

POLLUTION CONTROL FINANCING AUTHORITY
OF WARREN COUNTY

MINUTES OF REGULAR MONTHLY MEETING

June 22, 2015

Chairman Davenport called the regular monthly meeting of the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County to order at approximately 9:00 am.

Mr. Davenport stated that the first order of business is the Oath of Office.

Mr. Joseph Pryor took Oath of Office of the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County.

Authority Members present: Robert Davenport, Richard Mach, Bud Allen, and Joseph Pryor.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Allen	-	Present
	Mr. Cannon	-	Absent
	Mr. Pryor	-	Present
	Mr. Mach	-	Present
	Mr. Davenport	-	Present

Also present: James Williams, Director of Operations; Brian Tipton, General Counsel; Dan Olshefski, Chief Financial Officer; Freeholder Director Ed Smith; Jamie Banghart, Recording Secretary.

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Chairman Davenport.

Mr. Davenport read the following statement: "Adequate notice of this meeting of June 22, 2015 was given in accordance with the Open Public Meetings Act by forwarding a schedule of regular meetings of the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County (PCFAWC) to the Warren County Clerk, the Warren County Board of Chosen Freeholders, the Express Times, and by posting a copy thereof on the bulletin board in the office of the PCFAWC. Formal action may be taken by the PCFAWC at this meeting. Public participation is encouraged".

Mr. Davenport welcomed Freeholder Director Ed Smith.

MINUTES

Mr. Davenport presented the regular monthly meeting minutes from May 11, 2015.

Mr. Mach made a motion to approve the regular monthly minutes of May 11, 2015 as presented, seconded by **Mr. Davenport**.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Allen - Yes
 Mr. Cannon - Absent
 Mr. Pryor - Abstain
 Mr. Mach - Yes
 Mr. Davenport - Yes

Mr. Davenport presented the executive session meeting minutes from May 11, 2015.

Mr. Mach made a motion to approve the executive session minutes as presented, seconded by **Mr. Allen**.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Allen - Yes
 Mr. Cannon - Absent
 Mr. Pryor - Abstain
 Mr. Mach - Yes
 Mr. Davenport - Yes

CORRESPONDENCE

Mr. Williams stated that we received a letter from the NJ DEP. This letter is an administrative completeness letter regarding the permit renewal application for the treatment plant that we authorized Hatch Mott MacDonald to prepare a few months ago. We are now awaiting for the DEP to issue the permit. Mr. Williams stated that in the event the DEP does not issue the permit in time of the expiration, this letter acts as a continuance of the existing permit.

Mr. Williams presented a letter to Mr. Dave Dech, Warren County Planning Department regarding the landfill expansion that the Authority approved on April 27, 2015. Mr. Williams provided Mr. Dech the presentation that was prepared by Cornerstone and the meeting minutes of what the Board had approved. This was also discussed at the most recent SWAC meeting. He also stated that last he spoke with Mr. Dech that it is a possibility that at the August Freeholder meeting, a public hearing will occur, and if approved, these numbers will be submitted to the State for final submission for the County Solid Waste Management Plan and eventual approval.

Mr. Williams stated that we received a letter from Covanta regarding the Essex ash deliveries and wanting to have a discussion regarding the continuation of the Warren ash. Mr. Williams has had verbal communications with Covanta. Covanta is fully understanding that the Essex ash, when they are done making those committed deliveries for this year, may cease to some extent, if not completely. Mr. Williams told Covanta that he would have discussions with them and see what their intentions are regarding the Warren ash agreement. This agreement does not end until January 2016. He also stated that Covanta has looked at other facilities for the Essex ash deliveries. They still have approximately 30,000 tons to deliver to us this year. He also stated that the Essex ash deliveries could be completed as early as the end of July or they could stretch it out to the end of the year.

PUBLIC COMMENTS (AGENDA ITEMS ONLY)

Mr. Walter Menegus and Mr. James Smith, White Township farmers, were present to discuss the tire recycling and their concerns as local farmers.

Mr. Menegus stated that he has had discussions with one of the Freeholders a while back and a couple members of the PCFA Board about an abandoned tire deal as far as getting rid of them. He also stated that in the past the PCFA would accept tires from farmers and residents. He saw the tire recycling on the Agenda and would like some information on this.

Mr. Williams stated that the PCFA is looking at a number of different options for the tire recycling. He also stated that a couple of months back, we were looking more towards the residential side of tire collection. At the last meeting, we decided to look at commercial and farm type tractor tires. Mr. Williams put a package together for the Board that we will be discussing at this meeting. The discussion will be in depth. He stated that there are a number of different possibilities and different outlets where we can take the tires. Some places want the larger tires cut, some of them do not. We looked a number of different options with the equipment, which is the equipment we would have to purchase to cut the tires. We would also have to purchase a building that we would have to do this process in. Mr. Williams stated that he recently found another vendor, which will accept the tires without being cut. This will keep the cost affordable so that individuals will them to us.

Mr. Williams stated that there is also grant. We could either use this grant to do a tire amnesty program, or to use it to purchase equipment. He also stated that if we do a tire collection program as a no charge, which is up to the Board, we would collect from residents, possibly commercial businesses and farmers.

