

POLLUTION CONTROL FINANCING AUTHORITY
OF WARREN COUNTY

MINUTES OF REGULAR MONTHLY MEETING

October 24, 2022

Chairman Angelo Accetturo called the regular monthly meeting of the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County to order at approximately 9:32 AM.

Authority Members present: Angelo Accetturo, Rob Larsen and Alex Lazorisak, Richard Mach.

Mr. Accetturo asked to call the Monday October 24, 2022, PCFA meeting to order, please call the roll.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	- Present
	Mr. Lazorisak	- Present
	Mr. Mach	- Present
	Mr. Perez	- Absent
	Mr. Accetturo	- Present

Also present: Brian Tipton, General Counsel; Robert Donno, Lemcor; Brian Henning and Dave Heber, Mott MacDonald; Robert Colontrelle, LMR; Brandon Bowers, Sanico; Jonathan Knittel, Director of Operations; Jamie Banghart, Administrative Supervisor and Mariann Cliff Administrative Assistant.

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Chairman Accetturo.

Mr. Accetturo read the following statement: “Adequate notice of this meeting of October 24th 2022 was given in accordance with the Open Public Meetings Act by forwarding a schedule of regular meetings of the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County (PCFAWC) to the Warren County Clerk, the Warren County Board of County Commissioners, The Express-Times, and by posting a copy thereof on the bulletin board in the office of the PCFAWC. Formal action may be taken by the PCFAWC at this meeting. Public participation is encouraged”.

MINUTES

Mr. Accetturo asked if there was a motion for M-1 the regular monthly meeting minutes from September 26, 2022.

Mr. Lazorisak stated that he would make a motion to approve the Regular Monthly Meeting Minutes from September 26, 2022, seconded by Mr. Accetturo.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Yes
 Mr. Lazorisak - Yes
 Mr. Mach - Abstain
 Mr. Perez - Absent
 Mr. Accetturo - Yes

Mr. Accetturo asked if there was a motion for M-2 and M-3 the two Executive session minutes from September 26, 2022.

Mr. Accetturo stated that he would make the motion on M-2 and M-3 and asked for a second.
Mr. Larsen stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Yes
 Mr. Lazorisak - Yes
 Mr. Mach - Abstain
 Mr. Perez - Absent
 Mr. Accetturo - Yes

Mr. Accetturo asked if there was a motion for M-4 the Special meeting minutes from October 11, 2022.

Mr. Lazorisak stated that he would make a motion to approve the Regular Monthly Meeting Minutes, seconded by Mr. Accetturo.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Yes
 Mr. Lazorisak - Yes
 Mr. Mach - Yes
 Mr. Perez - Absent
 Mr. Accetturo - Yes

Mr. Accetturo asked if there was a motion for M-5 the Executive Special meeting minutes from October 11, 2022.

Mr. Lazorisak stated that he would make a motion to approve the Regular Monthly Meeting Minutes, seconded by Mr. Accetturo.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Yes
 Mr. Lazorisak - Yes
 Mr. Mach - Yes
 Mr. Perez - Absent

Mr. Accetturo asked Mr. Knittel to go over the correspondence.

Mr. Knittel stated that C-1 was a Letter dated October 10, 2022 from Mark Swyka of Cornerstone to Anthony Fontana, NJDEP re: Revisions to the facility's Closure and Post-Closure Care and Financial Plan. This was per the Board's recommendation, and in agreement with the engineer, they updated the 2-year financial plan to the DEP to include the capping of the west slope by using closure funds. That was a cover letter of that submission.

C-2 was the Letter of Acceptance (LOA) of Processed Dredge Material (PDM) dated October 12, 2022 from Jonathan Knittel to Donjon Marine, Inc. Donjon Marine used this letter as part of their submittal to the DEP for Processed Dredge Material at Pier 99, New York City.

C-3 was an email from the DEP back to the PCFA from Anthony Fontaine Bureau Chief of the residential clean PDM (Processed Dredge Material) approved by NJDEP for stockpiling and or placement for shaping of the landfill in preparation of capping in 2023.

C-4 was the contract from PCFA to Rebco Contracting Corp. they would be hauling the Processed Dredge Material (PDM). That could begin as early as late this week, their trucks would avoid all solid waste traffic, bypass the scale to the left and go up to the former cell 4 and 5. The PDM would be stockpiled on the plateau and used for shaping directly on the slopes as the weather permits.

