

POLLUTION CONTROL FINANCING AUTHORITY
OF WARREN COUNTY

MINUTES OF REGULAR MONTHLY MEETING

February 22, 2021

Chairman Angelo Accetturo called the regular monthly meeting of the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County to order at approximately 9:32 AM.

Authority Members present: Alex Lazorisak, and Angelo Accetturo.

Authority Members present via conference call: Robert Larsen, Richard Mach, and Dan Perez.

Mr. Accetturo asked to call the Monday March 22, 2021 PCFA meeting to order, please call the roll.

ROLL CALL:

Mr. Lazorisak	-	Present
Mr. Mach	-	Present
Mr. Perez	-	Present
Mr. Accetturo	-	Present

Also present: Brian Tipton, General Counsel (via conference call); James Williams, Director of Operations; Jamie Banghart, Administrative Supervisor, and Mariann Cliff, Recording Secretary.

Mr. Accetturo asked if Mr. Tipton was on the line. Mr. Tipton replied that he was.

Mr. Accetturo stated that they had to swear Mr. Robert Larsen in. Mr. Tipton replied that he would take the lead on that.

Mr. Tipton asked Mr. Larsen to repeat after him the following.

I, **Robert Larsen** , do solemnly swear that I will support the Constitution of the United States and the Constitution of the State of New Jersey; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same and to the Governments established in the United States and in this State, under the authority of the people; and that I will faithfully, impartially and justly perform all the duties of a member of the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County according to the best of my ability. So help me God.

Mr. Tipton, Mr. Accetturo and Mr. Williams replied congratulations.

Mr. Larsen replied thank you.

Mr. Accetturo called for roll.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Yes
Mr. Lazorisak - Yes
Mr. Mach - Yes
Mr. Perez - Yes
Mr. Accetturo - Yes

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Chairman Accetturo.

Mr. Accetturo read the following statement: "Adequate notice of this meeting of February 22th 2021 was given in accordance with the Open Public Meetings Act by forwarding a schedule of regular meetings of the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County (PCFAWC) to the Warren County Clerk, the Warren County Board of Chosen Freeholders, The Express-Times, and by posting a copy thereof on the bulletin board in the office of the PCFAWC. Formal action may be taken by the PCFAWC at this meeting. Public participation is encouraged".

Mr. Accetturo stated that the Annual Reorganization of the Authority was next, Nominations and Election of Officers of the Authority. The director will assume the Chair for the nominations for the Chairperson.

Mr. Williams stated that he would like to open up the floor for nominations for Chairman. Mr. Lazorisak stated that he would make a motion, nominating Mr. Accetturo as Chair.

Mr. Williams asked if there was a second. Mr. Mach stated that he would second it. Mr. Larsen stated that he would second it. Mr. Williams replied that Mr. Mach got to it first.

Mr. Williams asked if there were any other nominations. Hearing none, they would close the nominations, and asked for a roll call.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Yes
Mr. Lazorisak - Yes
Mr. Mach - Yes
Mr. Perez - Yes
Mr. Accetturo - Yes

Mr. Accetturo replied thank you, and then asked if they could do the next round in a batch or one at a time.

Mr. Williams replied that they should be done one at a time.

Mr. Accetturo asked if there was a nomination for Vice Chairman.

Mr. Lazorisak stated that he would make a motion to elect Mr. Mach as Vice Chairman.

Mr. Accetturo stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Yes
Mr. Lazorisak - Yes
Mr. Mach - Yes
Mr. Perez - Yes
Mr. Accetturo - Yes

Mr. Accetturo asked if there was a nomination for Secretary.

Mr. Lazorisak stated that he would make a motion to elect Mr. Perez as Secretary.
Mr. Accetturo stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Yes
 Mr. Lazorisak - Yes
 Mr. Mach - Yes
 Mr. Perez - Yes
 Mr. Accetturo - Yes

Mr. Accetturo asked if there was a nomination for Treasurer.
Mr. Lazorisak stated that he would make a motion to elect Mr. Larsen as Treasurer.
Mr. Accetturo stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Yes
 Mr. Lazorisak - Yes
 Mr. Mach - Yes
 Mr. Perez - Yes
 Mr. Accetturo - Yes

MINUTES

Mr. Accetturo stated next were the minutes M-1 from regular monthly meeting on January 25, 2021, then asked if there were a motion on the minutes.