Mr. Menegus stated that we have back roads, abandoned railroad tracks where we start to see tires along it. Mr. Smith stated that the residents will dump them out on the roadside, in the lanes, and the back fields, that is where we get all of our tires from. Mr. Smith stated that they have no way to get rid of them without paying for it, and he is not going to do that. Mr. Smith stated that the way the PCFA used to do the tire recycling, it worked out good. Mr. Williams stated that this is where the grant would come into play.

Mr. Williams stated that the first option that we discussed is something we would hope to do on a daily basis; collect tires from residents, possibly commercial establishments and farmers. There may be a charge associated with this. But regarding the grant that is out there, that we are going to discuss and vote on today, this program will be done free of charge. This will be paid through by the grant money and other entities. Mr. Williams stated that this is a matching funds type of grant.

Mr. Menegus stated that it has come to his attention that when you go buy tires anywhere, there is a fee, which goes to the state to get rid of tires. Doesn't the PCFA receive this money? Mr. Davenport stated that he believes that money is part of the grant money. Mr. Williams stated that the grant money from the State is \$400,000.00. He believes the total allotment per county is somewhere around \$25,000.00. They have taken this pot of money that they have and divided it up between all the counties.

Mr. Menegus questioned when this program could happen? Mr. Williams responded with this is a matter of if the Board approves the application for the grant. He stated that if it is approved then he could email this application as early as today. We would then have to wait for the State to approve it.

He also stated that he would take a guess and this could be done as early as mid-August. He also stated that what would really drive this is how quickly the State moves to approve the grant.

Mr. Mach stated that part of the program, that we are trying to do, is to get back some of the tractor tires that the farmers have a tough time getting rid of. He questioned to Mr. Menegus and Mr. Smith with what do they think of this? Mr. Menegus stated that it sounds good. Mr. Mach questioned if they would have a problem bring the tractor tires here? Mr. Menegus responded with no and that they have always done this before when we had the tire recycling program.

Mr. Pryor questioned Mr. Menegus and Mr. Smith with what do they do with their tires now? Mr. Smith responded that they stock pile them. Mr. Menegus stated that sometimes they put a free sign on the side of the road, and people take them for sandboxes or football teams use them for the players to throw through them. Mr. Pryor questioned that when you buy new tractor tires, your vendor does not recycle them for you? Mr. Smith stated that we change our own tires and buy from S&L then throw them in a heap on their property until we find a way to get rid of them. Mr. Pryor asked that if you take them back to S&L, they would not take them? Mr. Smith replied that this costs them money, and he does not work that way. He also stated that if it costs them money to get rid of the tires, he will just keep them until he finds a place to recycle them. He also stated that you have to keep everyone in business and S&L cannot afford to get rid of them. Mr. Pryor stated that where he goes for tires, he can take passenger tires for \$3.00 per tire and they will recycle them. He also stated that he does not know what farmers can do with their tractor tires. Mr. Menegus stated that here is where the problem comes in, like Mr. Smith said, when you go down by Foul Rift Road, where houses were abandoned on the PP&L property along the river and the railroad tracks out there, people just go down there and dump their tires. Mr. Pryor stated that are a couple of different issues here; there is the guy that just wants to get rid of a tire, then there is what they call these orphan sites which is another issue. There is clean up and disposal of these orphan sites. Mr. Menegus stated that he is responsible for getting rid of his own tires, but when it is someone else's tires, why should we be responsible for getting rid of them?

Mr. Williams stated that this Board is committed to try to do something with the tire collection program for the residents and of course the farming community. Mr. Allen stated the Mosquito Commission is concerned with the West Nile disease and water laying in the tires breeding mosquitoes. Mr. Pryor stated that he would like to see what we do and expand it. There is also an educational enforcement element. He also stated that looking forward, he would be thinking about working with the Health Department and Recycling Coordinator to get the education and enforcement going.

Mr. Pryor stated that there are many uses for the tires; highways, playgrounds, fuel. Mr. Williams stated that we have gone as far as looking at outlets in New Jersey and Pennsylvania. Some of them are the cement kilns, garbage incinerators, and others will grind them up to make playground material and benches. There are outlets out there. Mr. Williams stated that we are trying to make it as affordable as possible because if our prices are too high, they will not come here and they will end up being dumped along the roads. This is one thing we are trying to avoid. He also stated that we are trying to make it as easy as possible for the consumer to come here and as cheap as possible. Mr. Allen stated that the grant money would certainly help push it in that direction. He also stated that the Board is committed to doing something in a way of a program with or without the grant. He thinks this is something that needs to be done particularly for the health issue with West Nile.

Mr. Williams stated that this will be two different discussions we will be having today; a permanent program and the amnesty type program.

Mr. Menegus had another concern regarding the Warren County cleanups. He presented the voucher for the White Township cleanup. His concern was that sometimes he cannot use the voucher due to that week he had something going on. He feels that the cleanups should be extended for two weeks or more because one week is not enough. Mr. Williams stated that White Township is the only municipality that we do the clean ups for one week in the spring and one week in the fall. Mr. Menegus stated that he means two weeks in the spring and two weeks in the fall. Mr. Williams stated that this is a decision to be made by this Board. He also stated that the way we have it set up now, is following the host community agreement we have with White Township. Mr. Mach stated that it is really a local decision with White Township. He also stated to Mr. Menegus that he should go back to the council meeting in White Township and make his pitch there. White Township could then come to us with they need more time with clean ups.