Mr. Lazorisak asked if they had gotten a contract from Rebco yet. Mr. Knittel replied that they had an edit that showed up on Friday, he would be sending it to Mr. Tipton directly after this meeting. It looked like it cleaned up some redundancy but he would refer to Mr. Tipton.

C-5 was an email dated October 13, 2022 from Anthony Fontana regarding Avian Flu Waste. They received a small batch of 5 ducks and their bedding and eggs that came from a local farm in Warren County, they were triple bagged and brought in a USDA pickup truck. They dug a 9-foot hole in the old cell four, placed the ducks in the bottom and buried it with 6 feet of trash and 3 feet of soil. The email and the tickets were included in C-5.

Mr. Lazorisak asked if the County Health dept. was notified of this.

Mr. Knittel replied that he did not know the answer to that, he would find out.

Mr. Lazorisak asked Mr. Knittel to put in a call and make sure that they were aware of it.

Mr. Knittel agreed.

Mr. Knittel stated that he would like to introduce C-6 as a handout, it was a letter from Mr. Bowers of Sanico who was in attendance today. The second page was a similar letter from NTI from Frank Pinto.

PUBLIC COMMENTS (AGENDA ITEMS ONLY)

Mr. Accetturo asked if there were any public comments for agenda items only. There were a few people here probably because of the rate increase, he thinks that this would be a good time to hear what anyone would like to say then they would continue with their regular meeting. So, at this point in time, he would like to open it up for public comments.

Mr. Colontrelle introduced himself as the owner of LMR, he spoke about everything costing more now-a-days, such as fuel, labor, and healthcare, all the key components of inflation. He stated that this was in regards to the letter they received from the PCFA raising the rates in mid-contract. He would like the PCFA to hold their price where it was until the contract was up, then if they feel they need to raise them they could do it at that time. He spoke about PCFA holding up their side of the bargain and then LMR would hold up theirs. He also wanted time to notify his customers of an upcoming price increase and said it was hard to do in the middle of a contract. He said that the landfill expense was one of the largest expenses in his business followed by labor.

Mr. Colontrelle stated that he had a side note that he would like to address that affects LMR directly. Pryor to the landfill allowing type 10 into the landfill he was bringing type 13 into the landfill, then in June there was a letter sent out regarding acceptance of type 10 and bulky material.

Mr. Colontrelle said that he believes it was not worded properly, and he assumed he was going to have to provide 20,000 tons of type 10 and 20,000 tons of type 13 material for a total of 40,000. With this volume he should have been in the higher tier. So, what he was asking the Board to do was look back from the start of July and credit his account back to the tier he was supposed to be in. he believes that because it was not worded properly as a 'combination' of type 10 and type 13 material he had been unfairly charged a higher rate, and he hopes that the Board does the right thing and recognize that LMR should be in the top tier.

Mr. Knittel replied that he did look at Mr. Colontrelle's concern and he did print out the email correspondence that went out. Then he went into Waste Works which was the solid waste software, and printed out March through this past Friday in one-month increments. What he sees was exactly what Mr. Colontrelle was saying, his rate was 800 for April, 700 for May and then in June it did start to go up. It went up to 1200 tons in June when they started accepting type 10 with a verbal notification. Mr. Knittel stated that his rate does indicate that he was on pace for that 20,000 to 24,000 per year, the contract that he signed was for 10,000 to 14,000 tons. So, to clarify, what Mr. Colontrelle thought was that he had 2 contracts, one for 10,000 to 14,000 for type 10, and one for 10,000 to 14,000 for type 13.

Mr. Colontrelle replied that was exactly right.

Mr. Knittel stated that what they had done at the Boards request was keep type 10 and type 13 at the same price so that they have the best accuracy on the O & D forms. He abstained from sending the contract to Mr. Tipton because Mr. Tipton has LMR as one of his clients. With the Boards permission he would print everything out and let them digest it and perhaps get a second opinion but he was in agreement with Mr. Colontrelle that he could have been in the best tier, and the difference was a \$1.50 or \$2.00 difference going back to July 5, 2022 on the day he signed the contract it would be a credit on his account of about \$20,000.00. Mr. Knittel stated that he does not know how they would handle a credit like that. One the other hand the contract was signed and both Mrs. Banghart and his opinion was that it was fairly clear that it was both type 10 and 13 but it says 10 and bulky waste that was where the confusion came in.