Mr. Perez stated that he would make a motion. Mr. Mach stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Abstain
 Mr. Lazorisak - Yes
 Mr. Mach - Yes
 Mr. Perez - Yes
 Mr. Accetturo - Yes

Mr. Accetturo stated next, Annual Resolutions, R-02-01-21 through R-02-11-21. If there is no particular discussion on any one resolution, they could do these as one big batch.

Mr. Accetturo asked if anyone had anything that they would want to discuss?

Mr. Accetturo stated that hearing no objections he would bake a motion that they move on the annual resolutions R-02-01-21 through R-02-11-21.

Mr. Accetturo asked if there was a second.

Mr. Lazorisak stated that he would second the motion.

Mr. Accetturo asked for roll call.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Yes
 Mr. Lazorisak - Yes

Mr. Mach - Yes
Mr. Perez - Yes
Mr. Accetturo - Yes

CORRESPONDENCE

Mr. Accetturo asked if there were any correspondence. Mr. Williams replied that there was none.

PUBLIC COMMENTS (AGENDA ITEMS ONLY)

Mr. Accetturo asked if there were any public comments for agenda items only.

FINANCE

A-3

Mr. Accetturo stated since there were no public comments they would move on to Finance with Mr. Williams.

Mr. Williams stated that A-3 was the Finance report. They were still early into the year and there was nothing to report on as of right then. The waste flow is still coming in as expected. Mr. Williams stated that as he had said last month, a number of the insurance bills came in that is why they would see the deficit on the bottom on page two. There is nothing else regarding the finance report itself, he believes Mrs. Banghart had one item that she would need approval for, for the audit. Mr. Williams stated that the audit would be occurring sometime this month or early March. Mr. Williams then asked Mrs. Banghart to go ahead.

Mrs. Banghart stated that December 4th it was found that an amount of \$100.00 was short at the scale at the end of the day. They had called WasteWorks to see if there was a possible glitch in the system. WasteWorks looked into this for them and came up with no findings.

Mrs. Banghart stated that they believe it was human error and not a glitch in the system. Therefore, they would need the Board approval to write off the amount of \$100.00 to clear up the finance for the 2020 audit.

Mr. Accetturo stated that he would make a motion to approve.

Mr. Lazorisak stated that he would make a second.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Yes
Mr. Lazorisak - Yes
Mr. Mach - Yes
Mr. Perez - Yes
Mr. Accetturo - Yes

Mr. Williams stated that they should also do the resolution to pay bills R-02-12-21.

Mr. Accetturo asked if there was a motion on R-02-12-21, resolution to pay bills.

Mr. Perez stated that he would make the motion.

Mr. Accetturo stated that he would second it.

On a motion by *Mr. Perez*, seconded by *Mr. Accetturo*, the following resolution was adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County at a meeting held on *February 22, 2021*.

R E S O L U T I O N

R-02-12-21

To Pay Bills – February 22, 2021

WHEREAS, the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County has been presented with invoices for services, supplies and other materials rendered to it or on its behalf;

NOW, THEREFORE, be it resolved by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County that the following bills be paid:

See Attached

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	- Yes
	Mr. Lazorisak	- Yes
	Mr. Mach	- Yes
	Mr. Perez	- Yes
	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes

We hereby certify Resolution to Pay Bills in the amount of **\$ 414,066.65** to be a true copy of a resolution adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County on the *22th day* of February, *2021*.

Mariann Cliff
Recording Secretary

James Williams
Director of Operations

Approved: 02/22/21

Mr. Accetturo stated that the pricing schedule A-4 was next.

Mr. Williams stated that A-4 is for the 2021 Solid Waste Disposal Pricing Schedule. Mr. Williams stated that he did not make any adjustments for 2021 he left them flat. Since they have been going

through the past five or six years on an annual basis, they have been increasing their pricing. Therefore, this year he had decided not to do that, of course he stated, that was the Boards decision.

