

POLLUTION CONTROL FINANCING AUTHORITY
OF WARREN COUNTY

MINUTES OF REGULAR MONTHLY MEETING

June 27, 2022

Chairman Angelo Accetturo called the regular monthly meeting of the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County to order at approximately 9:34 AM.

Authority Members present: Angelo Accetturo, Richard Mach, also present Dan Perez (via conference call).

Mr. Accetturo asked to call the Monday June 27, 2022, PCFA meeting to order, please call the roll.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	- Absent
	Mr. Lazorisak	- Absent
	Mr. Mach	- Present
	Mr. Perez	- Present
	Mr. Accetturo	- Present

Also present: Brian Tipton, General Counsel; Pat Radomsky, Mott MacDonald; Jonathan Knittel, Director of Operations; Jamie Banghart, Assistant Director and Mariann Cliff Administrative Assistant.

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Chairman Accetturo.

Mr. Accetturo read the following statement: "Adequate notice of this meeting of June 27th 2022 was given in accordance with the Open Public Meetings Act by forwarding a schedule of regular meetings of the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County (PCFAWC) to the Warren County Clerk, the Warren County Board of County Commissioners, The Express-Times, and by posting a copy thereof on the bulletin board in the office of the PCFAWC. Formal action may be taken by the PCFAWC at this meeting. Public participation is encouraged".

MINUTES

Mr. Accetturo stated that the first order of business was the minutes of May 23, 2022.

Mr. Mach stated that he would make the motion to approve the Regular Monthly Meeting Minutes from May 23, 2022.

Mr. Accetturo stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Absent
 Mr. Lazorisak - Absent
 Mr. Mach - Yes
 Mr. Perez - Yes
 Mr. Accetturo - Yes

Mr. Accetturo stated that next was the executive meeting minutes from May 23, 2022

Mr. Accetturo stated that he would make a motion for
Mr. Mach stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Absent
 Mr. Lazorisak - Absent
 Mr. Mach - Yes
 Mr. Perez - Yes
 Mr. Accetturo - Yes

Mr. Accetturo stated next was correspondence, C-1.

Mr. Knittel stated that C-1 was informational only, it was their executed host community agreement between Oxford and PCFA, all of the signatures were included and it was for their files only, nothing to really discuss.

Mr. Knittel stated that C-2 was of the same nature, executed service disposal agreement between Covanta and PCFA, C-2 should also include all of the signatures.

Mr. Knittel stated that C-3 was their current version of the memorandum understanding between PCFA and NJDA as well as USDA they had asked Mr. Tipton to slightly revise it and remove the language that said Warren County birds only for bird disposal. They made progress with Cumberland, Salem and the PCFA, however they have not had the time or resources to make agreements with other landfills. There were a few birds outside of Warren County such as Sussex County had a small farm, a smaller farm than Warren County. So, they would like us to remove the Warren County restriction. Mr. Knittel stated that he had remembered at the time that they did not want to open it up to the whole State or the whole Country for that matter so, they were not asking for anything else out of New Jersey but they were looking at splitting the State in half. The north half would be the PCFA and the south half would be Cumberland or Salem. They were still looking at other alternatives with Covanta to incinerate birds, should the need arise. It looks like the need may not be as critical as they thought, the hotter temperatures tend to kill the virus down, but there could be a slight resurgence in the fall. There were still some cases popping up here and there.

Mr. Knittel stated that the bottom line was that he did not have any objection to taking birds from outside of Warren County, as long as it was limited to New Jersey or North Jersey.

Mr. Tipton stated that they had said to him the whole State, they did not say north.

Mr. Knittel replied that they did not say north, ok.

Mr. Tipton stated that they wanted to keep their options open. That was the only thing that Anthony questioned on it, he also said that he did not know what the lawyers were going to say about your indemnification language. Mr. Tipton replied to him that he was not a lawyer and he did not know who their lawyers were if they would push back on that or not. But Anthony's only issue was the geographic restriction.

Mr. Knittel replied ok.

