Chairman James Cannon called the regular monthly meeting of the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County to order at approximately 9:30 am.

Authority Members present: Richard Mach, James Cannon, Bud Allen, Joseph Pryor and Marc Pasquini.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Allen - Present
          Mr. Pasquini - Present
          Mr. Pryor - Present
          Mr. Mach - Present
          Mr. Cannon - Present

Also present: James Williams, Director of Operations; Katharine Fina, General Counsel; Ian Semmel, Warren County Finance; Freeholder Ed Smith; Jamie Banghart, Recording Secretary.

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Chairman Cannon.

Mr. Cannon read the following statement: “Adequate notice of this meeting of June 27, 2016 was given in accordance with the Open Public Meetings Act by forwarding a schedule of regular meetings of the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County (PCFAWC) to the Warren County Clerk, the Warren County Board of Chosen Freeholders, the Express Times, and by posting a copy thereof on the bulletin board in the office of the PCFAWC. Formal action may be taken by the PCFAWC at this meeting. Public participation is encouraged”.

Mr. Cannon stated that for the record, our professionals have substitutes today. Mr. Ian Semmel stated that he was substituting for Mr. Daniel Olshefski. Ms. Katharine Fina stated that she was substituting for Mr. Brian Tipton.

MINUTES
Mr. Cannon presented the workshop meeting minutes from May 5, 2016 (M-1).

Mr. Cannon stated that he has some changes to the minutes presented. He requested that on page 2 “this” be changed to “it”, and on the same page to strikeout the word “delicate”. He also requested that on page 3, the second to last paragraph, he would like to strikeout the first sentence because it did not make sense. He also requested that on page 4, fourth paragraph down, he stated that the word “is” be changed to “was”. On page 8, fourth paragraph from the bottom, he requested that the word “was” be added to the sentence. On page 11, last paragraph, “closed due to rainfall overtime”, “overtime” be changed to “over time”. On page 12, the last paragraph, he stated that the word “not” be added. On page 13, fourth line down, he stated that an extra “for” needs to be deleted before the word “forever”.
On page 17, in the middle of the page, he stated that the word “commentate” be changed to “communicate”.

**Mr. Pasquini** made a motion to approve the workshop meeting minutes as amended, seconded by **Mr. Allen**.

ROLL CALL:  
Mr. Allen  -  Yes
Mr. Pasquini -  Yes
Mr. Pryor  -  Yes
Mr. Mach  -  Yes
Mr. Cannon -  Yes

Mr. Cannon presented the regular monthly meeting minutes from May 23, 2016 (M-2).

Mr. Pryor stated that on page 3, last paragraph, the word “curb” be changed to “curve”. On page 15, fourth paragraph, he stated that the word “prospective” be changed to “perspective”. On page 18, fourth paragraph, he stated that the word “bio” be added in front of chemical.

Mr. Cannon stated that on page 3, third paragraph down, he requested that the word “the” be deleted where it stated “worth the while”. On page 20, second paragraph, he stated that the first sentence should be deleted because he is not sure if that makes any sense.

**Mr. Pryor** made a motion to approve the regular monthly meeting minutes as amended, seconded by **Mr. Allen**.

ROLL CALL:  
Mr. Allen  -  Yes
Mr. Pasquini -  Yes
Mr. Pryor  -  Yes
Mr. Mach  -  Yes
Mr. Cannon -  Yes

Mr. Cannon presented the Executive Session Minutes from May 23, 2016 (M-3).

Mr. Williams stated that just for clarification, we would always do these by roll call. Are we changing this? Mr. Cannon stated that he is trying to speed things up, and if he did not think there was going to be an abstention or a no, that not calling the roll he figured would speed up the process. If we have a motion on an RFP, then we would definitely do a roll call. He does not think that we need to roll call every single one. This may save us a little time.

**Mr. Allen** made a motion to approve the executive session minutes of May 23, 2016 as presented, seconded by **Mr. Pryor**.

ROLL CALL:  
Mr. Allen  -  Yes
Mr. Pasquini -  Yes
Mr. Pryor  -  Yes
Mr. Mach  -  Yes
Mr. Cannon -  Yes

Mr. Cannon presented the special meeting minutes from June 6, 2016 (M-4).
Mr. Mach made a motion to approve the special meeting minutes as presented, seconded by Mr. Pryor.