Mr. Williams continued that along that line, they had their survey done on the landfill on January 1st. they got the available airspace back and they are very close. They have about 16 to 18 months' worth of airspace remaining in the landfill. What he would also suggest to go along with the pricing schedule was, for the larger contracts of which would be LMR, Gaeta, National and Sanico. Currently they are at a 9,000 to 12,000 ton per year, so in order to save space he would like to reduce the intake from them by 2,000 tons each and make their maximum 10,000 tons instead of 12,000 tons until they get the construction completed. This they hope would be in December of this year and they would be able to access the new cell in January 2022 but that was all weather permitting.

Mr. Williams stated that if anyone has been around contractors before in construction, sometimes there are delays in schedules. Therefore, by reducing the intake from the four large haulers that would give us the additional airspace that they may need if this ever becomes an emergent issue for them.

Mr. Sarnoski stated that he would like to ask a question. Mr. Williams replied sure.

Mr. Sarnoski stated that he knows that LMR and Sanico are Warren county based haulers, are the others out-of-County? If so, was there a way that they could reduce the out-of-County waste more than the in-County waste?

Mr. Williams stated that anything was possible, he would give a warning though, that we do not want to put all of our eggs in one basket with one hauler, or even two haulers. Mr. Williams stated that it was nice to keep it diversified even though they are not Warren County customers.

Mr. Williams stated that that was completely up to the Board.

Mr. Mach stated that he agrees with Mr. Sarnoski comments. He thinks that the PCFA should take care of the folk's in County.

Mr. Accetturo stated that he would like to put a statement out there and tell him if it is true or false.

Mr. Accetturo stated that they could not operate the landfill strictly with Warren County waste. Mr. Williams replied correct.

Mr. Accetturo replied that means that they have to take waste from out of County to maintain the landfill. Mr. Accetturo stated that if there was a way to achieve a happy medium, they would be able to control the waste coming in from outside the County and still be open, and the methodology of that would be tough to keep it on an even playing field for everyone. Perhaps they could find a way.

Mr. Williams stated that as Chairmen Accetturo stated, Warren County does not produce enough ID 13 type waste within the county to sustain the operation here at the landfill.

Mr. Lazorisak stated that it may be too pre-mature to look at that this year until they have the completion of the cell 7, and then at that point then they could really look at contracts and tonnage and decide that hopefully in a years' time that they would also be taking in type 10 from Warren County. Mr. Lazorisak asked Mr. Sarnoski if that was his concern also, the matter of type 10.

Mr. Sarnoski replied that yes type 10 was his main concern, but also they have heard at their solid waste management meetings, that in-County haulers concerned with either type 13 and the reduction of type 13 being taken in, they claim that they could even do more if they were allowed. Mr. Sarnoski

stated that he was not asking to completely eliminate out of County waste and only take in County. However, if they lower out of County waste by 10% and in County waste by 5% at least they would get some sort of happy medium. That way they would be giving their in County waste haulers a little bit more. Mr. Sarnoski stated that he does not know even if that was possible but an opportunity to dump more at their facility.

Mr. Accetturo stated that everything was going to change if they do start taking the ID 10.

Mr. Williams stated that as Mr. Lazorisak had stated, once this construction is done, and here they are almost into March and regarding the ID 10 waste, hopefully that works out to everyone's benefit. It will be next year before any ID 10 waste could even be accepted due to current space constrictions.

Mr. Williams stated that he believes that next year they could almost be a one hundred percent Warren County facility, with very little importing of out of County waste.

Mr. Sarnoski stated that is the ultimate goal and he does appreciate the Board working that goal and he thinks that they are doing a good job. He was just making a suggestion there, if it would be possible because they hear it from the in County haulers. If what they need to sustain operations is different, just understand that he was just making a request.

Mr. Lazorisak asked if there was a clause in the contract that would allow them to add to, and revamp the contract as the year goes on.