Mr. Tipton stated that he told him that he would bring it back to the Board and if they could address that then it would go to the lawyers, but like Mr. Knittel said, he thinks that the issue or the emergency has passed at this point. This might be a non-issue. Mr. Knittel replied hopefully so.

Mr. Knittel stated that they should try to get that MOU in because it protects us as much as them, or more so because of payment issues. The MOU was really strong in getting us paid for our services. He did poll the Board members individually and he did get a response from Mr. Perez and Mr. Larsen that said they did not have a problem with birds outside the County. But he did not have the quorum from the poll so he did not know if they could close that loop today with the three of the Board members that were present today. Other than that, he thinks that the only question on the MOU, was, were they willing to accept the outside of County birds?

Mr. Knittel stated that also when speaking to Anthony on the phone, he had told him that if they were not willing to take birds from outside the County that the State could force them to. It would fall under a state emergency and they would not have a choice.

Mr. Knittel stated that once again, he did not have any problem with it, he would recommend that they allow birds from outside of the County.

Mr. Accetturo stated that let the State force them to do it. Mr. Mach replied that he agreed, make them force them to do it.

Mr. Mach asked if they needed a vote on that.

Mr. Knittel replied no, technically they could leave the MOU as it stands. It would be up to Mr. Tipton whether they take a formal vote on that and just reject it to say Warren County only, then any other birds they would have to force us to take them?

Mr. Knittel stated that he liked Anthony, he was a big Bureau Chief at the State level DEP, but this was more USDA and department of Agriculture that they would be having the MOU with. He does not believe that this would hurt his relationship at all with Anthony if they said no, he does understand that this was a Board run facility and that the last say was with the Board members and the lawyers. Mr. Mach stated that it should not hurt Mr. Knittel's relationship at all, it was the Board that made the decision.

Mr. Accetturo replied that it sounded like Anthony slightly threatened Mr. Knittel already, saying that they were the State of New Jersey and that they could force them to take the birds.

Mr. Knittel stated that Anthony definitely said to him that the State could make them take the birds.

Mr. Knittel stated that they were willing to take them from Warren County but not from outside.

Mr. Mach replied tell Anthony that they may consider it on a case-by-case basis. Mr. Accetturo agreed with that, the State wants to tell them what to do with our land, our property and control it. We have

these pristine highlands land out here and the State wants to dump birds on it from all over the State, let them force us, he was not going to volunteer for it.

Mr. Mach replied that he agreed. Mr. Knittel replied ok, he does not think that they have to vote on it because that was already the consensus of the Board previously, unless Mr. Tipton feels differently. Mr. Tipton replied that all he needed was the green light on C-3 as it was written which was limited to our own egg farm in Warren County. Just to approve C-3 as is if they wanted to vote on that.

Mr. Mach asked if they needed a motion. Mr. Accetturo replied yes and he would make a motion that they approve it, item C-3 that they only take birds from Warren County.

Mr. Mach stated that he would make a second.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	- Absent
	Mr. Lazorisak	- Absent
	Mr. Mach	- Yes
	Mr. Perez	- Yes
	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes

Mr. Knittel stated that they would move on to C-4 letter dated June 8th from State of New Jersey to the PCFA. This goes back to the previous summer; this was an NOV that turned into a fine. They did reduce the fine in half and they were talking about reducing the fine even further from \$8,000.00 if they were willing to take dirty soils. Our permit does not currently allow them to take slightly contaminated soil for daily cover yet. They were in the process of modifying that permit on a case-by-case basis with the DEP but, because they were not in the position to help them, they reduced the fine as much as they could to \$8,750.00. Now they would like Mr. Accetturo and himself to sign it and send them a check. This was for the landfill allowing residents with rented commercial vehicles access to the facility in the past. That has since been corrected and it has not happened since he has been there. It was people renting u-hauls or Home-Depot trucks to bring their household trash to us. The State views that as they could potentially be illegal haulers, hauling for other people for profit and were not registered.

Mr. Knittel thinks that the PCFA had been warned in the past and even though they had corrected it, there was this settlement. So, he was asking for permission to sign and pay C-4

Mr. Accetturo asked how much it was for. Mr. Knittel replied \$8,750.00, it was about a \$17,000.00 fine it got reduced in half based on our willingness to work with them and correct the problem.

