POLLUTION CONTROL FINANCING AUTHORITY OF WARREN COUNTY

MINUTES OF REGULAR MONTHLY MEETING

January 27, 2025

Chairman Angelo Accetturo called the regular monthly meeting of the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County to order at approximately 9:33 am.

Authority Members present: Angelo Accetturo, Rob Larsen, Walter Orcutt, and Dan Perez.

Mr. Accetturo asked to call the roll.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Present

Mr. Orcutt - Present
Mr. Perez - Present
Mr. Accetturo - Present

Also present: Brian Tipton, General Counsel; Jonathan Knittel, Director of Operations; Mariann Cliff, Recording Secretary and Shannon Beam, Weighmaster.

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Mr. Accetturo.

Mr. Accetturo read the following statement: "Adequate notice of this meeting of January 27, 2025 was given in accordance with the Open Public Meetings Act by forwarding a schedule of regular meetings of the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County (PCFAWC) to the Warren County Clerk, the Warren County Board of County Commissioners, The Express-Times, and by posting a copy thereof on the bulletin board in the office of the PCFAWC. Formal action may be taken by the PCFAWC at this meeting. Public participation is encouraged. This agenda is subject to change."

MINUTES

Mr. Accetturo asked if there was a motion for M-1 the Regular monthly meeting minutes from December 16, 2024.

Mr. Orcutt stated that he would make the motion to approve M-1.

Mr. Perez stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Yes

Mr. Orcutt - Yes Mr. Perez - Yes Mr. Accetturo - Yes

CORRESPONDENCE

Mr. Accetturo asked if there was any correspondence.

Mr. Knittel replied that there was not.

FINANCE

Mr. Accetturo asked Mr. Knittel to go ahead with the finance report.

Mr. Knittel stated that A-1 was a very thick packet this month, it closes out the year 2024.

They did take 8.6 % less tonnage, and that was planned to get our tonnage down a bit from the previous year. They did take in more ash than anticipated because they were using it for shaping and fill to get ready for the 20-acre permanent cap. That ash was useful to help our finances in order to help shape the landfill, so that was unanticipated revenue.

They also received some reimbursements from both, FEMA and from the closure funds in 2024. So, it does shift our year-end profit-loss a little bit higher than normal. That was shown on page 2, our profit from operations was 2-million dollars and it would just be a little less than that if they removed the FEMA and the closure funds. So, they did have a year that was positive in the profit-loss statement, however, as you know they were not allowed to do capital projects, other than the closure. So, they did not purchase or replace any machinery, even those that needed to be replaced. Those profits need to be banked and used for future capital projects, such as Cell-6 and machinery replacement.

Mr. Perez stated that he had a question, and it may be too early to ask this but he will ask anyway. With FEMA and the talk of it being diverted from the federal government to possibly the State government. How would that affect us?

Mr. Knittel replied, great question. It cannot affect any of our projects that are currently in progress, IDA for example, the funds from the federal government have already transferred to New Jersey office of emergency management. Those funds were already given to us, they were in the hands of NJ OEM according to the consultant. The federal government would not be able to pull any funds back that had already been issued. So, the check has already gone physically to our NJ OEM friends and we were in the final stages of getting the money released to us. There was no danger of losing the IDA money. Now, with the July 15th storm event from last year, they have received over 70% of that funding. That was already in our bank account, around \$150,000.00. There was a little less than \$200,000.00 left that could be obtained from that July storm and that federal funding is at risk.

If FEMA was to completely change their operations in anyway there would always be a risk, but the largest amount of money that the PCFA has put towards storm damage was for IDA and that money was safe.

Mr. Knittel stated that Randy Warnock was our local NJ OEM contact and he was working with him, they had a meeting this past Thursday about getting the last 1.9 million released in this calendar year. That project is in what they call closeout, and it was looking at every receipt from every contractor and engineer to verify that we did spend the money. Once they go through all the receipts then they issue the check from NJ to us.