Mr. Mach replied that they could certainly make it a shorter contract, make it a six-month contract.

Mr. Lazorisak if they lock in a year, was there any opening clause in these contracts?

Mr. Williams stated to Mr. Tipton that if he remembered correctly, he believes there is something written in there, but he did not have one in front of him.

Mr. Tipton replied that he did not have a copy of the contract in front of him either but he thought that there was language in there about the PCFA being able to terminate for any reason within 30 days' notice.

Mr. Williams replied that is what he remembered also, so there is that 'out' in there if needed.

Mr. Lazorisak stated that ok, and then they have the ability to cancel an outside County contract and then add additional to a Warren County contract, Mr. Tipton? Mr. Tipton replied yes. Mr. Lazorisak stated ok, so there is always that option.

Mr. Accetturo stated that he believes that they would be able to see it much clearer a year from today.

Mr. Perez asked Mr. Williams if one of these out of County haulers do not like the fact that they are reducing their intake can they drop out?

Mr. Williams replied yes, no one has to sign the contract. Mr. Perez replied ok so then do have enough other intake coming in that would be able to replace if someone drops out?

Mr. Williams replied that if someone dropped out then they would have to go to the other 3 haulers to see if they have surplus, and he does know that they do. Mr. Perez replied ok. Mr. Williams stated that he was pretty confident that there was other waste out there available with the other three.

Mr. Accetturo asked if there were any other comments on the resolution.

Mr. Mach replied yes just a comment, he worked in sales for many years and he has had a few supervisors and vice presidents of corporations. Their motto was 'you always raise price', would you like to give that any consideration?

Mr. Larsen stated that he would agree even if it is only by a small margin. Mr. Mach replied exactly, even if it is only .25 cents, always raise prices.

Mr. Larsen stated that this way they are used to seeing it every year, and they allot for it. Mr. Mach replied and also your cost of operations have gone up a little bit through the course of the year and could justify a small increase.

Mr. Lazorisak replied blame it on Covid, everyone else in the world has.

Mr. Accetturo stated that he, in a real brief discussion about it with Mr. Williams earlier, it was said that the dollar impact was so small even if they did .50 cents a ton, but he understands where Mr. Mach is coming from.

Mr. Accetturo asked Mr. Mach how he would propose it, what he would say, \$1.00 per ton?

Mr. Mach replied that he thought this was historical. Then asked Mr. Williams had they been raising it about \$1.00 per ton for a couple of years now correct?

Mr. Williams replied that was correct.

Mr. Mach stated that he would think that .50 cents a ton would probably do it if the rest of the Board agrees. You could discuss this with the customers when they call in and say, 'hey look, it is really costing more than that but we understand your case we were trying to make it work for you and for us, and we were going to take a bit of a hit here but it is .50 cents a ton'.

Mr. Lazorisak asked if that would be around \$12,000.00. Mr. Williams stated that he did not even think it was that much.

Mr. Williams stated that the other thing that they have to remember was, for these larger haulers they are going to be cutting their intake and then raising their rate.

Mr. Lazorisak replied that goes with supply and demand for example, fuel was going up and tolls are going up. So, even at .50 cents and decrease in the amount of tonnage they are bringing in it is still going to pay for them to come here.

Mr. Williams asked do they want to do .50 cents?

Mr. Mach stated that he would make the motion. Mr. Williams stated to Mr. Mach that before he does that, they have two separate pricing schedules. One for the contract haulers and one is the non-contract haulers. the non-contract haulers are the residents, they have left that alone for a number of years, he would suggest that they leave that alone and just raise the rates on the contract haulers at .50 cents per ton.

Mr. Mach asked why.

Mr. Accetturo replied that he guesses what Mr. Williams was thinking was that the people were locals.

Mr. Williams replied it was all of the locals, all of the residential customers.

Mr. Accetturo stated these are Warren County residents and they would prefer to please them.

Mr. Williams stated that they are already paying the highest rate out there.

Mr. Lazorisak stated and the landfill is in their backyard, they should give them a decrease.

Mr. Accetturo stated that he would raise it \$1.00 on the haulers.