ROLL CALL:

- Mr. Allen    - Yes
- Mr. Pasquini - Yes
- Mr. Pryor    - Yes
- Mr. Mach     - Yes
- Mr. Cannon   - Yes

Mr. Cannon presented the Executive Session Minutes from June 6, 2016 (M-5).

Mr. Allen made a motion to approve the executive session minutes of June 6, 2016 as presented, seconded by Mr. Pryor.

ROLL CALL:

- Mr. Allen    - Yes
- Mr. Pasquini - Yes
- Mr. Pryor    - Yes
- Mr. Mach     - Yes
- Mr. Cannon   - Yes

CORRESPONDENCE

Mr. Cannon stated that the PRMUA meeting minutes are in our packets for informational purposes.

Mr. Williams stated that the next item is a letter dated May 23, 2016 from the NJ DEP is regarding a couple of haulers throughout the State of New Jersey. He also stated that if they were to come to our facility or any solid waste facility in the State of New Jersey, we are to reject them and notify the DEP. Mr. Williams stated that the scale house has this letter posted. This is something that the DEP does periodically when they have an issue with one of their solid waste haulers.

Mr. Williams stated that the next item is a letter dated May 24, 2016 to all of our haulers letting them know that the changes to the credit card fees. This was distributed to all of our haulers. He stated that no one has used it as of yet.

Mr. Williams stated that the next item is a letter from the DEP, a certificate of authority for the research and development at the Covanta facility regarding the test burn of the chicken carcasses.

Mr. Williams stated that the next item is the PRMUA meeting minutes from April 20, 2016 for informational purposes.

Mr. Williams stated that the last item is a letter from the Township of Oxford requesting their township cleanup fees be waived that was held in the spring, April 18th to April 23rd, for the amount of $4,658.94. He also stated that they do supply the emergency response, fire department, and emergency squad. Mr. Williams stated that this comes up in the spring and the fall that the Board has waived for a number of years due to their first responders. He stated that if the Board wishes to waive this, then a motion is needed.
Mr. Mach made a motion to waive the spring cleanup fees for the Township of Oxford in the amount of $4,658.94, seconded by Mr. Allen.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Allen - Yes
Mr. Pasquini - Abstain
Mr. Pryor - Yes
Mr. Mach - Yes
Mr. Cannon - Yes

PUBLIC COMMENTS (AGENDA ITEMS ONLY)
None

FINANCE/PERSONNEL

Mr. Semmel reported that everything for the year is in line with what it should be. He stated that everything is up a little bit as far as the revenues and the cash balances. The expenses are in line with where they should be at this point in the year.

Mr. Cannon questioned page 3 on the finance report where he sees the Harmony Township error correction, what exactly does that mean? Mr. Williams stated that this goes back to the Cindy Janda fiasco and this happened to be one of the accounts that she was manipulating. He also stated that Harmony Township had not renewed their contract for a number of years. They just renewed their account several months ago so that they can hold their township cleanups. Therefore, this account became active again. Mr. Cannon questioned that the system was never corrected? Ms. Pluto stated that the account was never activated again and then when they activated the account, it came back up. She also stated that she called WasteWorks and they actually hooked into the system and went through everything. They were able to pull up where it showed that there were payment after payment all within minutes back in 2004/2005. She also stated that she deactivated the original account, which is still in the system and you cannot get rid of it, but a message will come up that states “Do not use this account”. She activated a new Harmony Township account. She also stated that the scale house personnel is also aware of this.

Mr. Cannon presented the Resolution to Pay the Bills (R-06-03-16)
On a motion by Mr. Pryor, seconded by Mr. Allen, the following resolution was adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County at a meeting held on June 27th, 2016.

RESOLUTION
R-06-03-16
To Pay Bills – June 27, 2016

WHEREAS, the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County has been presented with invoices for services, supplies and other materials rendered to it or on its behalf;

NOW, THEREFORE, be it resolved by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County that the following bills be paid:

See Attached

ROLL CALL:
Mr. Allen - Yes
Mr. Pasquini - Yes
Mr. Pryor - Yes
Mr. Mach - Yes
Mr. Cannon - Yes

We hereby certify Resolution to Pay Bills in the amount of $426,357.11 to be a true copy of a resolution adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County on the 27th day of June, 2016.