Mr. Knittel stated that they tried to balance the budget for 2025 assuming that they would not get any money back, that was always the conservative method, and they do have a balanced budget. Now, if any FEMA money comes in, that would be when they could have a good healthy discussion on how to dedicate that money to future capital projects.

Mr. Perez replied ok thank you.

Mr. Accetturo asked for a resolution to pay the bills

Mr. Orcutt stated that he would make a motion to approve R-01-01-25.

Mr. Larsen stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Yes

Mr. Orcutt - Yes
Mr. Perez - Yes
Mr. Accetturo - Yes

On a motion by *Mr. Orcutt*, seconded by *Mr. Larsen*, the following resolution was adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County at a meeting held on *January 27*, 2025.

RESOLUTION

R-01-01-25

To Pay Bills – January 27, 2025

WHEREAS, the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County has been presented with invoices for services, supplies and other materials rendered to it or on its behalf;

NOW, THEREFORE, be it resolved by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County that the following bills be paid:

See Attached

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Yes

Mr. Orcutt - Yes Mr. Perez - Yes Mr. Accetturo - Yes

We hereby certify Resolution to Pay Bills in the amount of <u>\$ 1,576,780.60</u> to be a true copy of a resolution adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County on the 27th day of January, 2025.

Mariann Cliff	Jonathan Knittel
Recording Secretary	Director of Operations

Approved: 01/27/25

Mr. Accetturo stated that they were going to need a motion for A-2 the Budget Transfer Forms R-01-02-25.

Mr. Orcutt stated that he would make a motion for A-2, Budget Transfer Forms R-01-02-25. Mr. Accetturo stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Yes

Mr. Orcutt - Yes Mr. Perez - Yes Mr. Accetturo - Yes

Mr. Accetturo stated that next was, authorizing Hunterdon County Educational Services Commission Cooperative Program to become a member.

Mr. Knittel replied that this resolution was a backup for our fuel. When we pay for fuel at market prices it was quite a bit more expensive than being part of a co-op. The savings more than covers a small yearly fee to be part of the local co-op. They were also a part of the Morristown co-op and the Hunterdon County they both have benefits.

This gives us a backup option for fuel and other programs such as Caterpillar's co-op pricing. For example if you were to go out and buy a machine you don't have to get three or four bids. If you're part of the co-op, it already has set pricing for certain machineries. It does save some time and money for expenses in the bidding process, but it also allows us to purchase fuel at a discounted rate when buying in bulk.

Mr. Orcutt stated, that there was a membership fee.

Mr. Knittel replied yes for each co-op.

Mr. Orcutt stated that they joined this in Blairstown a year or two ago, and purchased a digital sign. The Hunterdon co-op had that covered.

Mr. Knittel stated that on a single purchase they could pay for the annual membership fee.

Mr. Orcutt stated that it keeps you from going to bid and there was substantial savings right there.

Mr. Orcutt stated that he would make the motion for entering into the Hunterdon County Educational Services Commission Cooperative Program.

Mr. Accetturo stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Yes

Mr. Orcutt - Yes Mr. Perez - Yes Mr. Accetturo - Yes

On a motion by *Mr. Orcutt*, seconded by *Mr. Accetturo*, the following resolution was adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County at a meeting held on *January 27th*, 2025.

RESOLUTION

R-01-02-25

Authorizing Execution of An Agreement with The Hunterdon County Educational Services Commission Cooperative Purchasing Program to Become a Member.

WHEREAS, the Hunterdon County Educational Services Commission Cooperative Program ("HCESC") was created in 1990 to conduct a voluntary cooperative pricing system with municipalities, boards of educations, and other public bodies located in the County of Hunterdon and adjoining counties; and

WHEREAS, the purpose of the HCESC is to provide substantial savings on various goods and services to its members through the cooperative public bidding process; and

WHEREAS, the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County desires to enter into an Agreement with the HCESC, which is administered by Hunterdon County Educational Services Commission as Lead Agency to become a member of the HCES.