Mr. Mach stated that he did not disagree with Mr. Williams.

Mr. Williams replied that it was up to the Board, it did not matter to him one way or another.

Mr. Accetturo stated that just for the sake of the accountants he would raise it \$1.00.

Mr. Lazorisak stated that the Chairman is at \$1.00 for contract users.

Mr. Sarnoski stated that he was ok with the \$1.00 to the larger haulers. He would just like to say again, if they know that the larger haulers that are in County could bring in additional waste, they should take their waste first. Whether it was ID 13 or ID 10, take that at the higher price before, they start taking out of County waste. Once again, he would like to repeat that thought, and he does understand the Boards feelings on this that they have to make sure that they reach their quotas, but he would like to make that comment, again.

Mr. Accetturo replied that he did agree with Mr. Sarnoski, he thinks that all of the Board probably would like to see that work out in that direction. Mr. Accetturo continued that there is so much going on this year with the expansion. This would have to be looked at again.

Mr. Perez asked Mr. Williams if he just stated that they did not charge the in house haulers the extra increase?

Mr. Accetturo replied yes that was what they are talking about, whether or not they should increase the rate for non-contract haulers. That would be the residential customers, people bringing in their pickup trucks and such.

Mr. Sarnoski stated that he knows that he does not have a vote but he would say no to the in-county residential and yes to the \$1.00 increase to the larger haulers.

Mr. Williams asked should it be .50 cents or \$1.00?

Mr. Lazorisak asked if they wanted a motion.

Mr. Larsen stated that he would be ok with \$1.00 it was not that big of a financial impact.

Mr. Lazorisak stated that he would make the motion to increase the contract hauler rate by \$1.00 and keep the non-contract flat for 2021.

Mr. Perez replied please wait, before they make a motion he just has one more question. What are the rates across the river, would they be taking a chance driving away some of the haulers that they are using right now?

Mr. Williams replied that they always take that chance. Mr. Larsen replied that Mr. Perez they have to take under consideration that they have tolls, mileage, and wear and tear on their equipment.

Mr. Lazorisak stated that the tolls are going up considerably. Mr. Sarnoski replied that he agrees with what Mr. Lazorisak was saying the tolls on the toll bridge are going up by 300% potentially for those haulers so that would be \$3.00 as opposed to \$1.00 now so the extra \$1.00 that they are charging is not going to make that much of a difference.

Mr. Lazorisak replied that he thinks the combination between the tolls and the fuel, and again what was going on at the federal level, fuel is going to rise quite a bit. They would be alright with the \$1.00 increase.

Mr. Perez replied ok.

Mr. Mach stated that again the motion was to raise the large contract out of county haulers at .50 cents increase.

Mr. Lazorisak and Mr. Accetturo both replied \$1.00.

Mr. Lazorisak stated that his motion would be to increase the contracted hauler rates by \$1.00 and keep the non-contracted in Warren County flat for 2021.

Mr. Accetturo asked Mr. Mach if he would like to second that motion.

Mr. Mach stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	- Yes
	Mr. Lazorisak	- Yes
	Mr. Mach	- Yes
	Mr. Perez	- Yes
	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes

PERSONNEL

Mr. Accetturo asked Mr. Williams if there were any personnel reports.

Mr. Williams replied that there were not.

PRESENTATIONS

Mr. Accetturo asked if there were any presentations. Mr. Williams replied none.

REPORTS

Mr. Accetturo asked if there were any reports. Mr. Williams replied none.

FACILITIES/RECYCLING

Mr. Williams stated that the landfill operations were running smooth, no issues.

Mr. Williams stated that for the Cell 7 construction, they had a pre-construction meeting last week with the contractor. About fifteen people attended including the DEP. The meeting went well, the biggest thing that they went over with the contractor was scheduling and when this cell needs to be completed. That was the key topic. The meeting took about an hour. The most important thing was to get the project started and underway and completed by the completion date. It was also mentioned what the liquidated damages were in case they do not meet the December 31, 2021 date, they understood.