PRESENTATIONS

None

FACILITIES/RECYCLING

Mr. Williams reported that the landfill operations are continuing to run smooth. He stated that we had Atlantic Lining remove the next section of the liner flap in Cell 5. This was done in approximately four to five hours. We have moved our operations to another section of Cell 5, expanding into that area.

Mr. Williams stated that we had a minor issue with our roll off truck. The roll off truck is at Gary Gray's for repairs. One of the front springs broke, which we do not have the capability of repairing on site. He stated that the truck should be repaired by tomorrow.

Mr. Williams reported on the H2S Removal System. He stated that MV Technologies, as he stated a couple of meetings ago, were replacing a couple of the meters, which has been completed at no expense to the PCFA. This is a much better meter reading installation that they installed. No issues.

Mr. Williams reported on the Solar Panel Project. Everything is running well. We are still getting our discounts in accordance with our arrangement with them.

Mr. Williams presented to the Board the next item on the Agenda "A-1", the tire recycling analysis.

Mr. Davenport thanked Mr. Williams for preparing this analysis. He stated that this analysis is very complete and very informative, which helped with understanding. Mr. Mach seconded this. Mr. Williams stated that he hoped this was not too much information. Mr. Mach stated that in this situation, there is no such thing as too much.

Mr. Williams stated that what he tried to look at was the different scenarios. He stated that what he wanted to focus on since our last discussion was getting into the heavy duty type tires; farm type equipment tires and the cost associated with this equipment. In addition to the equipment needed, Mr. Williams stated that a building cost is also included in the costs. He also stated that he had some assistance from Cornerstone because he did not know how to spec out a building or price one for what we would need here for the heavy duty equipment and the placement of the tire recycling equipment. He stated that Cornerstone gave two different options; the square footage is minimal between the two.

Mr. Williams presented the summary page of the analysis for discussion. He stated that what he wanted to provide for the Board was the costs with breakdowns of Vendor #1, Vendor #2, Vendor #2A and Vendor #3.

Vendor #1 is Rubberecycle. They would not take tires larger than 20 inches. The equipment cost for the tire recycling with this vendor is in the amount of approximately \$33,000.00. He stated that if we were to use Vendor #1, that we would not be able to take tires larger than 20 inches. He suggested that Vendor #1 would not be a viable candidate. Mr. Mach questioned, just for reference, the 20 inch tire is that an automobile tire or light truck? Mr. Williams stated that it is an automobile and light truck tire.

Vendor #2 is Covanta. They will take both car tires, light industrial tires up to 22.5 inches. The equipment cost for the tire recycling with this vendor is in the amount of \$60,000.00.

Mr. Williams based his amounts on a collection of approximately 4,000 tires per year. He stated that this number comes from Sussex County. Sussex County has a slightly larger population than Warren County. He stated that what Sussex County does per year is approximately 78 tons per year of tire collection, all different sizes. He broke this number down to about 4,000 tires annually. This number is a little bit higher for Warren County because Sussex County population is about 150,000 and Warren County population is about 110,000. Sussex County was most comparable to Warren County. He also stated that with the 4,000 tires per year, breaking the numbers down with the different types of equipment and how it is amortized, Vendor #1 will range in tire prices from \$16.00 per tire to over \$22.00 per tire. Vendor #2 will range in tire prices from \$15.90 per tire to \$26.81 per tire.

Vendor #2A is Covanta which is the larger type tires, this is where we are getting the larger industrial tire cutter involved. The equipment cost for the tire recycling with this vendor is in the amount of approximately \$85,000.00. The price per tire is \$17.50 per tire to \$33.00 per tire.

Vendor #3 does not require us to do any processing onsite of these tires. Mr. Williams stated that the only requirement that this vendor has is that the tires have no oil, no rocks, and no dirt inside or outside of the tires. They have very limited restrictions. The price per tire \$1.48 per tire for the 22.5 inch and smaller to \$5.58 per tire for farming tires and tires larger than 22.5 inches. This vendor will accept tractor tires.

Mr. Williams stated that with this vendor we do not have to get into building an infrastructure. We would have to check all tires coming in at the scale house to make sure that they are not contaminated with debris.

Mr. Williams stated that we could help bring these prices down by using multiple vendors. He stated that this is something we can do internally at the scale by setting up multiple bins. Larger type tires, 22.5 inches and larger, could go in one bin where they would go to the Casings facility, as an example. Smaller type tires, 22.5 inches and smaller, could be an agreement with Covanta and send them to

Pennsylvania to be recycled, which is cheaper than \$1.48 per tire. Mr. Mach questioned what the cost per tire through Covanta is? Mr. Williams stated \$.35 per tire plus transportation which comes out to \$1.10 per tire. He also stated that we do not need to de-rim them, no processing equipment, except to maintain the proper size tire that they will accept.

Mr. Allen stated that we would need to make a requirement, for the people bringing tires here, to make sure they are clean.

Mr. Williams stated that he checked into the landfill tariffs that we have established. He was able to find our landfill tariff from 1996, where we do have three prices established for the different size tires; an automobile tire, a truck tire, and a heavy duty tire. The price of the auto tire that is already established in our tariff is \$2.50 per tire. The price of the truck tire is \$5.00 per tire. The price of the heavy duty tire is \$10.00 per tire. Mr. Williams would like to find out from the DEP if we can adjust the prices downward to keep the prices lower but have the flexibility to go back up. For example, the auto tires are \$2.50 right now, but he would like to find out from the DEP if decided to charge \$2.00 per tire. Mr. Williams stated that this is up to the Board. He also stated that we can leave these prices as is or we can make it more attractive and bring the price down slightly to get these tires into our facility and get them out of the fields. He also stated that if we go above the prices in our tariff, then we have to go through the BPU for a rate hearing increase.