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County, County of Warren, State of New Jersey as follows:

- 1. Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County hereby authorizes the execution of an Agreement with the Hunterdon County Educational Services Commission Cooperative Pricing Council by the Hunterdon County Educational Services Commission as Lead Agency dated January 27, 2025.
- 2. The Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County Director of Operations is hereby directed to submit a copy of the adopted Resolution, along with an executed Agreement, to Hunterdon Educational Service Commission as Lead Agency of the HCESC.
- 3. This Resolution shall take immediately upon final passage according to law.
- 4. All appropriate Pollution Control Financing Authority officials are authorized and directed to perform all required acts to affect the purpose of this resolution.

NOW, THEREFORE, be it resolved by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County that Resolution R-01-02-25 be passed:

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Yes

Mr. Orcutt - Yes Mr. Perez - Yes Mr. Accetturo - Yes

We hereby certify the foregoing to be a true copy of a Resolution adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County on the 27^{th} day of January, 2025.

Marian Cliff Jonathan Knittel
Recording Secretary Director of Operations

PERSONNEL

Mr. Accetturo asked if Mr. Knittel had anything for personnel.

Mr. Knittel stated that they had a gathering at the end of the year for the employees and it was nice to see some Board members attend that.

As far as personnel, they do have one part-time field employee and they do plan on keeping him for this calendar year as a part-time employee. They do still have one employee still out on extended medical. Prayers and thoughts for him for a speedy recovery, we hope to have him back in June. Once he comes back in June, then they could revisit the employment situation.

Mr. Orcutt stated that he sees that the operation's overtime was over a hundred grand, what drives that?

Mr. Knittel replied that a lot of that was Saturday's, it's all overtime. There were also 14 County holidays that we recognize, 7 of those we have the landfill open. All 7 of those were 100% overtime. So over half of the overtime was just holidays and Saturdays.

Mr. Orcutt stated that sometimes an extra full-time body would help eliminate some of that.

There was a quick discussion regarding the prospect of another full-time employee helping with the overtime. It was agreed to be looked at again at a future meeting.

PRESENTATIONS

Mr. Accetturo asked if there were presentations.

The collective answer was no.

REPORTS

Mr. Accetturo stated there were no reports.

FACILITIES/RECYCLING

Mr. Accetturo stated that the facilities and recycling was next.

Mr. Knittel stated that there was not much new on the wastewater treatment plant. They did get some more quotes from vendors on equipment and they collected the second round of leachate quality. The first round of leachate quality was received last month, they sent that to three waste water treatment vendors and also shared that data with two engineering companies. Both CP Engineering and Tetra Tech, both of them would like to be the design engineer for any re-development. So, they do have a decision as a Board in the next few months to look at both engineering firms' pros and cons, and what they may have to offer. The vendors were a less important decision until they actually go into construction. There were several vendors that would have the capability of supplying the upgrades needed.

Mr. Accetturo asked what the two engineering groups were.

Mr. Knittel replied CP Engineering and Tetra Tech. The head engineer for CP engineer is Cliff who was retiring soon, he was the designer for both PRMUA and for our old Dynatec system. He was very familiar with what was required on both ends. He was currently doing the evaluation and wrapping that up. It was mostly a desktop analytical study. The next phase would be actually choosing what we need and moving on it.

Tetra Tech also has a world-renowned wastewater engineer Arie Kremen, he specializes in leachate wastewater treatment systems, as did Cliff.

Mr. Knittel stated that between Cliff and Arie they were both great gentlemen. They were familiar with both of their work and either one of them would do a great job.

Mr. Knittel stated that the next step in the wastewater treatment would be outside the Board meeting, he would discuss with counsel how they may move forward a little more with the force-main option that they have. They do have several options for force-main, also over the last month he did look at the trucking cost. If they were not to have any force-main, and it was definitely showing that the force-main was more affordable even if they had to do major upgrades. The payback period return-on-investment for any force-main upgrades would pay for themselves quickly. This was in comparison to having CDL drivers either hired for in-house or outside local drivers.