Mr. Mach stated that he would make the motion to approve.

Mr. Accetturo stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	- Absent
	Mr. Lazorisak	- Absent
	Mr. Mach	- Yes
	Mr. Perez	- Yes
	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes

Mr. Knittel stated C-5 was a letter dated June 8th from Mr. Tipton, it was a Covanta termination and easement. That required the Chairman Accetturo signature and asked Mr. Tipton if he had anything to update them on this.

Mr. Tipton stated that this was just the back roadway easement that they were taking back from them. It was a part of the overall settlement agreement with Covanta related to the host agreement, the waste disposal agreement, the omnibus agreement, it terminates the service agreement. It was just a document that they need to file with the County so it was on record the termination and release of easement. It was really just a formality. Mr. Knittel replied ok.

Mr. Tipton stated that he just needed authorization for Mr. Accetturo to sign it.

Mr. Mach stated that he would make that motion.

Mr. Accetturo stated that he would second it.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	- Absent
	Mr. Lazorisak	- Absent
	Mr. Mach	- Yes
	Mr. Perez	- Yes
	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes

Mr. Accetturo asked for a copy of C-5 that he needed to sign, it was provided to him by Mr. Knittel and Mrs. Banghart.

PUBLIC COMMENTS (AGENDA ITEMS ONLY)

Mr. Knittel asked if there were any public comments for agenda items only.

FINANCE

Mr. Knittel stated that they would move on to finance when Mrs. Banghart was ready.

Mrs. Banghart stated that their finances were good, there were no issues and the tonnage was up, that was really all she had to report.

Mr. Knittel stated that they tonnage was up and also the tonnage for Covanta ash was on pace to exceed their fifty thousand tons. They do need the ash just because of the configuration of opening one cell and closing another. Mr. Knittel stated that previously he had the ability to negotiate or to extend their tonnage beyond that. He does not want to go too far beyond fifty thousand tons because it uses valuable airspace that could be used for solid waste at \$50 to \$100 per ton, versus the ash at \$18.

Mr. Knittel stated that he did ask Covanta for a \$10 increase for the next 10,000 yards and they did not respond to that other than to offer a \$1.00 increase. So perhaps they would send something back to them this month saying again they were on pace to exceed their maximum, they could take an extra ten thousand but maybe split the difference between what he had asked them and what they had offered. It was decent revenue let's say 10,000 yards at \$20.00 would be close to a couple hundred

thousand dollars that they could use for machinery maintenance or repairs or for other items. Also the ash was a beneficial use to us, it was just that that price was below industry standards.

Mr. Accetturo asked, in light of what Mr. Knittel had just said about airspace, should they even entertain allowing any extra that they don't need to take.

Mr. Knittel replied normally he would say that he agrees with him 100% don't take a pound more than what their maximum was at fifty. They were bringing so much now they're close to forty thousand tons right now. It's all going up to the old cell.

Mr. Accetturo asked if they were stockpiling it. Mr. Knittel replied that they were, but they would have to double handle it from the old cell to the new cell on a daily basis, that loading and transportation on-site was quite expensive. With our two machines and two operators tying them up for several hours a day. Where if they were able to temporarily, this year alone, increase that ash tonnage, they could have the triaxles deliver it directly to the new cell. Also, the ash that was stockpiled up on top that was in excess of what they need right now, they would be able to use that as they shape the landfill and shape up the side slopes as its finished. So, this year he would be willing to take a little more than the maximum but in future, especially when they were in the new cell, that fifty thousand was going to be more than they need in the future.

Mr. Knittel stated that this would be a one time, this year only deal to take a bit more ash than they normally would.

Mr. Mach replied but not at a dollar a ton.

Mr. Knittel stated that they said for the extra they would give them \$19.00 instead of \$18.00.

Mr. Knittel stated that he had asked for \$28.00 and they just ignored him.