Mr. Williams continued that the project is off and running. They just need to get rid of some of this snow out there and they would be on-site putting in silt fence and blasting, those would be the first things.

Mr. Williams stated that next thing they have is that they received bids for the cell 7 QA inspection services, which is A-5. This was a professional service, it was not something that they needed to publicly bid. Three proposals came in Barton and Loguidice, CP Engineers and ARM Group.

Mr. Williams stated that as they could see in their packet his recommendation to the Board would be for CP Engineers. They have done work here in the past for the same type of service, most recently with the cell 5 construction. They were very familiar with our site here; they have done not only cell 5 but even prior work to that. The DEP is also familiar and comfortable with them. Mr. Williams stated that their role is going to be, the eyes and the ears for the DEP. They would report directly back to the DEP, they do not interfere with any construction, give any guidance to the contractor or our QC engineer. They are just observers and report directly back to the DEP.

Mr. Williams stated that if everyone agreed, there was a resolution R-02-14-21 for CP Engineers.

Mr. Mach stated that looking through their biography if you will back here to their presentation, he likes the idea that they had worked with them before. They have done work with us over the years. Mr. Mach stated that he liked the idea that they were working with and probably the exclusive one for the folks up in Sussex County. He also noticed that they had done work for Cape May County, he had been down to that landfill and it is huge. Mr. Mach stated that as far as he was concerned, they have a great background and he would make the motion to hire them.

Mr. Lazorisak stated that he would second the motion on R-02-14-21.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	- Yes
	Mr. Lazorisak	- Yes
	Mr. Mach	- Yes
	Mr. Perez	- Yes
	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes

Mr. Williams stated that next, there was nothing to report on the H2s system and nothing on the Solar project.

Mr. Williams stated that everything was good with the electronic recycling program. The new vendor, which is NewTech recycling, are doing very well. Mr. Williams stated that they are only two months into it but they are doing the collections as they are supposed to.

Mr. Williams stated that the tire recycling is also good, they are currently in the slow part of the year. Mr. Williams stated that the Single Stream Recycling is also going good.

Mr. Williams stated that the next item they have was A-10 this was a disposal agreement with Passaic Valley sewage commission between the PCFA and the sewage commission. They have been working with counsel and Passaic Valley for a number of months regarding this document.

Mr. Williams stated that what this does, is locks the leachate hauling in for the next five years. This also gives Passaic Valley sewage authority a disposal rate that is also locked in for five years for their grits and screenings from their treatment facility. The PCFA has been taking that in for the past year and it has worked out very well.

Mr. Williams stated that he thinks this ends up being a win-win for the Authority they would lock it in the leachate disposal cost for the next five years.

Mr. Williams stated that there is a resolution.

Mr. Lazorisak asked what they had been paying them. Mr. Williams replied that it was .35 cents per thousand. Mr. Williams stated that they had been paying the same rate with them for the past two years. Mr. Williams stated that if the Authority agreed they need an approval for A-10 to enter into this agreement with them. It was actually two agreements, one for the leachate disposal and the other for the grits and screenings disposal at our facility.

Mr. Lazorisak stated that if there was no objection, he would make the motion authorizing the Director to sign the contract.

Mr. Mach stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	- Yes
	Mr. Lazorisak	- Yes
	Mr. Mach	- Yes
	Mr. Perez	- Yes
	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes

Mr. Williams stated that the next item was a proposal from Caterpillar, they had discussed this quite a while ago, last year when the D-7 caught on fire. The decision then was to hold off on the purchase of a new Dozer, they have been renting one since last March at a cost of \$12,000.00 per month.

Mr. Williams stated that they were waiting to see if they were going to build the cell 7. Now that they were moving forward with that, he obtained a proposal from Caterpillar for a smaller machine. Instead of a D-7, it is a D-6.

Mr. Williams stated that this is through the new co-op that they just entered he believes that going through the co-op they would end up with a little over \$100,000.00 decrease for being a co-op member.

Mr. Lazorisak asked how much they received from the insurance company on the claim.

Mr. Williams asked Mrs. Banghart if she remembered. Mrs. Banghart stated that she thought it was around \$162,000.00 or it could have been more than that.