There was a discussion regarding the projected amount of leachate they would have. How many drivers they would need to have. If it should be in house or hired outside. They discussed doing both, and that the trucking was a good option at least until they get the force-main up and running. They also talked about options on who could truck the leachate best.

They discussed the reject water, and the options to get rid of it. The three options that came up were, recirculating or evaporation, and these both were discussed in detail, as well as trucking to PVSC in Newark, NJ.

It was agreed to gather more information and revisit this topic next month.

Mr. Knittel stated that he had asked the DEP for a permit modification to allow recirculating of about 10,000 gallons of leachate per day. They did respond with a technical notice of deficiency asking for more information. They were working with the DEP to get the recirculation and evaporation permission even way ahead of opening the treatment plant. It takes about a year because it is an air permit modification. The evaporation technology was already out there, it was at some local landfills in New Jersey. It used waste heat from the flare for the evaporation.

Mr. Orcutt stated that the big picture was that around a year from now, they would need to treat how many gallons per day of leachate?

Mr. Knittel replied that they were designing it for a max flow of 50,000 for possibly the biggest storm, but the base flow, they would hope to be down to 30,000 gallons per day.

Mr. Orcutt stated that for 30,000 gallons of leachate how much was the rejection?

Mr. Knittel replied it would be 20%, that would be around 6,000 gallons per day.

Mr. Orcutt stated that they would need one load a day, back into the cell. That works.

Mr. Knittel replied that yes, it does for us. The DEP does say yes, but not yet, they need more data, they want to see a model, etc.

Mr. Orcutt replied that that would work very nicely, then it would leave 25,000 gallons that would go down to Oxford. How many tankers would that be?

Mr. Knittel replied that the larger ones would do it in 5 and the smaller ones would be about 8.

Mr. Knittel stated that the little ones were 4.500 to 5.000

The types of trucks and how much they would carry each load was discussed in detail. Also discussed was the route they would take and how many truckloads they would need per day.

Mr. Knittel stated that they knew PRMUA's discharge acceptance criteria, they gave that to all of the engineers and vendors. Their acceptance criteria was public information. As they get a little closer, they would have some sit-down talks with John directly to make sure he has the ability to accept it all.

Mr. Accetturo stated that he would like to see the numbers that Mr. Knittel used for the formulation for which was more beneficial, to truck, or to make the improvements necessary to use the forcemain. Some of the damage on that line we were responsible to fix anyway. Because the PCFA did destroy those lines with the leachate, so those expenses are not going to go away.

Mr. Accetturo stated that as far as the re-routing they could argue that that cost shouldn't be theirs.

Mr. Orcutt stated even with the cost, it was the time, it would be two years before they get it finished. So, trucking would have to be the initial option until they finish or figure out the force-main option.

Mr. Accetturo stated that fast-tracking this and getting this done, going right to the trucking would make sense.

Mr. Orcutt stated that he agreed it was the way to go at first.

Mr. Accetturo stated either way it was going to be less than going to Passaic, and they were giving the business to their local Authority.

Mr. Orcutt stated that it's good that Mr. Knittel was ahead of the game working on the reject water with the DEP.

Mr. Orcutt stated that he thinks that was a great idea, they did not talk about that last meeting.

Mr. Knittel replied that it was always something that he had been working on over the last year and a half, it was in the drawings for this as a bid option. They did get a bid price, and the DEP said because of the technical notices, they want more information. They call it a TNOD, technical notice of deficiency. This was for the design, they have design questions on what they put in the drawings for that project. The recirculation cost was not cheap, it involves a couple of high-capacity pumps from low ground to moving up 300 feet in elevation. Piping infrastructure explosion proof pump chamber, the bid price came to about \$430,000.00.