Jamie Banghart, Recording Secretary
James Williams, Director of Operations

PRESENTATIONS
None

FACILITIES/RECYCLING

Mr. Williams reported on A-1, which is an update on the Tire Amnesty Day. He stated that we held two events. One on May 5, 2016, which was for the farming community and we collected 278 farming tires. One on May 26, 2016, which was for the residential community and we collected 1,550 tires. He also stated that the events were well attended.

Mr. Williams summarized where we are with the grant money and the funding by the PCFA, which is a total of $50,000.00. He stated that to date we have spent a total of $26,045.00 holding the events. He broke down the costs for the events by the advertising, which was a little over $5,000.00, the
transportation of the tires, which was almost $6,300.00, and the recycling facilities where the tires were taken to, which was just under $15,000.00. Mr. Williams also stated that we have approximately $24,000.00 remaining for another event later on this year. He also stated that there is a possibility that we could go over the amount in the grant by having the two events again later in the year, even though the PCFA is absorbing the $25,000.00 costs already, but the Authority may have to kick in a little more for the costs. He also stated that there was a total of 1,900 tires collected, which is approximately $13.68 per tire. The most costly ones are the farm tractor tires. Mr. Williams suggested that we hold another event in the fall of this year. Mr. Cannon stated that these events are worthwhile even if we do have to kick in a little bit extra, we would still only be $1,000.00 over or something like that.

Mr. Williams reported on the treatment plant operations. He stated that there are no issues and everything is going well.

Mr. Williams reported on the landfill operations. He stated that there are no issues.

Mr. Williams reported on the H2S removal system. He stated that there is no change.

Mr. Williams reported on the solar panel projects. He stated that there is no change. He also stated that we did sign the agreement with DCO. The infrastructure now belongs to us but they do have to remove their engine from the building. We did receive our check from them which now we are up to date. No issues.

Mr. Cannon stated that T&M was here Friday for a tour and to go over existing reports and tests that the PCFA is required to do. He also stated that T&M reviewed all the reports with Mr. Brian Heater, Mr. Williams and himself. They received copies of all of the reports for their compilations down the road. They toured the facility. He wanted to point out that with what they came up with, which was the way the PCFA currently operates, we are concerned about everything we are sending out. Our testing shows how much we are going to treat. He also stated that what we do not test is the raw leachate. We have never tested this before because it is not a requirement. He also stated that after the leachate goes through the line, we are treating it with micro and dewatering, then we are testing because we have to meet our requirements for PRMUA.

Mr. Cannon stated that there was a resolution change as to T&M’s proposal. T&M deducted the expenses that were included for the PRMUA parts in there. This is why there was a change in the resolution.

Mr. Williams stated that what T&M is going to do as we move along with our process here, is they are going to provide us with a list of additional parameters to test for. This gets back to the lime addition and to see what the raw leachate is on at the front end. We always tested the back end of the leachate so testing the front end will help determine the lime addition that will be needed in the future or any other processes that may happen. He also stated that we are currently waiting for them to develop the list so that we could then send it to our lab. We will test these new parameters on a weekly basis over the next four weeks. They will then take this data, review it, and start doing some bench testing. This will be evolving over the next twelve to fourteen weeks until they find out the amounts of lime addition, and what types of systems may or may not be needed here.

Mr. Cannon stated that he thinks that Mr. Heater and Mr. Williams realized that we will be saving money by T&M not coming in to do the testing. He thinks it will just be two more tests. He stated
that we have a conductivity meter, and Mr. Heater can take samples from the spigot where we can get the raw leachate.

Mr. Williams stated that even on the clean water that we are adding we do not know the hardness of it, what TDS may or may not be in that. We will be testing the well water also. Mr. Cannon stated that they did a rough calculation on the well water and we are using Covanta’s well that we extracting 3 million gallons of water per year out of the ground here. Mr. Cannon stated that this is a lot of ground water that we are taking out.