Mr. Mach stated that we could start a program relatively quickly. Mr. Williams stated that we could start doing this tomorrow. Mr. Mach stated that we can start doing this on a trial basis where we use our tariff prices for the tires coming in and see what we get because he has a feeling that we are not going to get a lot of tires if we charge them. Mr. Allen stated that there may be a spike in the beginning then it will low off. Mr. Williams suggested that if we go month to month to see how this goes, by placing advertisements out there in the local papers that we are now accepting these tires with the limited restrictions for delivery and see how it goes. He also stated that hopefully we do see a lot of the tires coming in here because we are getting into mosquito season. Mr. Mach stated that there is a new disease that is coming through too.

Mr. Williams stated that if the Board wants to move forward, we could literally start this tomorrow. He would get some signs made up for the scale house so the residents can see as they come in that we accept tires now. He will also place bins at the convenient center. Mr. Mach questioned what is it going to cost us in manpower? Mr. Williams stated that it is just a matter of our scale house personnel checking the tires as they come across the scale. Mr. Mach questioned Mr. Williams who is going to unload the tires? Mr. Williams replied with the customers will. Mr. Mach questioned who will neaten up the tire pile? Mr. Williams stated that we are going to have our personnel make sure they are stacked by the residents. He also stated that as long as the tires are placed properly, and people learn that you cannot just heave them into the container. He also stated that we would put 40 yard containers, open up the back door and have ramps that we used to use for our recycling containers. We would monitor the containers with our two scale house personnel, one is inside and one is outside. He stated that we would tidy up the tires as needed.

Mr. Pryor stated that he has a couple of comments and a couple of questions. He stated that he likes the idea of a pilot program or trial program. One of the things this does for us is it gives us a better handle on the demand which we do not have. He also stated that he likes to do the pilot program without any capital investments. If you get the program going and it proves cost effective, then you can always evaluate down the road if you can make that investment and do it cheaper.

Mr. Pryor stated that one of the reasons that he has some uncertainty about the demand with the report that Cornerstone did. The report talked about 57 tons per year. If you take 20 pounds per passenger tire, it breaks down to 22 tires per day. He stated that any tire store can handle this. If you take 4,000 passenger tires per year, this accounts for 40 tons. He thinks the estimates on the farm tires and the truck tires are probably high. A trial program would give us a chance to try this out.

Mr. Pryor questioned who will we serve? He certainly understands the passenger idea and the farm community, the question is about commercial entities. He stated that getting rid of tires is part of their business and part of their cost. Somerset runs a very good program. They take tires from farmers and county residents. They do not accept commercial entities. If a trailer pulls in here, we do not want to serve a junk yard.

Mr. Pryor also questioned regarding the orphan sites, stating that somebody has to sponsor a collection effort and get that here. This is also an element. He stated that if we really want to attack this West Nile, you have to work with the communities or county to work with these orphan sites and get them taken care of. If we have to inventory them, then we will have to come up with plan for getting them clean. Mr. Mach stated that along those lines each community gets a clean community grant from the \$3.00 that is collected on garbage that comes in here. He also stated that clean community's grant can be used to do exactly that kind of work.

Mr. Pryor discussed one of the conditions of the grant. He stated that in the DEP literature it states that grant funds may not be used to clean up tires on any land currently in commercial use. He stated that we can handle the orphan sites but if someone is collecting tires for some reason then they cannot bring it here a part of this grant. Mr. Allen questioned how would we know? Mr. Pryor replied that with anything else we would need an address and an ID when they use our facility.

Mr. Williams suggested that we should, with our daily collection of tires here and the amnesty program, be limited strictly to Warren County. He stated that by the pictures presented in the analysis, this was a one day event where we collected 1,250,000 pounds of tires in here in 2010. They were coming from all over. He also stated that we should not be the drop off location for the surrounding counties. The other counties are able to apply for this grant such as we are. Mr. Mach stated that we are here to service Warren County. Mr. Williams stated again that he would limit this to Warren County. He also stated that when we did this amnesty program in 2010, we had tires coming in here by the tractor trailer loads and they were not coming from farmers. This was every junk yard. Mr. Pryor stated that we ought to consider this and he would not support allowing this to happen. Mr. Williams suggested that when this grant comes in, that we put a limit on it. He also stated that if there are funds left over, then we may be able to hold multiple events. Mr. Pryor stated that Somerset County does this event once a month, which gives anybody 12 opportunities to get rid of the tires. He suggested that it is a possibility to have two cleanups about six months apart.

Mr. Pryor stated again that he does support the idea of a trial basis program. He stated that initially we start with minimal investment and infrastructure.