A discussion ensued between Mrs. Banghart, Mr. Knittel and Mr. Colontrelle on the exact language of the contract and the difference between type 10, type 13, and bulky waste. Also discussed was which tier LMR should be in with the combined waste of type 10 and type 13.

Mr. Lazorisak stated that Mr. Knittel should get all the information to the Board, then they could take a look at it and make a decision. Mr. Knittel replied that they could discuss this in executive session because it was contractual.

Mr. Lazorisak agreed they could talk about it more in executive but they would need to take a look at it and determine if they need to get a third-party counsel to review it because it was a contract.

Mr. Accetturo stated that back when they knew that they were going to take type 10 in the landfill, it was discussed how they were going to treat the haulers. Mr. Knittel replied that it was an adjustment to their existing contract to add type 10, it was pretty clear in the email, but when you go to the contract it says bulky waste and ID 10. Both type 10 and type 13 were in the same tier combined at the same price.

It was asked from the Board at that point to pull the meeting minutes so they could review the process. The discussion continued how other haulers were bringing in both types of waste and getting the discount based on tonnage and it should be the same for all haulers.

*****Mr. Perez joined the meeting via google meets at approximately 9:49am.***

Mr. Perez was informed on what was being discussed.

Mr. Bowers from Sanico then spoke to the Board regarding the mid-contract increase, and about how difficult it was sometimes to come into this landfill. He brought up how long it takes to come in and out, sometimes lasting up to 2 hours. He also mentioned that he would not mind the increase if it was going towards improvements. He would be willing to sit down and go through some of these ideas, even perhaps longer operating hours.

The Board also discussed with Mr. Bowers that just like the haulers, the PCFA also has had increases in the last couple of years, between the inflation and the construction it has been a very trying time, which was the reason for the increase.

Mr. Bowers agreed it was difficult times and everyone's costs were going up, but he would like to implore the Board to recognize the importance of a contractual agreement, whether there was an exit clause or not, it signifies a break in trust.

It was then discussed in detail the cost of operating a garbage truck and the benefits of going to different landfills, the time involved in travel, fuel, and turn-around time and different hidden costs.

Mr. Lazorisak asked Mr. Bowers, prior to the PCFA accepting type 10, where did he take his type 10. Mr. Bowers replied that Sanico took it to Bethlehem landfill. Mr. Lazorisak asked if taking the type 10 to Bethlehem was quicker than taking it through this landfill now. Mr. Bowers replied that travel time was 20 or 30 minutes depending on the time of day and the turn-around was around 15 or 20 minutes, so it was probably an even equation minus the toll. There were a lot of variables that they look at but when they enter into a year-long agreement that changes things. They were locking their tons to one facility, and if he cannot count on that to be upheld it was an addition burden that makes other options more attractive. With or without this rate increase he would be looking at other options next year. He then discussed that he did like dumping here and he did wait a long time to be able to dump type 10 here, but being a business, he had to look at what was best.

Mr. Accetturo stated that he did appreciate the comments, and from the Boards point of view, they were always trying to find what the magic number was that would keep the haulers on this side of the river. It's the cost of business, not to price ourselves out of the market but still have a successful business. Because the bulk haulers bring so much of the volume that comes in here, the average rate was lower than other landfills, which makes it difficult to operate. The PCFA is a non-profit while other landfills were privately owned and could charge differently.

The increase in operating costs were discussed in detail including down to fuel and electric bills, everyone agreed the Board and the haulers alike, that bills have gone up, but the haulers continued to insist that having a rate increase in the middle of a contract was not acceptable.

The Board then asked the haulers collectively if they had raised their rates to the home-owners. Mr. Colontrelle replied that he had, because fuel had escalated so much. The Board replied that was their position also, the cost of operating a landfill had gotten more expensive over the last year, they had tried not to raise the rates but it was inevitable.

Mr. Colontrelle stated that the difference was that he did not have a contract with his customers and that it was better to keep the current haulers than risk them going elsewhere.

Mr. Accetturo asked the Board what the latest change order was for the new cell liner, he thought it was close to \$800,000.00. Mr. Knittel replied that it was up to \$1,000,000.00 once a few other things were added.

That led into another discussion on the rate of inflation between the haulers and the Board.

Mr. Donno introduced himself from Lemcor transport, he said he was not from this area and that he runs a transfer station in Newark New Jersey. He said that he agreed that LMR and Sanico touched on all the right elements, lead time was a very important thing when dealing with customers. He agreed that they have all gone through the price increases and the fuel increases and said quite frankly, he would not be surprised to see higher prices in the new year.