Mr. Pryor stated that waste characterization is a necessary step. He stated that what most folks do at that point when you know what is coming in, they do a material balance and you take every parameter that you are concerned with and you determine where that is coming off in the various waste stream. He thinks that this is a very prudent recommendation. He also thinks that this is essential to have a successful study.

Mr. Williams presented the next item on the agenda, Amended Resolution for Professional Engineering Services, Total Dissolved Solids Evaluation (R-06-04-16)

On a motion by Mr. Allen, seconded by Mr. Pryor, the following resolution was adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County at a meeting held on June 27, 2016.

RESOLUTION
R-06-04-16
Amending Resolution R-06-02-16
Awarding a Fair and Open Contract
Professional Engineering Services
Total Dissolved Solids Evaluation

WHEREAS, the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County (the “Authority”) requested proposals for Professional Engineering Services, Total Dissolved Solids Evaluation, Landfill Leachate Pretreatment Plant at the Warren County District Landfill January 2016;

WHEREAS, on June 6, 2016 the Authority passed Resolution R-06-02-16;

WHEREAS, the Authority has a need to Amend Resolution R-06-02-16 and have ID#4 Task 3 and ID#5 Task 4 omitted from the proposal received from T & M Associates on April 4, 2016;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, by the Authority that the revised scope and services and reduction in price be amended and that T&M Associates be awarded the amended contract for Professional Engineering Services, Total Dissolved Solids Evaluation, Landfill Leachate Pretreatment Plant at the Warren County District Landfill as described in and, in accordance with the Request For Proposals, Professional Engineering Services, Total Dissolved Solids Evaluation, Landfill Leachate Pretreatment Plant at the Warren County District Landfill dated January 2016, in the amount of $67,676.00 as amended within their submission dated June 10, 2016.
ROLL CALL:

Mr. Allen - Yes
Mr. Pasquini - Abstain
Mr. Pryor - Yes
Mr. Mach - Yes
Mr. Cannon - Yes

Dated: June 27, 2016

I hereby certify the above to be a true copy of a resolution adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County on the date above mentioned.

Jamie Banghart, Recording Secretary

Mr. Cannon presented the next item on the agenda, Resolution for Traffic Study Related Services for Mt. Pisgah Avenue, Oxford, NJ (R-06-05-16), which he called it the Traffic Compilation. He stated that we received two submissions who would like to take all of our information and produce something that we could all understand.

Mr. Pryor stated that he thinks that the biggest difference between the two, RBA and Suburban, is that RBA is pretty well known in traffic circles. He stated that Suburban does not appear to be strong in traffic so that went out and they got a sub. He also stated that there is no question that there will be some overhead that will be attached. His recommendation is The RBA Group. Mr. Cannon concurs. Mr. Allen agrees.

Mr. Mach questioned that at what point do they know that we have a $5,000.00 cap on it? Mr. Cannon replied with not so far. Mr. Mach questioned when do they get to know that? Mr. Cannon stated that he thinks that once we bring them in and actually tell them what we want them to do. He thinks that when we say here are the reports that are already generated, could you just put this into some sort of form that we are going to understand that includes Tilcon, County, and scale house data. He also stated that from Freeholder Smith this morning, Tilcon has an extension. Freeholder Smith states that they have requested an extension to their application. He will not be at the meeting this month or next month, so if they have a submission it would have to be in by the evening of the 15th of July, then it would be heard by the Planning Board the following Monday night. He mentioned to Mr. Cannon that if someone wants to follow that and see if it does come in, then we would have the opportunity to review that submission during that ten day period before the meeting. Mr. Allen stated that this would not necessarily be approved at that meeting, it may be approved at the following meeting. Freeholder Smith stated correct but it is possible that this could be approved at that meeting because it would be the final proposal. Mr. Cannon questioned Freeholder Smith to have whoever gets that submission to let Mr. Williams know. Freeholder Smith stated that he will ask that but again the main reason he wanted to bring this to our attention is that he will not be there and not able to check that for us. Mr. Cannon stated that we will follow up on that. Mr. Williams stated that he will have Mrs. Banghart call over to the Planning Board on July 18th to see if it has been submitted. Mr. Cannon stated that if anyone would like to attend this meeting, then you are welcome to attend the County Planning Board Meeting.