Mrs. Banghart stated that in 2017 it was \$26.00 per ton. Mr. Knittel replied that with the fuel prices he does understand that they were trying to save on their hauling costs that were more expensive now, but they were trying to take advantage of a very cheap negotiated rate of \$18.00 per ton.

Mr. Knittel stated that when Mr. Tipton asked if there were any updates, he had answered that he had left it at \$28.00 and they left it at \$19.00 and they have not communicated since, other than they were bringing in more than what he would expect. They were going to hit their max, so maybe they do play hardball and cut them off. This is a public meeting and they can see these minutes but, maybe they do have to cut them off at fifty and say sorry, find somewhere else to put it for the last four months of the year.

Mr. Knittel stated that they could cross that bridge when they get there. He does not believe that he needs any motions or anything at this time, but he wanted to make sure that the Board was aware of that.

Mr. Mach asked just a question, they were not giving him permission to take in anything extra, but they were going to take it in? Isn't that contractual matter?

Mr. Knittel replied that the signed contract that they do have the ash agreement does say a maximum of fifty it does not seem to have any language in there as to what happens if they bring in more than fifty. It says that the PCFA has the ability to reject anything over the maximum, so they could tell them stop, no more at fifty. But there was no language in there to say what happens if they would willingly take in more than fifty.

Mr. Tipton stated that it would be an amendment to the contract, if they choose but they don't have to, that was why they wrote it the way that they did.

Mr. Accetturo asked if he understands it correctly, that they were ahead of pace? Mr. Knittel replied yes.

Mr. Accetturo replied that maybe they should warn them right now that since they gave Mr. Knittel the silent treatment over his request that they should warn them that they might want to pace themselves for the rest of the year. Mr. Accetturo stated that if it was paced it was much easier for the PCFA to deal with. Mr. Knittel replied yes. Mr. Accetturo stated that if it was frontloaded then it's costing more money and it was handled too many times. Mr. Knittel stated that it would double and triple the work load.

Mr. Accetturo stated to tell them to pace themselves or pay more. Mr. Tipton stated that they were increasing their costs and it was not fair to the PCFA.

Mr. Mach stated that any extra had to be at the existing cost if not more. Mr. Knittel replied that was correct.

Mr. Knittel stated that he thinks that he would send them a formal letter. They have sent emails saying that they were on pace to finish in the next month or two at this rate. We will send them a formal letter and let them know what their tonnage is as of the six months, which would be this week. So, at six months they have hit forty thousand tons. They would run out in another month if they keep this pace and again ask them what their plans were, to just stop hauling.

Mrs. Banghart stated that according to their contract they would be cutoff unless the PCFA does an amendment to their contract and they sign it for X dollars above that, but until then they would be cutoff at that point that they signed. That would be what they should send them. Mr. Knittel replied ok.

Mr. Knittel stated that that was part of our finances, then asked if there were any questions before going to the resolution to pay bills.

Mrs. Banghart stated that they could do that, she would just like to also say that they have gotten some ID 10 waste in, and they have made revisions to their contracts to not exceed the amounts that they were already signed for, they don't want to get too much in all at once.

Mr. Accetturo asked if they need a resolution to pay bills. Mr. Knittel replied yes.

Mr. Mach stated that he would make the motion to pay bills.

Mr. Accetturo stated that he would make a second.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	- Absent
	Mr. Lazorisak	- Absent
	Mr. Mach	- Yes
	Mr. Perez	- Yes
	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes

On a motion by **Mr. Mach**, seconded by **Mr. Accetturo**, the following resolution was adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County at a meeting held on *June 27, 2022*.

RESOLUTION

R-6-01-22

To Pay Bills – June 27, 2022

WHEREAS, the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County has been presented with invoices for services, supplies and other materials rendered to it or on its behalf;

NOW, THEREFORE, be it resolved by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County that the following bills be paid:

See Attached

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	- Absent
	Mr. Lazorisak	- Absent
	Mr. Mach	- Yes
	Mr. Perez	- Yes
	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes

We hereby certify Resolution to Pay Bills in the amount of **\$ 1,592,177.01** to be a true copy of a resolution adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County on the 27th day of June, 2022.