Mr. Donno stated that he does use other facilities, their transfer station had 870 tons a day. They dump in places as low as \$38.50, but it was in Pennsylvania, and you know that \$150.00 an hour to run a truck eats that up. They all try to keep things in balance and no one wants to see a price increase but like the other haulers, he believes that a contract was a contract. Then discussed that the contracts were only as good as the handshake behind them. Then went on to say that he would bring the tons to the PCFA whether they raise their price or not until such time that it was no longer economically feasible.

Mr. Donno continued the discussion and gave some background on his company and partners saying that his company received an environmental stewardship reward from the NJDEP. He also reiterated that the time in and out was critical.

Mr. Donno also stated that he was going to leave some information with them concerning a program he started called gift of life, which operates on children with heart issues.

Mr. Mach asked Mr. Bowers, that he had mentioned sometimes having to wait 2 hours to get off-loaded. Was that because of the mix of traffic that he has in front of them? Meaning cars and trucks trying to get to the recycle center?

Mr. Bowers replied that was one of the reasons, then once they were clear of that, most recently it's the construction and if they get stuck behind a few 100 ft. trailers, as a local truck they were stuck, then it would be 2 hours.

Mr. Bowers discussed having to schedule routes differently and gave some thoughts that could improve the situation. He understands that in the future there were plans after construction that would improve turn-around time and if he thought that the rate increase was to go to some of these improvements, he would have no problem with that. He offered to help on any improvement scenarios with Mr. Knittel.

Mr. Knittel stated that he has been gathering information on that subject and will show the Board each month with a punch list of items that they were doing internally to reduce that. One thing was the removal of the intermediate berm which was removed last week. There was a delay with trucks going in and out because they had to go so high in the piece of the cell that they were given. This was due to all the contractors' delays. Had there been a road in from the start with no constraints they would be driving trucks in and dumping more efficiently. Ideally, all walking floor trucks 100 yards, which do take longer would have their own area to unload then the front packers could get in and out quicker. So, in the near future they would not have to wait for the walking floors to pump off.

Mr. Knittel discussed that another thing they were looking at for the future was the possibility of having another inbound lane and he had been taking steps to explore that option. There was a lot that went into that, engineering and permits and approvals that needed to be obtained, this wouldn't happen overnight.

Mr. Knittel reiterated to the haulers that he would be working on presenting this information each month in the public meeting minutes of wait times and show what they were doing to improve them. So, the suggestions and points were well taken.

Mr. Bowers replied that he would like to get everything out in the open and that he did like the way that the discussion was going but he would like to discuss the operating hours.

A lengthy discussion followed with all of the haulers to the Board on the benefits of extending the scale hours, they went over the rural routes and troubles that they had getting here in the early afternoon. In the end all of the haulers agreed that they would like to have an extra hour in the afternoon.

This turned into questions addressed to Mott MacDonald on what that would entail, it was said that it would require a minor permit modification. But prior to that, there would have to be an amendment to the County Solid Waste Plan. Once that had been approved and the plan amendment certified by the DEP then they would have to submit the permit modification request. It was brought up that the permit renewal application was coming up for renewal in 2023. Mr. Henning stated that they could try to fold a modification of the hours into that package rather than try to do it piecemeal if the Board chose to go in that direction.

That led into a discussion of costs for the extra hours and maybe even extra shifts and if they did get it approved, do they have to do it. How many employees would this affect, and how flexible it could be.

It was also discussed if the residential hours could be adjusted and the rigidity of the gate hours. They could not close early and they could not take any garbage in past closing either.

The haulers all discussed and agreed that the home-owners on Monday Friday and Saturday was the worst traffic and talked about what they could do to improve it again. They also discussed again in length putting in a third lane in the future and the engineering behind it.

Mr. Knittel stated that if they were finished with the public comments, he would like to ask Mr. Henning and Mr. Heber to make a quick presentation on final capping, specifically to close the loop. Mr. Swyka from Tetra Tech was here last month on temporary capping on the west slope.

PRESENTATIONS

Mr. Henning and Mr. Heber from Mott MacDonald gave a presentation on 2023 Project Vision, and went through an overview of key projects forecasted for 2023.

(This presentation is available upon request)

FINANCE

Mr. Accetturo stated that they would now go to finance.

Mrs. Banghart stated that the finances were doing well, they have no issues at this time.