Mr. Allen questioned if the County Planning Board works the same way as the townships? They come in for a preliminary and then they get a final? Freeholder Smith replied that the only jurisdiction that the County has are on impacts of the roadways and waters. This is not a site plan proposal per say as
in terms of the usage goes, he stated that they have no jurisdiction over that. The County only has jurisdiction of the road and impact to drainage of the roads.

Mr. Cannon stated that we are going to take what we have and they are going to come in and we will say we would like you to put this all together for us to produce something on paper that we could all look at hopefully it overlays everything. This is what we are looking at right now.

Mr. Allen stated that neither one of the proposals spell out what they would be charging us. They are based on an hourly rate. He also stated that we did not put it in the RFP a specific price so it is up to us to control what we spend. Mr. Mach stated that at the time they see the information in front of them they should be able to estimate an amount of time and give us a number as opposed to us giving them the number.

Mr. Cannon stated that a motion is needed to pass Resolution R-06-05-16 Awarding a Fair and Open Contract Traffic Study Related Services for Mt. Pisgah Avenue Oxford, NJ.

Mr. Williams suggested that we add on that resolution, since we do not have any dollar figure, that we include the rates of $60.00 per hour to $190.00 per hour. Mr. Pryor stated that it should be the rate schedule as per proposal. Mr. Williams stated that we will add “the rate schedule as per proposal” to the resolution.

On a motion by Mr. Pryor, seconded by Mr. Allen, the following resolution was adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County at a meeting held on June 27, 2016.

RESOLUTION
R-06-05-16

Awarding a Fair and Open Contract
Traffic Study Related Services
For
Mt. Pisgah Avenue, Oxford NJ

WHEREAS, the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County (the “Authority”) Requested Proposals for Traffic Study Related Services for Mt. Pisgah Avenue, Oxford NJ 07863;

WHEREAS, a total of two (2) proposals were received by the Authority on June 8, 2016.

WHEREAS, a review of these proposals by the Authority revealed that The RBA Group was the most responsive bidder.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, by the Authority that The RBA Group be awarded the contract for Traffic Study Related Services for Mt. Pisgah Avenue, Oxford, NJ 07863, as described in and, in accordance with the Request For Proposals, Traffic Study Related Services for Mt. Pisgah Avenue, Oxford, NJ 07863, and in accordance with their rate schedule as proposed dated May 9, 2016.
ROLL CALL:

Mr. Allen - Yes
Mr. Pasquini - Yes
Mr. Pryor - Yes
Mr. Mach - Yes
Mr. Cannon - Yes

Dated: June 27, 2016

I hereby certify the above to be a true copy of a resolution adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County on the date above mentioned.

Jamie Banghart, Recording Secretary

Mr. Williams presented A-2, which is the Cornerstone updates on the landfill expansion. Mr. Williams stated that there has not been many changes since their last update. He also stated that the delay is the final report on the wetlands study. They expect to have it by the end of the month. He also stated that we were trying to schedule another workshop meeting, but since the study has not been completed we will have to postpone the meeting.

Mr. Cannon stated that he would like Mr. Williams to express to Mark or whomever he is having contact with that to not put us on a last minute notice for them saying that they have to file this next week. He also stated that the original time table was May. Mr. Cannon stated that he would like input from everybody once the study has been submitted.

Mr. Williams stated that there were no changes to A-3 2016 Waste Disposal Fee Schedule.

Mr. Williams stated that he provided everyone with a chart of the tires that we have collected here and where we are to date. Through the month of May, we have collected an additional 809 tires. He also stated that this year we have collected 809 tires plus the tire amnesty of approximately 1,900, which is not bad.

Mr. Pasquini questioned if we were going to set the date for tire amnesty in October? Mr. Williams replied with let us wait and we will schedule later. He stated that we will discuss this at the July meeting and then we could start advertising.

NEW BUSINESS

None

OTHER BUSINESS

Mr. Cannon questioned if everyone is waiting to see if the State does something with the electronic recycling? Mr. Williams replied that this is where it still is.