Mr. Davenport stated that the pilot program is exactly what we need to get things rolling and gives us a lot of information to build on. He also stated that when we develop limitations, he would like to get a handle on; for example the two gentleman that were here with a lot of the tires stockpiled. He would like to find out what kind of numbers they are talking about. Mr. Williams will reach out to Mr. Smith to find out what he has. Mr. Mach questioned Mr. Davenport with the numbers in quantities of tires or numbers in the amount we are going to charge them? Mr. Davenport replied with the quantities of

tires. Mr. Pryor stated that the charges of tires are yet to be defined with precision. Mr. Williams stated that how our staff would do this is 22.5 inches or smaller which would be the auto/light truck tires at \$2.50 per tire, 22.5 to 24.5 inches which is really the commercial size at \$5.00 per tire, and 24.5 to 50 inches which would be the heavy duty/Agricultural tires at \$10.00 per tire.

Mr. Davenport stated that the gentleman that were here stated that they were not going to pay to get rid of their tires and that they were going to hold them back until they get the free disposal. Mr. Pryor stated that we are talking about the ongoing program with the amnesty. He stated that the gentleman would have the opportunity to purge their property during the amnesty program. Mr. Williams stated that they could if we do the amnesty program. Mr. Williams stated that with the amnesty program, this is matching funds. He stated that if the PCFA put in \$25,000.00, then the State will put in \$25,000.00. Mr. Davenport stated that this is well worth it to get rid of these tires. Mr. Williams stated that if we do this right and he thinks we can, then there might be opportunities to do multiple events until those funds are depleted. Mr. Mach suggested that on the matching funds, we could call it a gift to the County. He stated that the County has been asking for funds to be transferred over, which we have already talked about the \$100,000.00 going over and anything in addition to that, should be a gift to the County and included in those monies as our contribution to the County.

Mr. Williams stated that the funds for this grant will come directly to the PCFA. He also stated that the County at their next meeting will also pass a resolution for this grant. The other grant that is before us (\$114,000.00) the County has already approved. Mr. Mach questioned that the \$25,000.00 matching fund money is coming from us? Mr. Williams replied that this is up to the Board if the Board wants to move in that direction, then it would be. Mr. Williams stated that in the back of the resolution, Mr. Olshefski will have to file an expenditure report. He also stated that he believes the way this process will have to work, is that we put all the money out there initially and then we show them the expenditures. Then they reimburse us. Mr. Olshefski stated that this is a reimbursement grant.

Mr. Williams stated that with the Board approval, he can get some banners made up and signage. Mr. Mach suggested that we hold off and take this to the SWAC meeting. Mr. Williams stated that SWAC does not meet again until September. He also stated that at the last SWAC meeting, he advised them that we were moving forward in this direction. He stated that the rates are already in our system, if we want to stick with these rates. Mr. Pryor stated that the rate of \$2.50 per tire is close, the larger tires he is not sure how that matches up with the third vendor and we may want to make sure. Mr. Williams stated that the third vendor is approximately \$5.58 per tire.

Mr. Pryor questioned what our tariff is? Mr. Williams replied with \$10.00 per tire, so we have some flexibility there. Mr. Mach suggested that we go with the tariff. He also stated if he heard right, that if we change the price that we charge to take them in, then we have to reapply for this? Mr. Williams stated that if we go above the tariff. He is finding out for sure if we can go down, and is waiting for a response from DEP. Mr. Pryor stated that he would give a little cushion on the higher tires.

Mr. Williams stated that what he saw last time with our tariff adjustment with our mattress collection, we did a high and a low; \$190 up to \$225, which gave us room to fluctuate in between. We do not have this with the tire collection. He suggested that this maybe a legal question but he will find out through the DEP, would we need to file for a new tariff to put that range in there for the larger size tires? If so, then we will start off with the way the tariff is written today. He also stated that we could file to have the tariff lowered, once we find out what the DEP says. This took two months for the DEP the last time we did this. Mr. Allen questioned that this tariff is just our tariff for this facility? Mr.

Williams stated that this is correct, for our facility only. Mr. Allen questioned does this vary from facility to facility? Mr. Williams replied yes. Mr. Davenport stated that each facility has to apply for their own. Mr. Tipton questioned Mr. Williams that the tariff rates go back to 1996? Mr. Williams replied with yes, it was done in 1996 and that he will let Mr. Tipton take a look at it. Mr. Davenport stated that Mr. Tipton should look at this to make sure it is still valid. Mr. Williams stated that this does not expire. Mr. Davenport stated that something may have come out in the meantime that over rides that. Mr. Tipton stated that when the market was deregulated, he thought tariffs went out the window because he was thinking 1996 with Carbone and those cases. Mr. Williams stated that he was just reading some information online regarding DEP regulations and it talked about privately owned landfills and publicly owned facilities with tariffs and filing. Mr. Tipton suggested that Mr. Williams make the phone call, save the expense of Mr. Tipton digging into this first, to see what he finds out. Mr. Williams hopes to receive a call soon from the DEP. He stated that for the time being we could stay with the rates as they exist, and then review further at a later date.

Mr. Davenport asked Mr. Williams that he will be making some banners. Mr. Williams responded yes and that we will be bringing containers up top. Mr. Allen questioned how about the advertising? Mr. Williams replied with yes, we will be placing ads in the newspaper. Mr. Pryor stated again that he would think that the Department of Health and the Mosquito Commission could help out on this. Mr. Williams stated that he is pretty sure that the Mosquito Commission will go around and locate these tire dump sites. He also stated that if we give them enough time, they may be able to get support groups together to help clean up these tire dump sites. Mr. Davenport stated that he does not care who does the cleanup, but he just wants to make sure that all of the municipalities are aware of what we are up to here.