Mr. Accetturo replied great report!

Mr. Accetturo asked for a motion on R-11-02-22 to pay bills.

Mr. Lazorisak stated that he would make the motion.

Mr. Larsen stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	- Yes
	Mr. Lazorisak	- Yes
	Mr. Mach	- Yes
	Mr. Perez	- Yes
	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes

On a motion by **Mr. Lazorisak**, seconded by **Mr. Larsen**, the following resolution was adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County at a meeting held on *October 24, 2022*.

RESOLUTION

R-10-02-22

To Pay Bills – October 24, 2022

WHEREAS, the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County has been presented with invoices for services, supplies and other materials rendered to it or on its behalf;

NOW, THEREFORE, be it resolved by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County that the following bills be paid:

See Attached

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	- Yes
	Mr. Lazorisak	- Yes
	Mr. Mach	- Yes
	Mr. Perez	- Yes
	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes

We hereby certify Resolution to Pay Bills in the amount of \$ 1,586,349.54 to be a true copy of a resolution adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County on the 24th day of October, 2022.

Mariann Cliff
Recording Secretary

Jonathan Knittel
Director of Operations

Approved: 10/24/22

Mr. Accetturo stated that A-2 would be next.

Mr. Knittel replied that last meeting they introduced a draft budget, there were a few changes to account for the medical insurance increase which was going up at least 25%. Then because of the extremely high rental fees that they have been dealing with for frozen load dig-outs, they were able to get a resolution last meeting to use some of the FEMA funding to purchase their own excavator for the first time. That does reduce the budget on items such as frozen load dig-outs and it would also

reduce the need to purchase road stone. They would be able to use the excavator to obtain their own materials from the stockpile areas. There were a few adjustments, but overall, they could discuss specific line items if needed in executive session. Some of those line items may be tied to salary adjustments for the year.

Mr. Knittel stated that C.E.H.A. was a fee or a tax that we pay, it was a \$1.74 per ton, and for the first time that he remembers the C.E.H.A. fee dropped from \$1.74 per ton to \$1.51 per ton. The reason for that was they have a set budget for health inspectors, truck inspectors and hazardous waste inspectors that come to our facility, it was tied to our tonnage. The previous three years the tonnage was kept low to preserve air space and now their financial plan and this budget that was before the Board was for 133,000 tons per year. So, with the tonnage increase they were able to drop their fee per ton.

Mr. Knittel stated that recycling costs were up, HHW event fees were also up, these were essentially passthroughs, the budget shows it going up and correspondingly the revenue from the State grant also goes up. Landfill permits were getting a little more expensive, the DEP must pay their bills as well. That should cover everything for the budget in draft form for 2023, this had to be submitted to the State by mid-November. Mrs. Banghart replied that they need to bring the completed forms to the Board to sign then it gets submitted.

Mr. Knittel reminded everyone that they have an early meeting next month because of Thanksgiving, and to plan accordingly.

PERSONNEL

Mr. Knittel stated that he had two items for personnel, one was any salary discussions which would be in executive. The other was, that they have had 2 temporary workers for about 3 months and at this point he would like to recommend to the Board that one of those part-time employees be hired full time because of the workload that was upon the facility.

Mr. Lazorisak replied that they would discuss that in executive session.

Mr. Knittel agreed saying that this next year coming up was going to be a very busy year.

REPORTS

Mr. Knittel stated that as he mentioned previously the contractor has removed that temporary berm that was holding us up from efficiently placing trash. Once they get a ramp going into the cell, they would be able to more efficiently process trucks.

FACILITIES/RECYCLING

Mr. Knittel stated that they had covered the landfill operations, cell 7 construction.

A-3 there was a contract change order #4 from Tomco construction. Most of this change order was line items that had exceeded their original cost. This was to formalize what was originally an assumed rate in bid documents and some of those quantities went higher than expected.

This change order has been reviewed and signed off on by Mr. Henning and Mr. Heber.

The big item was the tie-in between active construction in the former cells. What was on paper and what was actually in the field was slightly different.

They would likely have one more fuel escalation from the contractor.

There was some discussion between the Board and Mott MacDonald on how the change-order was set up on paper.

Mr. Knittel asked that based on the engineers review and approval the content of A-3 could the Board present a motion.

Mr. Lazorisak stated that he would make the motion.