So, recirculation was not like you flip a switch and it magically gets 400 feet in elevation to the top of the hill. You can also temporarily, haul it with a tanker and dump it into an open pit, but the DEP really frowns upon this.

That started another conversation regarding the recirculation and how it should work. And they also discussed how it could work with different options. What they did in the past during IDA, and what could be done in an emergency. And how it was done in each situation and where it was poured. It was called an infiltration gallery, and this one would be at the junction where the edge of the cap and Cell-6 meet.

Mr. Knittel stated that it was not being built at this point, but it was designed and it was in its early stages.

Mr. Accetturo asked if recirculation accelerated the gas?

Mr. Knittel replied yes, in any of the dry trash it will re-trigger the bacteria that breaks down and consumes the organics.

Mr. Accetturo stated that would be quite an event for a 5-acre leach-field.

Mr. Knittel replied that when you increase decomposition you increase odor.

Mr. Orcutt stated that it would also increase air-space. Mr. Knittel agreed.

Mr. Accetturo replied but it was air-space that they were never going to use.

Mr. Orcutt agreed.

The Board discussed again in more detail.

Mr. Knittel stated that the work on the 20-acre cap was stopped early in December when the weather got wet and then very cold. They are due to resume as soon as the frost and frozen ground is gone.

Mr. Knittel stated that on the odor update, he had some emails as recently as this morning with the DEP, asking them for permission to place trash over the exposed stone. That stone is venting some odor into the atmosphere. They have a horizontal header in that stone already buried and ready to be turned on. If they turned it on today it would just suck oxygen from the atmosphere, once they get trash on it the stone would be sealed in and no longer passively venting odor to the atmosphere. Once they get 5 to 10 feet of garbage onto that new stone area then that horizontal pipe can be turned on and it will pull odors down.

Mr. Knittel stated that the DEP had agreed to come out and visit us on this coming Thursday. The same day, they were also flying the thermal drone over the stone and over the current cell. The thermal was temperature gradient image of the methane gas that was coming up out of the stone.

Mr. Knittel stated that 2 new gas wells, #73 and #75 were turned on two weeks ago, up on the top of the old cell. So that was nice to have the two wells from this past fall's installation tuned on. That was about it on the odor update, they know that there was a couple of cold, still nights when they were getting some odor out of that stone. They are very anxious to get that stone covered over with trash and daily cover.

Mr. Orcutt asked how much stone was exposed.

Mr. Knittel replied that there were 2+ acres of exposed stone right now and the trash comes up to that 2+ acres. It's like a vertical escarpment with daily cover. They were not getting any emissions from the cell, they were getting emissions from the edge as it meets the stone. Once the DEP says go, we have 2+ acres of area to push out and cover. It would take a month or two to cover that stone with material.

Mr. Knittel stated next was A-3 waste disposal schedule, a couple changes in red, mainly the contracts that were coming in signed. Casella and Sanico, and multiple towns, Blairstown, Franklin, Frelinghuysen, Hampton, Hope, Liberty, Phillipsburg and Belvidere.

Mr. Knittel stated that Casella and Sanico were our major signers since last meeting, they are the two local vendors that bring all of our Warren County trash in. We are happy to have them on board. It was not in red on this but they did discuss in detail with Covanta to bring in solid waste, that would be something new for them. They have always received ID27 ash from Covanta, they have the ability to send us 14,000 - 20,000 tons at our Board advertised rate of \$61.75 per ton. They have worked with them over the past week to get a 5^{th} amendment to their disposal service agreement in place. If

there was any discussion about what they drafted they could do that in the executive session because it was contractual. If there was no discussion on that we could move that now. Also, Covanta has amendment #1 To the omnibus agreement. The omnibus agreement was an original 3-year, plus 3, one-year extensions, so really a 6-year contract. There was an amendment to that, that Mr. Tipton has been working on with their counsel. This was to return their bond for the work that they performed on the demolition. That was part of that omnibus agreement.