Mariann Cliff
Recording Secretary

Jonathan Knittel
Director of Operations

Approved: 6/27/22

PERSONNEL

Mr. Accetturo stated next up was personnel.

Mr. Knittel stated that they had some interesting items for personnel and some of it would have to go into executive, however, in general they have two changes going on. They have a very small staff, seven field employees and five between the scale and admin. Out of the seven they had several people that had unexpected family or health situations. They have gotten thru that but have realized that there was one medical absence that was coming up for a three-month period and they have been short staffed because of some general vacation time but a little more absence than what they would normally expect, they were working with those employees through that process.

Mr. Knittel stated that at this point they do need to post two job openings immediately, one for an equipment operator, probably at the apprentice end and a posting for a weigh-master. The PCFA was financially able to handle one of the two immediately, however if staffing changes in anyway, or if someone was to resign or otherwise that would free up that salary for the rest of the year. Mr. Knittel stated that he would prefer to post two job openings and see what the response was to both of those. They have a slight dilemma also while they post they would have to put a salary range and the salary range would be below our current staff. However, the Country has seen the 8% inflation over the past 8 months or a year and it would be tough to get qualified people in at the price range that they were looking at. Either way they would gain useful information by posting both jobs. If they were to get responses, they could talk about this more in executive session. If they post both but they only have the ability to hire one, were there any legal issues in posting two job openings when they may only be able to meet the financial obligation for one of the two. And based on the candidates that came out to interview they could then decide which one is more important, do they go here or there and or could they somehow swing both until January when they could revise their budgets.

Mr. Knittel stated that those were the types of things that they could talk about in executive, for now they could move on to presentations.

PRESENTATIONS

Mr. Knittel stated that he believed that they had none today.

REPORTS

Mr. Knittel stated that there were also no reports for today.

FACILITIES/RECYCLING

Mr. Knittel stated then they could move into facilities and they would start with landfill operations. The landfill operations have been going very well in the sense of recovering airspace that was hard to get to on the south slope. They have utilized that airspace to buy them a little time to get into the new cell that was approved from the DEP previously. There was an incident in the field with a truck tire that slipped off of a crane mat, and also a small leak that was observed, or an apparent leak. It turned out that leak was not technically a leak in the cell, it was water getting through the temporary berm into the system. They have found its location and it should be fixed as of today. Mr. Radomsky stated that they were fixing it at that moment. Mr. Knittel stated that as soon as that was fixed today, Mott MacDonald would send an update to the State then the State would then say ok go ahead and place your trash. Therefore, Mr. Knittel was going to start placement of trash this previous week, it did get delayed a week, but they would start placing trash in the new cell this week.

Mr. Knittel stated that they did have a slight change in some of the haulers. One of our largest haulers Gaeta who was a transfer station, has switched their facility to a bale facility. they have a brand new multi-million-dollar bale system where they bale their trash, place it on rail cars or on trucks and ship it to where ever it needs to go. They would love to use us still at their contract rate because of difficulties getting the trains moving uniformly. To be proactive Mr. Knittel has kept that relationship as open as possible, they are still happy to send us bales but, we are not set up to handle bales well. The bales do open if you hit them with the dozer, so you can still spread the waste, that was one option. Also plan b was to search for a hauler to replace Gaeta, if Gaeta was to send all of their waste somewhere else. They did find that hauler by the name of Lemcor out of Newark New Jersey, another transfer station, they can ship them item 10 waste exclusively. That waste was what they needed to get the new cell started. Mr. Knittel stated that they now have a contract with Lemcor that should be going out today the only change was from Lemcor LLC to Lemcor inc. was a small typo on that and that will be going out today for Lemcor to sign.