Mr. Larsen stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	- Yes
	Mr. Lazorisak	- Yes
	Mr. Mach	- Yes
	Mr. Perez	- Yes
	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes

Mr. Knittel stated that next was A-4 they have a draft bid document package for the retaining wall at the administration building. This would be the replacement of the current wall as they knew. With everything else going on in the world, he was given a very rough number, they would put this bid out tomorrow.

Mrs. Banghart replied that this was reviewed and approved by the attorneys. They just need approval for it to go out.

Mr. Knittel replied that the approval was done last month and would be good for this to go out tomorrow.

Mr. Knittel stated that there were no changes to A-5 the waste disposal fee.

Mr. Knittel stated that A-6, A-7, and A-8 were graphs of our recycling. They finally had eliminated the open storage of tires and now were using the closed top bins so there would be no more manual handling of tires.

GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT

Mr. Accetturo asked for the General Counsel's report.

Mr. Tipton replied that he had none.

OTHER

Mr. Accetturo asked if there was any other business.

Closing Public Comments

Mr. Accetturo asked if there was any closing public comments.

PRESS COMMENTS & QUESTIONS

Mr. Accetturo asked if there was anything else, any comments.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

Mr. Accetturo asked for a motion to go into Executive session.

Mr. Larsen stated that he would make the motion to go into Executive session.
Mr. Accetturo stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Yes
 Mr. Lazorisak - Yes
 Mr. Mach - Yes
 Mr. Perez - Yes
 Mr. Accetturo - Yes

*****Mr. Donno, Mr. Henning, Mr. Heber, Mr. Colontrelle, and Mr. Bowers left the Boardroom at approximately 10:48am.***

*****Executive session began approximately 10:49am.***

Mr. Lazorisak made a motion to come out of executive session.
Mr. Accetturo replied that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Yes
 Mr. Lazorisak - Yes
 Mr. Mach - Yes
 Mr. Perez - Yes
 Mr. Accetturo - Yes

*****Public session began approximately 10:50am.***

Mr. Accetturo stated that the first order of business was that he would authorize Mr. Knittel to offer full time employment to Caleb Lee.
Mr. Lazorisak stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Yes
 Mr. Lazorisak - Yes
 Mr. Mach - Yes
 Mr. Perez - Yes
 Mr. Accetturo - Yes

Mr. Accetturo stated that they were going to send new letters to the haulers deferring the increase in rates. Then asked Mr. Tipton if they needed a motion.

Mr. Tipton replied that what they would do, would be to terminate all contracts as of December 31st and indicate that they would have new contracts for them. Notify them at least a month in advance.

Mr. Tipton stated that they would have to make a motion to rescind the increase from the last meeting and informing them that all contracts would be terminated December 31st with a new contract to start on January 1st.

Mr. Lazorisak made a motion rescinding the letter from last meeting and ending the contracts and authorizing the Director of operations to send a letter re-negotiating the original contracts January 1st. The rate would be determined by the Board at the November 14th meeting.

Mr. Accetturo replied that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	- Yes
	Mr. Lazorisak	- Yes
	Mr. Mach	- Yes
	Mr. Perez	- Yes
	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. Lazorisak stated that he would make a motion to adjourn.

Mr. Accetturo stated that he would second that motion.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	- Yes
	Mr. Lazorisak	- Yes
	Mr. Mach	- Yes
	Mr. Perez	- Yes
	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes

*****Meeting was adjourned at approximately 11:42 AM***

*****Meeting was re-opened at approximately 11:43 AM***

Mr. Lazorisak stated that he would make a motion to come back into session.

Mr. Larsen replied that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	- Yes
	Mr. Lazorisak	- Yes
	Mr. Mach	- Yes
	Mr. Perez	- Absent

Mr. Accetturo - Yes

Mr. Lazorisak stated that he would make a motion having the director of operations credit back LMR on the contract of approximately \$20,000.00 for the tier adjustment.

Mr. Accetturo stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Yes
Mr. Lazorisak - Yes
Mr. Mach - Yes
Mr. Perez - Absent
Mr. Accetturo - Yes

Mr. Lazorisak stated that he would make a motion to adjourn again.

Mr. Accetturo stated that he would second that motion.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Yes
Mr. Lazorisak - Yes
Mr. Mach - Yes
Mr. Perez - Absent
Mr. Accetturo - Yes

*****Meeting was adjourned at approximately 11:46 AM***

Respectfully submitted by:

Mariann Cliff

Recording Secretary

Approved: