. The new system went active last Wednesday. They would be submitting their bill next week, that bill will be paid out of our account but eligible for closure fund reimbursement. That total project was roughly \$450,000.00 that includes the header pipe and 6 wells. The contractor did a fairly good job. They were in and out in about 4 ½ weeks.

Mr. Knittel stated PCFA replaced two submersible leachate pumps this week after 3 years of continuous service. (Mr. Knittel showed this on the PC monitor) We observed significant mineralization and scaling causing the premature failure of one of the two pumps, and the second pump had to be cleaned. We are working with a vendor that uses a chemical free (electronic) method of preventing future scaling and failure of pumps and pipes. We currently perform regular and repetitive jetting/washing of several sets of pipes onsite and these costs are built into our maintenance budget.

Mr. Knittel stated that they were going to try this electronic (cathode/anode) solution on one pump and see how it works. They would spend \$13,000.00 and would be charged to the maintenance budget line item. This is less the cost of one new QED pump in that system.

This led into a discussion on this mineral deposit; it was said that it was instrumental in the water treatment plant's failure previously, and they discussed what they were doing to prevent it from happening again. They also talked about using one chemical with reverse osmosis process, and that was sulfuric acid to reduce pH to prevent scaling issues.

This was discussed more. It was said that there were three pumps in total at the pump house and that two additional pumps were in storage per the O&M manual approved by the DEP.

Mr. Perez asked how we take care of our own employees' safety regarding the acid. Mr. Knittel replied that he is personally responsible for them. He is the health and safety officer for the whole facility. Inspections and enforcement he can delegate but ultimately, he is the enforcer. We also provide all PPE, training and they have a boot allowance.

Mr. Knittel stated that with this system, the winning vendor will help us update our operations and maintenance manual that the DEP approves as well. That manual would have to be updated to add that chemical to it. All of our chemicals are listed in our MSDS manual. We do have two

locations for that book, here in the office and down in the maintenance building. It was also in electronic form. This is also required by the Fire Marshal to have that book on hand. The Fire Marshal would need to be updated that there was a tank of acid on the premises for his and his crew's safety.

Mr. Knittel stated that it was a closed system, so the employees would not be handling this acid at any normal interval. Closed system meaning that the unit would call for acid through dedicated pipes and the acid would not be handled in the open.

Mr. Knittel stated that A-4 was the HHW bid. They had two bidders, the bids were reviewed and Radiac won, it was \$41,890.00 for the first event in 2026. That event will be well advertised, and the second event in 2027 was \$42,890.00 for the day. They will need a resolution to approve the bid.

Chairman Orcutt stated that it would be for one event per year for two years.

Resolution R-09-03-25, Resolution Awarding Household Hazardous Waste Contract to Radiac Environmental for 2026 and 2027.

Mr. Lohman stated that he would make the motion.

Mr. Perez stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes
	Mr. Larsen	- Absent
	Mr. Perez	- Yes
	Mr. Lohman	- Yes
	Chairman Orcutt	- Yes

On a motion by **Mr. Lohman**, seconded by **Mr. Perez**, the following resolution was adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County at a meeting held on *September 30, 2025*.

RESOLUTION

R-09-03-25

AWARDING CONTRACT FURNISHING CONTRACTOR SERVICES FOR HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE COLLECTION, RECYCLING, AND/OR DISPOSAL

WHEREAS, the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County (Authority) operates a sanitary landfill known as the Warren County District Landfill;

WHEREAS, the Authority is in need of services to furnish contractor services for household hazardous waste collection, recycling and/or disposal; and

WHEREAS, the Authority requested fair and open bids pursuant to N.J.S.A. 19:44A-20.4 et seq. for said services; and

WHEREAS, Two (2) Bids were received on September 24, 2025 and were evaluated by staff and General Counsel and **Radiac Environmental Services** was found to be the lowest, responsive bidder; and

NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved by the Authority that **Radiac Environmental Services** be awarded the contract for 2026/2027 for furnishing contractor services for household hazardous waste collection, recycling and/or disposal as stipulated in the bid document dated August 2025. Amount estimated at **\$41,890.00** for (1) event in 2026 and **\$42,890.00** for (1) event in 2027 in accordance with their Bid received on September 24, 2025.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Chairman and the Director of Operations of the Authority are authorized to execute a contract with **Radiac Environmental Services** for these services.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	- Absent
	Mr. Lohman	- Yes
	Mr. Perez	- Yes
	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes
	Mr. Orcutt	- Yes

I hereby certify the above to be a true copy of a resolution adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County on the date above mentioned.

Mariann Cliff, Recording Secretary
Dated: 09/30/25

Mr. Perez asked if this event covered Hunterdon County. Mr. Knittel replied no just Warren County residents.

Mr. Knittel stated that they also had a successful bid for Removal, Hauling, and Delivery of Untreated Leachate Generated from the Warren County District Landfill. One year with two optional years. They had five (5) bidders, and Coppola turned out to be the lowest bidder approved by Legal. They bid \$0.079 for all three years, based on 15 million gallons.

Mr. Lohman asked that if it was to be determined, that they don't come back with all of the necessary items, at what point do they move to the next bidder.

Mr. Knittel replied that it was usually within 7 to 10 days to get in all additional paperwork (bonds, COI, etc).

Mrs. Banghart stated that Mrs. Fina from Tipton's firm reviewed the bids and they had all of their documents. Everything was checked off correctly.

Each bidder was discussed thoroughly among the board members and Director's.