Mr. Knittel stated that Lemcor is the same or larger status hauler as compared to Gaeta. If Gaeta was to stay on and they have Lemcor it was ok, they could handle that volume but it would put us up near our target at about 150,000 tons a year. If they see that they were a little less than 150,000 they could authorize Lemcor to bring us more tonnage. They almost have unlimited tonnage; it seems like some of their transfer station was getting solid waste ID 10 from New York City. They bring it in to their transfer station, sort it, get it in their trucks and send it westward. With the increased fuel prices the economics they would prefer, would be to stop in New Jersey, the DEP would prefer them to stop in New Jersey as well just to keep the big trucks off the highways as much as possible. It was exciting to see there was still strong demand from the east from transfer stations, at the same time they have talked to our in-County ID 10 haulers which was Sanico and LMR. The PCFA has authorized them to begin sending us ID 10 and they have done so with their rural route 10-yard capacity front load trucks or the packers as they call them. Those were the trucks that do the rural routes they bring their trash in, that material has been compacting well and there have not been any odor problems, and those small trucks will also be diverted down to the new cell starting this week.

Mr. Knittel stated that starting this week they would have two operations, one dozer will be on the old cell and one dozer will be in the new cell. All of the ID 10 will go into the new cell, all of the debris the ID 13 type waste will go to the old cell. That split operation would happen for as short a period of time as possible, until they get a 5-foot cushion of material into the new cell, at that point most or all trash can be diverted to the new cell. The timing for that is almost perfect, it would take about 4 to 8 weeks to place a cushion and during those 4 to 8 weeks they would continue to recover airspace on the south slope of the old cell.

Mr. Knittel stated next up with other landfill operations, they were ready to submit a public bid to do screening operations for the soil stockpile. There were several screening operators in this County that were interested. None of them were registered with the State of New Jersey, this was a new requirement from the Governor's office, to have them register with the State. Then they have to commit to prevailing wages and all kinds of other union type rules. These operators are specialty operators, they are quarry-masters, the union-type operators out there can move soil, they could do construction but, they were not experienced in quarry operations. Mr. Knittel stated that he was looking at the contract language to figure this out and he would speak with Mr. Tipton more in the next week or so, if they have the quarry-masters register, it was very expensive and time consuming and they have not guaranty that they would win the job. Mr. Knittel stated that none of the quarry-masters were interested in a bidding process that requires all of this registration with the State.

Mr. Knittel stated that with all of that in mind, he thinks this happened with the water well previously. They could not find any contractors that were willing to work with them because of those requirements. Therefore, he was trying to figure a way to make this work, perhaps lease equipment from them and then purchase the product that they make from them. The lease agreement would be for them to use our stockpile, screen it and then they purchase material from them instead. Mr. Knittel stated that he does not know, legally he does not want to get into any gray areas, but he would like to make sure that they have the ability to get the best person for the job without infringing on any of these union type issues.

Mr. Knittel stated next was construction updates, construction was proceeding up out of the reduced cell and up the hill. They have crushers and they have more operators than they previously did. They were still experiencing their normal weather delays here and there. They will be having the formal construction meeting this Thursday. They were anxiously waiting for the schedule update, but it looks like Tomco was not slipping as much as in the past. The progress in the field appears to be more than they actually anticipated, he did not have a lot of construction updates at that point, there will be more information available later in the week.

Mr. Knittel stated that they had all the media on-site now for the H2S removal system, but they have still not changed the media, they have their contractor on standby to do that work and they were looking at probably another month or two. The media was not being consumed as quick as they thought it would be. It was nice to have that material already on-site and there were no odors from their H2S system.

Mr. Knittel stated the update on the solar panel project owned by Goldman Sachs, they sent over some documentation saying that they have now found meters on-site that show what we have been consuming at the lower half of the site versus what's being produced. The production was higher than the use however, in the contract language for the solar panels it was only up to so many hundred-thousand kilowatts that was free then after that there was a contract reduced rate compared to market value. So, it looks like we may start getting an electric bill for the lower half of the site soon. It would be a minimal bill and they do have a budget line for electric use, but they have not received electric bills for the last 9 months. It seems like that may have been a paperwork issue, hopefully they can't back charge us 9 months, that would be a shocker. If they have corrected their problems and start sending us a small bill every month that would be something that they could handle.

Mr. Knittel stated A-2 waste disposal fee has not changed this month. Mrs. Banghart stated that it would change next month when they add Lemcor. Mr. Knittel replied that they would have an update on that for next month.