GENERAL COUNSEL’S REPORT

Ms. Fina stated that she had no report for regular session. She has some business to discuss in executive session.
CLOSING PUBLIC COMMENT
Freeholder Smith stated that regarding the electronic recycling, they have been discussing this in SWAC as well over the concern that there is no avenue for disposal at this point. He is irrespective to the fact that the legislature would have to move, because we will have a buildup of this waste and we certainly do not want to start seeing this appearing alongside the roads. He stated that there is no news with this.

Freeholder Smith stated kudos on the job well done with the tire program as we are dealing with later in the season, the West Nile and Zika. Zika has not been an instant issue here yet but it is being transmitted here, which is the problem. This is coming by actually human born transfer. We are still watching this to see where it is going to go.

Freeholder Smith wanted to touch base on the season on the tires and if we were going to have a second collection, which is a great thing. He stated that once we get into the freezes, the issue pretty much goes away. The intensity of the mosquito borne illness increases as we get later into the summer. He suggested that if we were able to look at trying to do that prior to when the frost hits. The risks in terms of disease transmission becomes highest frequency in late September and early October because that is when the maturity of the years’ crops of mosquito reproduction comes to a mass. Once we have frost it kills them off. He suggested we have the event as early in the fall as possible, even if we did one or the other. He would anticipate the tractor supply of agricultural tires will be after the season where they will service the tractors over the winter. Automobile tires will be more so because they are more likely to get thrown out along the roads.

Mr. Cannon questioned if we can carry that grant over to 2017? Mr. Williams replied with yes. Freeholder Smith suggested that we look in terms of doing one of the events closer to late summer as opposed to post fall. Mr. Cannon stated that his concern is that we have taken quite a bit out of circulation and how much more would necessarily be created in three months and/or what was missed previously as opposed to when is the last time these people were able to do this on an amnesty. He stated that maybe have the event in the spring time and carry this over to then. He again stated that we may not have that much in just a three month cycle. Mr. Pasquini questioned that when we did this, how many people would you say only brought ten? Mr. Williams replied that a lot of people brought ten. Freeholder Smith stated that what he is wondering is that if they brought ten they may have more. In which case if we had another one, they might find another ten. He stated he would never have thought that we pulled 2,800 tires in the first year.

Mr. Pasquini stated that a couple people from Oxford mentioned that they missed the event. He thinks the word of mouth of this will grow and that is his one fear about this. If the next one comes out, and we collected 1,900 tires, the second time may be even greater.

Freeholder Smith stated that the possibility here is that maybe realizing and we do not want to go over our budget, then we do not push so hard on the advertising. He is just throwing the idea out. From a timeline scenario, he stated that once the frost hits that immediate disease risk drops dramatically.

Mr. Williams stated that we are still collecting here on a daily basis but they have to pay for them.

Mr. Cannon stated that he is confident that we could tweak the costs in future ones if we drop a little bit of the advertising. He thinks that with Freeholder Smith’s point as far as when the season starts again in the spring, and let us say that there is no grant available next year, where right now we have the monies that we will be able to use next year. Mr. Williams suggested that we have a residential event in fall or
late summer and then the farmer one in the spring next year. He stated that he thinks by looking at the volumes, the residential tires are the greatest.

Freeholder Smith stated that to the point of the agricultural tires, the majority of them probably would have come. Their next service period is through the winter, so he would tend to think that we may not have that many repeat.

Freeholder Smith questioned the 3 million gallon of water amount, does that all ultimately end up getting sent to PRMUA at an x number of pennies per gallon? Mr. Williams replied with yes. Freeholder Smith questioned that this is just the amount of water that we have used this year for dilution of leachate to bring to levels that can be tolerated by PRMUA? Mr. Cannon replied with not this year, but you take the daily number and extrapolate it over the next twelve months. Mr. Pryor stated that he thinks that this is a misconception that has been going on. He also stated that our requirement for PRMUA is a mass loading and it is not concentration. His understanding is that we have been diluting so that we can continue our treatment, when we get above 20,000 milligrams per liter that the process becomes very unstable. They dilute before the process. Then the dilution is in all of our waste streams. Freeholder Smith questioned it is really more that our pretreatment cannot tolerate the higher concentration? Mr. Pryor and Mr. Williams replied with correct. Freeholder Smith questioned that the proposal that we are looking at in terms of being able to pretreat, would that change then? Mr. Williams replied with we hope and that is one of the many goals is to eliminate that. Freeholder Smith stated that we are bringing the water in to pay a fee on it so this is a front end and this is what they are looking at. Mr. Cannon stated that if we can eliminate this through the pretreatment then it is a savings.