Mr. Davenport questioned Mr. Williams if he would need authorization to move forward? Mr. Tipton stated that if we have these tariffs in place and we want to start taking tires again, then we could give the word and we already have the tariffs in place. Mr. Mach questioned if we have to authorize the expenditure of the fund for advertising? Mr. Tipton questioned what are we talking about here as far as advertising? Mr. Williams stated that we would run the ad three or four times in the newspaper on a weekly basis, with a half page ad is approximately \$500.00 per ad. He stated that this will cost approximately \$2,000.00 for the advertising. Mr. Tipton suggested that the appropriate mechanism would be to have a vote, doing a motion to approve everything the Board has discussed. Mr. Williams stated that the motion should include the advertising, signage and reinstating the tire collection program at the landfill in accordance with the tariffs already established.

Mr. Allen made a motion that we establish a pilot program in accordance with the current tariffs and some expenditures for advertising, with a limit on the amount of the expenditures of \$10,000.00 max, to accomplish the same, seconded by **Mr. Mach**.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Allen	-	Yes
	Mr. Cannon	-	Absent
	Mr. Pryor	-	Yes
	Mr. Mach	-	Yes
	Mr. Davenport	-	Yes

FINANCE/PERSONNEL

Mr. Davenport presented the next item on the Agenda, the employee salaries.

Mr. Davenport questioned Mr. Olshefski what has the County come through with their raises? Mr. Olshefski replied with 1.5% for the management and unclassified. Mr. Davenport stated that we use the County raises for our guidance.

Mr. Mach made a motion that we use 1.5% as the salary increase for all landfill employees retroactive back to January 1, 2015, seconded by **Mr. Davenport**.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Allen	-	Yes
	Mr. Cannon	-	Absent
	Mr. Pryor	-	Yes
	Mr. Mach	-	Yes
	Mr. Davenport	-	Yes

Mr. Davenport presented the Bonus Grant for the Food Waste and Abandoned Tires, and Public Space Recycling. He stated that this is our application for the grant for the \$25,000.00 matching funds.

Mr. Williams stated that there are two grants included in the agenda packets, because he was not sure which way the Board was going to go; meaning whether we were going to purchase equipment or not. The one that is not attached, the Board can disregard and we can apply for the tire amnesty program.

Mr. Pryor stated that he opposes an investment in capital equipment. He also stated that he likes the original proposal, the amnesty program. He asked to look at the guidance again where they say no money towards commercial, where we mention commercial in the application. He stated that we may want to revisit this. Mr. Williams asked where this is mentioned. Mr. Pryor stated that this was on page 2 where it states small commercial businesses. He thinks that we are talking about residents and farming community. He also stated that if you go back to their guidance, it states no money towards active commercial sites. Mr. Davenport questioned where does it state this? Mr. Pryor responded that it is in the DEP page 6 of 9. Mr. Williams suggested that we can take small commercial out of this application.

Mr. Pryor recommended that with this change, it would be his recommendation we commit for the tire amnesty program under this grant, seconded by **Mr. Mach**.

On a motion by *Mr. Pryor*, seconded by *Mr. Mach* the following resolution was adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County at a meeting held on *June 22, 2015*.

RESOLUTION

R-06-01-15

BONUS GRANT FOR FOOD WASTE, ABANDONED TIRES AND PUBLIC SPACE RECYCLING

WHEREAS, The New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection has announced a \$400,000 “Bonus” grant program that will provide targeted grants for recycling initiatives in three areas: food waste recycling, scrap tire removal and recycling in public spaces. Bonus grants will be made available to local governments (municipalities and counties) and to county institutions (colleges, health care and corrections facilities). This program is funded through the Recycling Tax established in the “Recycling Enhancement Act”.

WHEREAS, The recycling regulations impose on municipalities certain requirements as a condition for applying for tonnage grants, including but not limited to, making and keeping accurate, verifiable records of materials collected and claimed by the municipality; and

WHEREAS, A resolution authorizing this Authority to apply for the Bonus Grant for Food Waste, Abandoned Tires and Public Space Recycling will memorialize the commitment of this Authority to recycling and to indicate the assent of the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County to the efforts undertaken by the Authority and the requirements contained in the Recycling Act and recycling regulations; and

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County, hereby endorses the submission of the Bonus Grant for Food Waste, Abandoned Tires and Public Space Recycling grant application to the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the monies received from the Bonus Grant for Food Waste, Abandoned Tires and Public Space Recycling grant be used solely for the purposes outlined in the Bonus Grant application.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Allen	- Yes
	Mr. Cannon	- Absent
	Mr. Pryor	- Yes
	Mr. Mach	- Yes
	Mr. Davenport	- Yes

I hereby certify the above to be a true copy of a resolution adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County on the date above mentioned.

Jamie Banghart, Recording Secretary

Date: June 22, 2015

Mr. Davenport presented the 2014 Recycling Enhancement Act Tax Fund Entitlement Spending Plan. Mr. Davenport stated that we get this every year in the amount of \$114,000.00.