Mr. Knittel asked Mr. Tipton if he wanted to speak about the agreement.

Mr. Tipton replied that they have a final draft for execution with terms that they already agreed to before so there was nothing new.

Mr. Knittel replied ok.

Mr. Orcutt stated that when they go into executive and resolve other stuff, we could talk about the haulers and contract details.

Mr. Accetturo replied that was the smartest thing to do.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

Mr. Orcutt asked for a motion to go into executive session.

Mr. Accetturo stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Yes

Mr. Orcutt - Yes Mr. Perez - Yes Mr. Accetturo - Yes

RESOLUTION

R-01-03-25

AUTHORIZING EXECUTIVE SESSION OF THE POLLUTION CONTROL FINANCING AUTHORITY OF WARREN COUNTY FOR A MEETING NOT OPEN TO THE PUBLIC IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THE NEW JERSEY OPEN PUBLIC MEETINGS ACT, N.J.S.A 10:4-12

WHEREAS, the Open Public Meetings Act, N.J.S.A. 10:4-12, provides that an Executive Session, not open to the public, may be held for certain specified purposes when authorized by Resolution, and

^{*}Executive session was entered at approximately 10:04 am.

order	to discuss such matters. The general nature of the subjects to be discussed are as follows:
	(1) Matters Required by Law to be Confidential: Any matter which, by express provision of Federal law or State statute or rule of court shall be rendered confidential or excluded from the provisions of the Open Public Meetings Act.
	(2) Matters Where the Release of Information Would Impair the Right to Receive Funds: Any matter in which the release of information would impair a right to receive funds from the Government of the United States.
	(3) Matters Involving Individual Privacy: Any material the disclosure of which constitutes an unwarranted invasion of individual privacy such as any records, data, reports, recommendations, or other personal material of any educational, training, social service, medical, health, custodial, child protection, rehabilitation, legal defense, welfare, housing, relocation, Insurance and similar program or Institution operated by a public body pertaining to any specific individual admitted to or served by such institution or program, including but not limited to information relative to the Individual's personal and family circumstances, and any material pertaining to admission, discharge, treatment, progress or condition of any Individual, unless the individual concerned (or, in the case of a minor or incompetent, his guardian) shall request in writing that the same be disclosed publicly.
	(4) Matters Relating to Collective Bargaining Agreements: Any collective bargaining agreement, or the terms and conditions which are proposed for inclusion in any collective bargaining agreement, including the negotiation of the terms and conditions thereof with employees or representatives of employees of the public body.
	[5] Matters Relating to the Purchase, Lease or Acquisition of Real Property or the Investment of Public Funds: Any matter involving the purchase, lease or acquisition of real property with public funds, the setting of banking rates or investment of public funds, where it could adversely affect the public interest if discussion of such matters were disclosed.
	(6) Matters Relating to Public Safety and Property: Any tactics and techniques utilized in protecting the safety and property of the public provided that their disclosure could impair such protection. Any investigations of possible violations of the law.
X	(7) Matters Relating to Litigation, Negotiations and the Attorney-Client Privilege: Any pending or anticipated litigation or contract negotiation in which the public body is, or may become a party. Any matters falling within the attorney-client privilege, to the extent that confidentiality is required in order for the attorney to exercise his ethical duties as a lawyer.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that this Board hereby excludes the public in

employment, appointment, termination employment, evaluation of the performat prospective public officer or employee or appointed by the public body, unless all	nent Relationship: Any matter involving the of employment, terms and conditions of nee or promotion or disciplining of any specific current public officer or employee employed or the individual employees or appointees whose test in writing that such matter or matters be		
(9) Matters Relating to the Potential Imposition of a Penalty: Any deliberations of a public body occurring after a public hearing that may result in the imposition of a specific civil penalty upon the responding party or the suspension or loss of a license or permit belonging to the responding party bearing responsibility.			
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board shall disclose to the public, as soon as practicable, the contents of the discussions after the final disposition of the matters discussed.			
Moved By: Mr. Orcutt			
Seconded By: Mr. Accetturo			
ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Yes Mr. Orcutt - Yes Mr. Perez - Yes Mr. Accetturo - Yes			
I hereby certify the above to be a true copy of a resolution adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County on the date above mentioned.			
	cording Secretary Mariann Cliff		
Dated: January 27, 2025			
**Executive session ended approximately 10:18 am.			