Mr. Williams stated that he thought it was around \$150,000.00 or \$160,000.00 from the insurance claim.

Mr. Lazorisak replied that if it was \$150,000.00 they should be looking at around \$330,000.00.

Mr. Williams replied that most of the \$150,000.00 that they received they had used up for the rental for the past year, that was close to almost \$150,000.00 alone to rent it for twelve months.

Mr. Lazorisak asked if they had to build it to order.

Mr. Williams replied yes. Mr. Lazorisak asked how long.

Mr. Williams replied that it would take them about five to six months. Mr. Lazorisak stated that they would still have to rent a bit longer.

Mr. Williams replied that once Caterpillar was certain that the PCFA was going to purchase the machine, they would offer them a discounted rate for the rental. Mr. Williams stated that he did not know what the discount would be yet, but it would be less than \$12,000.00 a month.

Mr. Lazorisak stated that they had tabled that to see what the outcome would be regarding the expansion. At this point, he thinks that they have to move forward on it.

Mr. Mach stated that he agreed that it was time.

Mr. Mach stated that he would make the motion.

Mr. Accetturo stated that he would second the motion.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Larsen - Yes
 Mr. Lazorisak - Yes
 Mr. Mach - Yes
 Mr. Perez - Yes
 Mr. Accetturo - Yes

GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT

Mr. Accetturo asked for the general Counsel's report.

Mr. Tipton replied that they had talked about quality assurance bids and the only other item he had to report on was that they had submitted their report to the office of the State Comptroller on the construction expansion, which was a prerequisite to moving forward. They have up to 30 days to respond, and they are currently in the middle of those 30 days. Mr. Tipton stated that he does not anticipate any response, which would mean that they are good to go. Mr. Tipton asked if anyone had any questions.

Mr. Perez asked Mr. Tipton if there was any word on Covanta, was anything happening with them. Mr. Tipton asked Mr. Lazorisak and Mr. Williams if they wanted to do that in executive session. Mr. Lazorisak replied that Mr. Tipton could let the Board know that they have their conference call on Wednesday, but he does not know if they want to go into details because it was contractual. Mr. Lazorisak stated that there was really nothing to report until after they have the call. If Mr. Tipton would like to update the Board on that. Mr. Tipton replied that was a good point, the DEP has finally coordinated a meeting via conference call with DEP, Covanta, the County and PCFA. Really, this was a discussion that should have happened a long time ago. They have finally decided to take the lead on this, for us to discuss all issues. All things that they have discussed before as a Board, there is no particular agenda. Mr. Tipton stated that there was nothing for him to specifically report back yet other than, they are going to have this call. Then they would report back to you from that call. Mr. Perez replied ok thanks.

Mr. Accetturo asked if there was anything else for the general Counsel's report. Mr. Tipton replied no, that was all he had.

NEW BUSINESS

Mr. Accetturo asked if there were any new business.

Mr. Williams stated that he actually had one item and this probably would not come at a good time but this was something that he needed to do. Mr. Williams stated that he had something that he would like to read into the record and to the Board and they could go from there.

Mr. Williams then stated he could not read it and Mr. Lazorisak offered to read it for him.

Mr. Lazorisak read,

“Dear Board Members’, this was from Mr. Williams. “After many, many months discussing with family, when to make this very difficult decision, and ultimately writing this letter, please accept this as

my official notification to the Pollution Control Financing Authority (Authority) that my last day of work with the Authority would be June 30, 2021. On that day, I plan to retire.

I am excited about my impending retirement but wanted to take this opportunity to thank the current and past Board Members for all of the opportunities that I have experienced working for the Authority over the past thirty plus years. I have genuinely enjoyed my employment and will miss my co-workers / staff when my retirement day comes. They really are a wonderful group of individuals that I am proud to have assembled.

Please let me know what I can do to help you with the transition of my work to another employee. I plan to work right up until my retirement date and will be happy to help you make a smooth transition. I will even discuss with you a current employee who I believe could be trained to replace me if that is the decision you make.