Mr. Knittel stated that electronics recycling, tire recycling and single stream recycling all of those were just volumes, they were all doing fairly well.

Mr. Knittel stated that he thinks that that wraps up facilities unless Mrs. Banghart has anything else. Mrs. Banghart replied that was all.

GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT

Mr. Accetturo asked for the General Counsel’s report.

Mr. Tipton replied that the letter of credit that was referenced here was just one of the conditions of approval, the omnibus agreement, they had that now so it was really just an update, everything else that he had was for executive session.

OTHER

Mr. Accetturo asked if there was any other business.

Closing Public Comments

Mr. Accetturo asked if there was any closing public comments.

PRESS COMMENTS & QUESTIONS

Mr. Accetturo asked if there was anything else, any comments.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

Mr. Accetturo asked for a motion to go into Executive session.

Mr. Mach stated that he would make the motion to go into Executive session.

Mr. Accetturo stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	- Absent
	Mr. Lazorisak	- Absent
	Mr. Mach	- Yes
	Mr. Perez	- Yes
	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes

*****Executive session began at approximately 10:12am***
*****Mr. Radomsky left the Board room at approximately 10:33am***
*****Mrs. Cliff left the Board room at approximately 10:35am***
*****Mrs. Cliff entered the Board room at approximately 10:42am***
*****Executive session ended at approximately 10:43am***

Mr. Accetturo asked for a motion to go into Public session.

Mr. Perez stated that he would make the motion to go into Public session.

Mr. Mach stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	- Absent
	Mr. Lazorisak	- Absent

Mr. Mach - Yes
Mr. Perez - Yes
Mr. Accetturo - Yes

Mr. Tipton stated that now would be the time to make a motion or ask for a motion to approve the job posting.

Mr. Knittel stated that he would like a motion to approve a single job posting for an equipment operator for the operations portion of the landfill.

Mr. Accetturo stated that he would make that motion.
Mr. Mach stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Absent
Mr. Lazorisak - Absent
Mr. Mach - Yes
Mr. Perez - Yes
Mr. Accetturo - Yes

Mr. Accetturo asked if there was any other business.

Mr. Mach stated that he had a question, then asked Mr. Knittel if they had an up-to-date organizational chart, and if not, could they make one.

Mr. Knittel said that he would like to do one with the organization updated after the next, maybe special meeting, where some job titles may or may not be able to be changed. Mr. Knittel stated that he could give them a current one and one that he would like to propose. The current one they already have with the only difference being that Mrs. Banghart and himself were in a strait line and they still are but they have shared responsibilities and her responsibilities have changed. Mr. Mach replied that they could represent that in the changed one. Mr. Knittel replied absolutely. Mrs. Banghart stated that their personnel manual was currently at the attorney's office, they were updating it all. Mr. Knittel stated that the organizational chart was part of the employee manual. Mr. Knittel asked if there was anything else.

Mr. Accetturo asked for a motion to adjourn.

Mr. Mach asked before they adjourn, what was the status of the wall in the parking lot?

Mr. Knittel replied they did the Geotech borings, the designer will be completing his design in the next week or so and then as soon as that was done Finelli Engineering will produce bid documents within a month, then they would go out to bid for contractors. Finelli already has the observation in construction, like field quality control but it would go to a public bid for the contractor. Mr. Knittel stated that they expect that to happen and should have the bid documents by the end of July. Mr. Mach stated before the snow comes. Mr. Knittel replied yes, it should go to bid in August, it would take a month to award then they would be on track to do that construction in September.

Mr. Mach replied thank you. Mr. Knittel replied no problem.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. Accetturo asked for a motion to adjourn.

Mr. Mach stated that he would make a motion to adjourn.

Mr. Accetturo stated that he would second that motion.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	- Absent
	Mr. Lazorisak	- Absent
	Mr. Mach	- Yes
	Mr. Perez	- Yes
	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes

*****Meeting was adjourned at approximately 10:46am***

Respectfully submitted by:

Mariann Cliff

Recording Secretary

Approved: July 25, 2022