Mr. Orcutt made a motion to come out of Executive Session, seconded by Mr. Accetturo.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Yes

Mr. Orcutt - Yes Mr. Perez - Yes Mr. Accetturo - Yes

Regular session resumed at approximately 10:41 am.

Mr. Knittel stated that the first thing

would be NTI the 30-day contract, as the advertised rate of \$61.75.

Mr. Accetturo stated that they need a motion for direction to Mr. Tipton on the contract for NTI as outlined with a 30-day clause pursuant to the company having a significant business model change.

Mr. Larsen stated that he would make the motion for NTI's contract as outlined.

Mr. Perez stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Yes

Mr. Orcutt - Yes Mr. Perez - Yes Mr. Accetturo - Yes

Mr. Orcutt asked if they were authorizing that it be signed as soon as they get it back from Mr. Tipton?

Mr. Knittel replied yes, he thinks they could let them start coming in on that 30-day clause.

Mr. Orcutt asked if they would wait for the paperwork? As soon as he turns the clause around and finishes the paperwork and gets it to them, was there a big back-and-forth or do we just let them come in?

Mr. Knittel replied that there was not a huge deal as long as they were working on it they could reactivate them in the scale, and let them start coming in.

Mr. Tipton asked if they were out of executive they needed to open the door.

Mrs. Beam opened the conference room doors.

Mr. Accetturo stated that he would need a motion on the 5th amendment.

Mr. Orcutt stated that he would make the motion on the 5th amendment.

Mr. Accetturo stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Yes

Mr. Orcutt - Yes Mr. Perez - Yes

Mr. Accetturo - Yes

Mr. Accetturo asked for a motion for the Omnibus amendment #1.

Mr. Orcutt stated that he would make the motion.

Mr. Perez stated that he would second the motion

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Yes

Mr. Orcutt - Yes Mr. Perez - Yes Mr. Accetturo - Yes

Mr. Accetturo asked Mr. Knittel if there were any changes to the Electronics Recycling Program, Tire Recycling or Single Stream Recycling programs.

Mr. Knittel replied that there were no changes.

Mr. Accetturo replied that he did not think that they needed to discuss them further.

Mr. Accetturo stated that next was the Draft Meeting Schedule for 2025.

Mr. Orcutt stated that 3 of the meeting days were the third Monday and not the fourth.

There was a quick discussion amongst the Board regarding the Draft Meeting Schedule.

Mr. Orcutt stated that he would make a motion to approve the Draft Meeting Schedule for 2025.

Mr. Accetturo stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Yes

Mr. Orcutt - Yes Mr. Perez - Yes Mr. Accetturo - Yes

GENERAL COUNSEL

Mr. Accetturo asked Mr. Tipton if he had anything else.

Mr. Tipton replied that he did not.

OTHER BUSINESS

Mr. Accetturo asked if there were any other business.

CLOSING PUBLIC COMMENTS

Mr. Accetturo asked if there were any closing public comments.

PRESS COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS

Mr. Accetturo asked if there were any press questions or comments.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. Accetturo a up?	sked before they m	take a motion to adjourn, does anyone have anything else to brin
	d that he would mand that he would se	ake a motion to adjourn.
ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	- Yes
	Mr. Orcutt	- Yes
	Mr. Perez	- Yes
	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes
**Meeting was	adjourned at appr	oximately 10:21 am
		Respectfully submitted by:
		Mariann Cliff
		Recording Secretary
Approved:		