Mr. Williams stated that the amount of this grant has increased from the amount of \$110,000.00 to \$114,000.00. He also stated, with the assistance of Mr. Dave Dech, that there will also be \$9,000.00 included for tire recycling. He stated that we do have additional monies in this grant along with the tire amnesty program. Mr. Williams stated that this grant covers our HHW events, advertising for recycling, and now we have included \$9,000.00 for tire recycling. Mr. Davenport questioned what we would do in the past, we would take all of the cost for the HHW and all the other programs that we run, and we would get reimbursed through the County? Mr. Williams replied with this is correct. Mr. Davenport stated that now we would be able to get reimbursed for the tire also? Mr. Williams replied yes through the County. He stated that this is a grant that the County has already approved. We need to approve as the implementing agency, that we will be performing these programs on behalf of the County. These funds go to the County then the County reimburses us. Mr. Olshefski stated that this grant runs from June 1, 2015, which we are already past this beginning date, so all this \$10,000.00 for advertisements can be charged to this new grant. Mr. Williams stated that the State did give us a few extra dollars in this grant this time around.

On a motion by *Mr. Mach*, seconded by *Mr. Pryor*, the following resolution was adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County at a meeting held on *June 22, 2015*.

RESOLUTION

R-06-02-15

AUTHORIZING THE FILING OF A SPENDING PLAN FOR 2014 RECYCLING ENHANCEMENT ACT TAX FUND

Enabling Resolution Authorizing the filing of a Spending Plan for 2014 Recycling Enhancement Act Tax Fund Entitlement pursuant to P.L. 2007 c.311 et seq. hereinafter, the Act.

WHEREAS, P.L. 2007 c.311 et seq. provides for the awarding of Recycling Enhancement Tax entitlements by the Department of Environmental Protection to designated solid waste management districts to assist them in the preparation, revision and implementation of comprehensive solid waste management and recycling plans; and

WHEREAS, the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County desires such financial assistance to fulfill its responsibilities under the Solid Waste Management Act and the Recycling Enhancement Act.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County:

1. That a Spending Plan be submitted to the Solid and Hazardous Waste Management Program for 2014 Recycling Enhancement Act Tax Entitlement in the amount of \$114,000.00.
2. That the Board Chairman of the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County is hereby authorized and directed to execute and file such spending plan with the Department of Environmental Protection, to provide additional information and furnish such documents as may be required; to execute such documents as are required; and to act as the authorized correspondent of the Warren County Solid Waste Management District.
3. That the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County designated by the Warren County Board of Chosen Freeholders as the implementing agency to perform the Recycling Enhancement Tax Entitlement on June 10, 2015.
4. That the Warren County Solid Waste Management District does hereby hold the State of New Jersey, and its departments and agencies harmless from any damages, losses and claims which may arise directly or indirectly from the execution of the entitlement.
5. That the Warren County Solid Waste Management District hereby accepts the terms and conditions set forth in the Act and the guidelines promulgated under it.

ROLL CALL: Mr. Allen - Yes
 Mr. Cannon - Absent
 Mr. Pryor - Yes
 Mr. Mach - Yes
 Mr. Davenport - Yes

Date: *June 22, 2015*

I hereby certify the above to be a true copy of a resolution adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County on the date above mentioned.

Jamie Banghart, Recording Secretary

Mr. Olshefski presented the May monthly report for the Board. He stated that the volume to date is below last year, which was planned and budgeted because the cover is going to be phased out. He also stated that overall we are right on target with our revenues. We are basically at 42%, and year to date we have collected 43%. He stated that we are 30% on the operation side. We do flexibility in our budget for any additional costs that we mentioned earlier that are outside of the grant. We have netted \$963,000.00 with the operations through five months. He also stated that we did purchase a CD for six months for 6.5 million at .65% and we also got in the money market for .55%. This will net an additional \$19,000.00 over the six month period.

Mr. Davenport stated that a motion was needed to pass the Resolution to Pay Bills (R-06-03-15).

On a motion by *Mr. Mach*, seconded by *Mr. Allen*, the following resolution was adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County at a meeting held on *June 22, 2015*.

RESOLUTION

R-06-03-15

To Pay Bills – June 22, 2015

WHEREAS, the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County has been presented with invoices for services, supplies and other materials rendered to it or on its behalf;

NOW, THEREFORE, be it resolved by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County that the following bills be paid:

See Attached

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Allen	- Yes
	Mr. Cannon	- Absent
	Mr. Pryor	- Yes
	Mr. Mach	- Yes
	Mr. Davenport	- Yes

We hereby certify Resolution to Pay Bills in the amount of **\$747,609.08** to be a true copy of a resolution adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County on the 22nd day of *June, 2015*.

Jamie Banghart, Recording Secretary
James Williams, Director of Operations

Mr. Davenport stated that the resolution approving the 2014 Annual Audit will be tabled until next month's meeting.

Mr. Davenport presented the Waste Disposal Fee Schedule. Mr. Williams stated that there is one vendor, Weidlick's Trucking, that needs contract approval from Board.

Mr. Davenport made a motion to approve the Disposal Contract, seconded by **Mr. Mach**.