Again, I have truly enjoyed working at the Authority and will miss my co-workers and our work place a great deal. I wish you nothing but the best for the future.

Please feel free to contact me if you need additional information, and identify any assistance that I can provide in transitioning my job to another employee.

Sincerely,
James Williams”

Mr. Williams replied thank you.

Mr. Lazorisak stated well congratulations he guesses.

Mr. Mach stated good news for you Mr. Williams but they are going to miss him a heck of a lot.

Mr. Larson stated good luck.

Mr. Williams stated that he would scan his letter of resignation in and send it to all Board members that were not present so they would have a copy of it.

Mr. Williams again stated that he believes that there was an individual here that was competent enough to take over. If the Authority would like he would work with the individual even virtually after the end of June to get through the rest of this construction period. That was completely up to the Board.

Mr. Lazorisak stated that he was wondering at this point, that Mr. Chairman, maybe him and one other individual should work with Mr. Williams on an interview team and succession plan that could report back to the entire Board. Would you rather do it that way?

Mr. Accetturo replied sure.

Mr. Accetturo stated that they would prepare something for next month as far as how they were going to approach this, type of advertising etc.

Mr. Lazorisak stated big shoes to fill. June was going to come quick.

Mr. Williams stated that please do not take this the wrong way, this was not a political patronage job. This was a hands-on position that someone needs to know the facility already, or who has a lot of background in it. Mr. Williams stated that he thinks Mrs. Banghart would fill the shoes well. He would like to just put that out there, she has all of the financing down. Mr. Williams stated that he could work with her if the Board chooses. That is who he would suggest, Mrs. Banghart.

Mr. Perez asked Mr. Williams if it would be ok if this individual came to one of their meetings so that they could meet them.

Mr. Williams stated that she was there at every meeting, who he would suggest in his opinion was Jamie Banghart.

Mr. Perez replied oh, ok.

Mr. Lazorisak stated that technically, you would handle it with the Chairman and one other individual, they would put together the working group to report back to all of us. They would work directly with the interviews – Mrs. Banghart etc.

OTHER

Mr. Accetturo asked if there was any other business.

Mr. Mach asked if they had heard back from the IBank on funding.

Mr. Williams replied that no, they have not. They have not even received approval from the DEP if they would authorize us to even get a loan through the IBank. Mr. Mach replied ok.

Mr. Lazorisak stated that he did have one other item. Mr. Lazorisak knows that last year they tabled looking at reconstructing the wall in the upper parking lot. Now that they were moving forward with the cell 7 construction, the life of this landfill is going to last. Mr. Lazorisak stated that as he was pulling in that morning, he was looking at the three feet of snow that was pushing the wall over a little bit more. He was wondering if they should maybe re-visit that and look at addressing that this year.

Mr. Williams replied that it was in the capital budget for this year, so the funds were there.

Mr. Lazorisak stated that they are also going to be blasting with the new cell construction, and that could maybe be the deathblow.

Mr. Williams stated that he believes that they already have a proposal from Finelli's office regarding that project. Mr. Williams stated that they are there. Now it would only be a matter of moving forward with Finelli and getting him to put a bid document together. They would have to go back and revisit it.

Mr. Williams asked if everyone would be ok with moving forward on the project regarding the retaining wall out front.

Mr. Mach replied that he was ok with it.

Mr. Lazorisak replied he was good to move on it.

Mr. Accetturo asked if there were any other business.

Closing Public Comments

Mr. Accetturo asked if there were any closing public comment.

PRESS COMMENTS & QUESTIONS

Mr. Accetturo asked if there was anything else, any comments.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

Mr. Accetturo asked if there was a need for executive session, hearing none.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. Accetturo made a motion to adjourn.

Mr. Lazorisak stated that he would second that motion.

*meeting was adjourned at 10:17 AM

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Larsen	- Yes
	Mr. Lazorisak	- Yes
	Mr. Mach	- Yes
	Mr. Perez	- Yes
	Mr. Accetturo	- Yes

Respectfully submitted by:

Mariann Cliff

Recording Secretary

Approved: March 22, 2021