Chairman Orcutt asked for a motion for R-09-04-25 to award the Contract for the Removal, Hauling, and Delivery of Untreated Leachate Generated from the Warren County District Landfill.

Mr. Lohman stated that he would make that motion as written.
Mr. Perez stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes
	Mr. Larsen	- Absent
	Mr. Perez	- Yes
	Mr. Lohman	- Yes
	Mr. Chairman Orcutt	- Yes

On a motion by ***Mr. Lohman***, seconded by ***Mr. Perez***, the following resolution was adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County at a meeting held on *September 30, 2025*.

RESOLUTION
R-09-04-25
AWARDING CONTRACT
FOR
REMOVAL, HAULING, AND DELIVERY OF UNTREATED LEACHATE
GENERATED FROM THE WARREN COUNTY DISTRICT LANDFILL

WHEREAS, the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County (Authority) operates a sanitary landfill known as the Warren County District Landfill;

WHEREAS, the Authority is in need of contractor services for the Removal, Hauling, and Delivery of Untreated Leachate; and

WHEREAS, the Authority requested fair and open bids pursuant to N.J.S.A. 19:44A-20.4 et seq. for said services; and

WHEREAS, Five (5) Bids were received on September 24, 2025 and were evaluated by staff and General Counsel and **Coppola Services, 28 Executive Parkway Ringwood, NJ 07456,** was found to be the lowest, responsive bidder; and

NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved by the Authority that **Coppola Services** be awarded the contract beginning November 1, 2025 through October 31, 2026 @ **\$0.079** cents per gallon, November 1, 2026 through October 31, 2027 @ **\$0.079** cents per gallon, November 1, 2027 through October 31, 2028 @ **\$0.079** cents per gallon, for Removal, Hauling, and Delivery of Untreated Leachate Generated from the Warren County District Landfill as stipulated in the bid document dated August 2025. Prices per gallon are in accordance with their Bid received on September 24, 2025.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Chairman and the Director of Operations of the Authority are authorized to execute a contract with **Coppola Services** for these services.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	- Absent
	Mr. Lohman	- Yes
	Mr. Perez	- Yes
	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes
	Mr. Orcutt	- Yes

I hereby certify the above to be a true copy of a resolution adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County on the date above mentioned.

Mariann Cliff, Recording Secretary
Date: 09/30/25

Mr. Knittel stated that A-6 Waste Disposal Fee Schedule, No Changes.
A-7, 8 and 9 had nothing new on the recycling programs, other than single stream A-9 Oxford's recycling reportedly started going to Sanico this past Thursday.
Chairman Orcutt asked how many man hours this was going to free-up.
Mr. Knittel stated that the cleanup of spilled recycling was about an hour weekly, and the transfer of recycling was about an hour each week. It's usually one person to cleanup and two people to do the convenience center transfer. Then there was more cleanup afterwards, so rough estimate was at least 5 man hours per week.

Chairman Orcutt said let's talk about the shared services.

There was a conversation regarding the pros and cons of having shared services with the County for road salt and motor fuels. A brief discussion ensued.

Chairman Orcutt stated that he needed a motion to move resolution R-09-06-25, to approve the shared services agreement with the County of Warren for services concerning road salt and motor fuels services.

Mr. Lohman stated that he would make the motion.

Mr. Perez stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Accetturo - Yes
 Mr. Larsen - Absent
 Mr. Perez - Yes
 Mr. Lohman - Yes
 Mr. Chairman Orcutt - Yes

RESOLUTION

R-09-06-25

Approving the Shared Services Agreement with the County of Warren for Services Concerning Road Salt and Ice Control Material and for Motor Fuels Services

WHEREAS, in accordance with the Uniform Shared Services and Consolidation Act, N.J.S.A. 40A:65-1 et seq., the County of Warren has negotiated an agreement for services concerning road salt and ice control material and motor fuels services with the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County (the "Authority"), which agreement is incorporated herein by reference.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Authority does hereby approve the Shared Services Agreement with the County of Warren for services concerning road salt and ice control material and motor fuels services, and authorizes the Executive Director to execute same.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Accetturo - Yes
 Mr. Larsen - Absent
 Mr. Lohman - Yes
 Mr. Perez - Yes
 Chairman Orcutt - Yes

I hereby certify the above to be a true copy of a resolution adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County on the date above mentioned.

Mariann Cliff, Recording Secretary

Dated: 09/30/25

PUBLIC COMMENTS (AGENDA ITEMS ONLY)

Chairman Orcutt asked if there were any public comments for agenda items only.

GENERAL COUNSEL

Chairman Orcutt asked Mr. Tipton if he had anything for the general counsel's report.

Mr. Tipton stated that he did not have anything.

OTHER BUSINESS

Chairman Orcutt asked if there were any other business.

CLOSING PUBLIC COMMENTS

Chairman Orcutt asked if there were any closing public comments.

ADJOURNMENT

Chairman Orcutt asked if there was anything else to add, then said to the Director and Asst. Director to keep up the good work.

Mr. Lohman stated that he wanted to point out that with the new leachate service agreement the PCFA was going to save over a quarter of a million dollars over three years.

Chairman Orcutt asked if there was a motion to adjourn.

Mr. Lohman stated that he would make a motion to adjourn.

Mr. Perez stated that he would second the motion.

Chairman Orcutt stated, all in favor, say aye.

Chairman Orcutt asked if any opposed, then stated, motion granted unanimously.

*****Meeting was adjourned at approximately 11:29 am***

Respectfully submitted by:

Mariann Cliff
Recording Secretary

Approved: 10/21/2025

M - 1