Mr. Allen stated that he would like an over view of the disposal contracts since he is fairly new. He questioned that this is basically the waste disposal fee schedule for each vendor? Mr. Williams stated that is correct. He also stated that this is in accordance with the approved pricing schedule that the Board approved in January. He also explained that what you see is that over the years, which goes back to 2008, how the pricing structure has increased over time. Mr. Allen questioned the lower fees represent the older contracts? Mr. Davenport responded that the fees go by volume. Mr. Williams stated that our fee structure is established by volume, volume based fee structure, the larger the volume the lower the rate.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Allen	- Yes
	Mr. Cannon	- Absent
	Mr. Pryor	- Yes
	Mr. Mach	- Yes
	Mr. Davenport	- Yes

NEW BUSINESS

None

GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT

Mr. Tipton stated that Mr. Unangst accepted the offer for us to purchase the 1.5 acre parcel. He stated that the next action that the Board needs to take is to get that parcel surveyed so that we can create a deed to get the deed of sale from Mr. Unangst. He also stated that the other action we need to take is essentially a subdivision, where you chop off a piece of property and take it for yourself, is a minor subdivision. He stated that we are not required to go before the White Township Planning Board for the subdivision but general practice for a municipality or public entity to proceed before a Board is, as a courtesy to show up and tell them what we are going to do, for which we do not need their approval. He suggests, usually when you do a subdivision to piece of land you do a survey of the entire parcel that you are taking it from. This is a large piece of property, which would be very expensive to survey the whole thing, he suggests that the PCFA sends a letter to the White Township Planning Board telling them that we are coming before them as a courtesy for this minor subdivision and that our intent is to only have surveyed the relevant portion that we intend to purchase. Then we need to reach out to surveyors, which are professionals, get quotes and maybe talk to two to three surveyors to get a price on doing it in that manner. Some surveyors may push back and say that they need to survey the whole thing, he guesses that we will find a surveyor that will do it the cheaper way. He suggests that we phrase the task in a certain way and ask for prices in that capacity. This will get us to the next step, which is having a closing, paying the \$15,000.00 and then getting the deed.

Mr. Tipton stated that the Board would need to authorize Mr. Williams and Mr. Tipton to move forward on getting a surveyor lined up and sending a letter to the White Township Board.

Mr. Allen questioned if the attorney for the seller have a problem with only surveying the relevant piece? Mr. Tipton stated that they do not have an attorney as of yet. He would doubt that Mr. Unangst would get an attorney for this and is probably just looking for his check and does not really care. He also stated that we will prepare the deed, to make this cheap and easy on his side since he is getting the \$15,000.00. Mr. Mach stated that this is fair. Mr. Tipton stated that if they raise an issue, then he will come back to the Board.

Mr. Davenport made a motion to authorize Mr. Williams and Mr. Tipton to move forward on getting a surveyor lined up and sending a letter to the White Township Board, seconded by **Mr. Allen**.

ROLL CALL:	Mr. Allen	- Yes
	Mr. Cannon	- Absent
	Mr. Pryor	- Yes
	Mr. Mach	- Yes
	Mr. Davenport	- Yes

OTHER BUSINESS

None

CLOSING PUBLIC COMMENT

Freeholder Director Smith thanked the Board for moving forward with the tire recycling program. He stated that this will be a good thing for Warren County. He recommends that we reach out to Mr. Dave Dech as a cooperative. This is an opportunity for this Board to get some good public feedback. He thinks this should be done as a public service and touted as a public service to Warren County. He also suggested that moving forward, looking at this in terms as a long term commitment, he would entertain that we prepare and place this into the recycling publication that SWAC puts out and make that as a component. This is a great opportunity for some bright light to come down on the PCFA and make it to where we are showing that there are public purposes that are being appropriately addressed. He also stated that a lot of people are quick to complain about what goes on here.

Freeholder Director Smith stated that the grant proposal that we are looking at, which has the inability to include commercial locations, he thinks that for a small business, it may be difficult for them to generate enough of a volume to contract with a hauler directly. He knows a lot of the haulers are looking for the volume of a tire store to make it worth their while to come by on their trip. He also thinks that from the discussions that we are having with the Mosquito Commission, we are looking to address this standing water issue because it is not easy for us to treat tires by aerial spray or fog. With that in mind, if we do enhance enforcement through the Health Department, they need to have a place to be able to do something with these tires.

While Somerset Tire may be receptive towards taking them from a consumer, they may not be so receptive or anxious to accept being that they are from a commercial establishment. He suggested that maybe this is something that could be tied into Mosquito Commission news, SWAC news, a Press Release through Art Charlton from the County and put on the County website as well. He also stated

that to put it out there that we are reestablishing tire recycling and emphasize the fact that the mosquito borne illnesses are the reason for this to happen, this would be another opportunity to enhance what the public image of this institution is.

Freeholder Director Smith stated that this is a great job and thanked the Board.

PRESS COMMENTS & QUESTIONS

None

EXECUTIVE SESSION

Executive Session was not necessary.

RESOLUTION

R-06-05-15

AUTHORIZING EXECUTIVE SESSION

WHEREAS, the Authority has a need to discuss the following matter(s) in Executive Session:

NOT NECESSARY

It is not possible, at this time, for the Authority to determine when and under what circumstances the above-referenced item(s), which are to be discussed in Executive Session, can be publicly disclosed;

NOW, THEREFORE, Pursuant to N.J.S.A. 10:4-1 et. seq., BE IT RESOLVED by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County that the matter(s) as noted above will be discussed in Executive Session.

Moved By:

Seconded By:

ROLL CALL: Mr. Allen -
 Mr. Cannon -
 Mr. Pryor -
 Mr. Mach -
 Mr. Davenport -

I hereby certify the above to be a true copy of a resolution adopted by the Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County on the date above mentioned.

Jamie Banghart, Recording Secretary

Dated: 6/22/15