Mr. Williams stated that the scale was repaired six months ago and it was guaranteed for twelve months. He also stated that we are six months into the repair. He does not want us to lose sight of it if we are going to put another scale in. Mr. Cannon suggested that Mr. Williams contact them. Mr. Williams stated that to do this legally and properly, we will have to put an RFP together and go through the process. Mr. Cannon would like to have them revisit and come to the next meeting. Mr. Williams will contact them and see if they are available for our July meeting.

Mr. Pryor questioned that what we were looking at was the technology and the system right? Mr. Cannon stated that we were also looking at the fact that we would be possibly tying this in with the expansion and how the roads will be changed and the possibility of having another scale. We talked about the ability to not have the trucks have to loop around and go through the same scale twice and not beat up that scale as much. Mr. Williams stated that we discussed an inbound scale and an outbound scale. Mr. Pryor stated that we also discussed the upgrade of technology and the way things are read and storing tare weights. Mr. Cannon stated that on that the thought was putting the new technology on the existing one as best as possible. Mr. Williams stated that they would all be integrated together. Mr. Cannon stated that we would have a new scale in addition to the old scale having the cutting edge technology.

Mr. Allen questioned that he thought that regarding the old scale, they were recommending that it would be replaced? Mr. Williams replied with eventually because the previous repair was a band aid fix. Mr. Allen also stated that we are talking about two new scales. Mr. Williams stated that the scale deck could possibly be reused, it is just the concrete approach ramps and the footings that need to be repaired properly.

Mr. Cannon stated that there may be a lot of benefits of having another scale. Mr. Williams stated that it is less wear and tear on one versus two. Mr. Pasquini stated that our customers are going to like it
because the driver is going to come in here and this will speed him up. Mr. Cannon stated that the loop that we have now can be dangerous.

Mr. Williams will contact them and see what their availability is.

PRESS COMMENTS & QUESTIONS
None

EXECUTIVE SESSION

Executive Session was entered at 10:29 am for a litigation matter.

RESOLUTION
R-06-06-16

AUTHORIZING EXECUTIVE SESSION

WHEREAS, the Authority has a need to discuss the following matter(s) in Executive Session:

Litigation

It is not possible, at this time, for the Authority to determine when and under what circumstances the above-referenced item(s), which are to be discussed in Executive Session, can be publicly disclosed;

NOW, THEREFORE, Pursuant to N.J.S.A. 10:4-1 et. seq., BE IT RESOLVED by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County that the matter(s) as noted above will be discussed in Executive Session.

Moved By:  Mr. Allen
Seconded By:  Mr. Pryor

ROLL CALL:  Mr. Allen  -  Yes
            Mr. Pasquini  -  Yes
            Mr. Pryor  -  Yes
            Mr. Mach  -  Yes
            Mr. Cannon  -  Yes

I hereby certify the above to be a true copy of a resolution adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County on the date above mentioned.

Jamie Banghart, Recording Secretary
Dated: 06/27/16
Mr. Pasquini made a motion to come out of Executive Session, seconded by Mr. Pryor.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Allen  - Yes
           Mr. Pasquini - Yes
           Mr. Pryor   - Yes
           Mr. Mach    - Yes
           Mr. Cannon  - Yes

Regular session resumed at 10:32 am.

No action was taken in Executive Session.

Mr. Cannon stated that we instructed counsel that a bankruptcy filing with debt owed to the PCFA has been received by the PCFA, so the PCFA has no objection to the clearing of the BRI matter.

ADJOURNMENT

With no other business to discuss, Mr. Pasquini motioned to Adjourn, seconded by Mr. Pryor, at 10:33 am.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Allen  - Yes
           Mr. Pasquini - Yes
           Mr. Pryor   - Yes
           Mr. Mach    - Yes
           Mr. Cannon  - Yes

Respectfully submitted by:
Jamie Banghart, Recording Secretary

Approved: 07/25/16